

## Synthesis of 4-phenylthieno[2,3-*c*]quinolines via Suzuki–Miyaura cross-couplings

Isabel K. Hyland,<sup>a</sup> Curtis C. Ho,<sup>a</sup> Daniel Vuong,<sup>b</sup> Yi An Tsai,<sup>a</sup> Ernest Lacey,<sup>b</sup> Alex C. Bissember,<sup>\*a</sup> and Jason A. Smith<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Natural Sciences – Chemistry, University of Tasmania Hobart, Tasmania, 7001, Australia

<sup>b</sup> Microbial Screening Technologies, Smithfield, New South Wales, 2164, Australia

Email: [alex.bissember@utas.edu.au](mailto:alex.bissember@utas.edu.au), [jason.smith@utas.edu.au](mailto:jason.smith@utas.edu.au)

Received mm-dd-yyyy


Accepted Manuscript mm-dd-yyyy

Published on line mm-dd-yyyy

Dates to be inserted by editorial office

### Abstract

A small library of previously unreported 4-phenylthieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines was prepared from a simple thiophene substate via a modular synthetic strategy that exploited successive palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. These heterocycles were screened for activity against various bacterial, fungal, parasitic and cancer cell lines, which identified two original 4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-thieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines that display encouraging antibacterial activity.




**Keywords:** Thienoquinolines, Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling, heterocyclic chemistry; medicinal chemistry

## Introduction

Thienoquinolines are a family of heterocycles that primarily feature two classes of structural isomers, namely, thieno[2,3-*b*]quinolines **1a** and thieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines **1b** (Figure 1).<sup>1</sup> These molecules have captured the attention of synthetic and medicinal chemists and they reportedly elicit an array of interesting biological activity that is consistent with their potential to serve as antimicrobial, antiviral, antiparasitic, and anti-inflammatory agents.<sup>1-6</sup> They also have potential application in materials science as iridium complexes of 4-phenylthieno[2,3-*b*]quinolines demonstrate intense red phosphorescence emission.<sup>7</sup> Two general strategies have been investigated to prepare thieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines. Specifically, a number of methods have accessed these heterocycles via quinoline substrates,<sup>8-12</sup> while other approaches have employed thiophene precursors.<sup>13-19</sup>

In this work, we deployed robust cross-coupling methodology<sup>20-22</sup> to establish a short, modular synthetic route enabling the efficient construction of a small library of functionalized 4-phenylthieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines from a simple thiophene starting material. These novel molecules were then screened for activity against a range of target organisms, including bacterial, fungal, parasitic and cancer cell lines.




**Figure 1.** Parent structures of thieno[2,3-*b*]quinolines **1a** and thieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines **1b**.

## Results and Discussion

Our synthetic approach to a range of novel thieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines **4–7** is outlined in Scheme 1 and commenced from commercially available thiophene-3-carbaldehyde (**2**). This substrate was subjected to a nucleophilic addition, PCC oxidation, and bromination sequence, which afforded known dibromo compound **3** in 3 steps.<sup>23</sup> The electron-withdrawing carbonyl group within thiophene **3** likely increases the electrophilicity of the C2-carbon relative to C5-carbon, which we exploited in performing a regioselective Suzuki–Miyaura coupling<sup>24,25</sup> with commercially available 2-aminophenylboronic acid, pinacol ester. This reaction furnished 4-phenylthieno[3,2-*c*]quinoline **4** in a single step. Time course experiments monitoring this transformation by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy were consistent with an annulation process in which cross-coupling precedes Schiff base condensation. Control experiments also revealed that there was no reaction between dibromo compound **3** and 2-aminophenylboronic acid, pinacol ester in the absence of [Pd(Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>4</sub>]. Indeed, monitoring the reaction by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy indicated that imine formation did not occur under these conditions.


Our straightforward and concise route provided rapid and efficient access to the 4-phenylthienoquinoline core. We then exploited bromo heterocycle **4** to affect the divergent synthesis of novel functionalized thieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines via standard cross-coupling methodology. Specifically, we deployed Suzuki–Miyaura

reactions to prepare 2-aryl-substituted derivatives **5a–k** in addition to alkenyl compound **5l** in moderate to high yields.<sup>26</sup> We also determined that products **5c**, **5g** and **5l** could be formed in 47%, 53% and 81% respective yields directly from dibromothiophene **3** via a two-stage, one-pot method. Sonogashira couplings were used to convert bromo compound **4** into alkynyl compounds **6a–c**. A palladium-catalyzed carbomethoxylation reaction, performed in the presence of CO and methanol, furnished ester **7a** from substrate **4**. Alkynes **6a** and **6b** were reduced to deliver alkyl-substituted thieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines **7b** and **7c**, respectively. The TMS-deprotection of alkyne **6c**, and subsequent Sonogashira couplings, followed by hydrogenations afforded ethylene-tethered analogues **7d–f**.



**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of thienoquinolines **4–7**. <sup>a</sup> Alkene **5l** was formed from *trans*-1-heptenylboronic acid, pinacol ester.

2,4-Diaryl-substituted thieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines **5a–k** were tested against a range of targets, including bacterial, fungal, parasitic and cancer cell lines (Table 1). Compounds **4**, **5l**, **6a**, **7a–f** were also assayed. No antifungal activity up to 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  against *Candida albicans* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and no antibacterial activity up to 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  against *Staphylococcus aureus* was observed for any of the substrates. However, the *Bacillus subtilis* assay displayed antibacterial activity for thieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines **5a–c**, **5h** and **5j** with compounds possessing an *ortho*-methoxy group being the most active (*i.e.* **5b** and **5h**). For compounds **5a**, **5c** and **5j** these data are more consistent with bacteriostatic behavior. Heterocycles **5l** and **7c** exhibited low to moderate anti-giardial activity against *Giardia duodenalis* and molecules **5b–d**, **5h**, **5l**, **7a** and **7c** only elicited low to moderate antitumor activity in a murine myeloma cell (NS-1) assay. Notably, all of the compounds screened displayed minimal effects on human neonatal fibroblast cells (NFF), which indicates that these thienoquinolines do not appear to be highly toxic to non-tumour cells. This suggests that the thieno[3,2-*c*]quinoline scaffold might represent a suitable scaffold for drug development.

**Table 1.** In vitro activities of compounds **5a–l**, **6a**, and **7a–f** against *G. duodenalis*, *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus*, *C. albicans*, *S. cerevisiae*, and cytotoxicity against murine myeloma and human neonatal fibroblast cells (MIC,  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ).

compound	<i>G. duodenalis</i> <sup>a</sup>		<i>B. subtilis</i> <sup>a</sup>		<i>S. aureus</i> <sup>a</sup>		<i>C. albicans</i> <sup>a</sup>		<i>S. cerevisiae</i> <sup>a</sup>		NS-1 <sup>b</sup>	NFF <sup>c</sup>
	96 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	96 h	96 h	
<b>4</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>100	>100
<b>5a</b>	>100	1.6	>100	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>100	>100
<b>5b</b>	>100	0.8	1.6	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	12.5	>100
<b>5c</b>	>100	3.1	>100	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	25	>100
<b>5d</b>	100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	50	>100
<b>5e</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
<b>5f</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
<b>5g</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	100	>100
<b>5h</b>	>100	0.8	0.8	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	12.5	>100
<b>5i</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
<b>5j</b>	>100	1.6	>100	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>100	>100
<b>5k</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
<b>5l</b>	25	>100	>100	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	50	>100
<b>6a</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>100	>100
<b>7a</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	50	100
<b>7b</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
<b>7c</b>	50	>100	>100	>100	>100	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	50	>100
<b>7d</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
<b>7e</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
<b>7f</b>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
reference <sup>d</sup>	6.3	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	0.04	0.3

<sup>a</sup> All compounds were tested across a concentration of range of 0.05–100  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ .

<sup>b</sup> NS-1: murine myeloma cells.

<sup>c</sup> NFF: human neonatal fibroblast cells.

<sup>d</sup> reference compounds: metronidazole (*G. duodenalis*); tetracycline.HCl (*B. subtilis*; *S. aureus*); Blasticidin S.HCl (*C. albicans*; *S. cerevisiae*); staurosporine (NS-1; NFF).

## Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a modular synthetic route that allows for the efficient preparation of a small library of previously unreported thienoquinolines. These heterocycles were evaluated for activity against bacterial, fungal, parasitic and cancer cell lines. Notably, we identified that novel thieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines **5b** and **5h** that contain an *ortho*-methoxyaryl group on C2 display encouraging antibacterial activity and all of the thieno[3,2-*c*]quinolines screened do not appear to be highly toxic to healthy non-tumour cells.

## Experimental Section

**General.** Analytical-grade solvents were used, which were purified by standard laboratory procedures. Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, AK Scientific, Combi-Blocks, and Oakwood and were used without purification. Infrared (IR) spectroscopy was performed on a Shimadzu FTIR 8400s spectrometer. Samples for subjected to IR analysis were prepared by the deposition of a thin film of the compound onto NaCl plates following evaporation of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> or CHCl<sub>3</sub>. NMR experiments were performed either on a Bruker Avance III NMR spectrometer operating at 400 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H) or 100 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C) or on a Bruker Avance III NMR spectrometer operating at 600 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H) or 150 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C). The deuterated solvents used were either CDCl<sub>3</sub> or acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub> as specified. Chemical shifts were recorded in  $\delta$  (ppm). Spectra were calibrated by assignment of the residual solvent peak to  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  7.26 and  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  77.16 for CDCl<sub>3</sub> and  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  2.05 and  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  29.84 for acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>. Coupling constants (*J*) were recorded in Hz. High resolution, accurate mass spectra were acquired using a Thermo LTQ XL Orbitrap tandem mass spectrometer. Samples in methanol were analyzed by direct infusion at a rate of 20  $\mu$ L/min and ionized by Electrospray ionization (ESI) in the positive mode. TLC was performed using Merck silica gel 60-F<sub>254</sub> plates. Developed chromatograms were visualized by UV absorbance (254 nm) or through application of heat to a plate stained with cerium molybdate {Ce(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O}. Flash column chromatography was performed with flash grade silica gel (60  $\mu$ m) and the indicated eluent in accordance with standard techniques.<sup>27</sup>

### Synthesis of previously unreported compounds

**2-Bromo-4-phenylthieno[3,2-*c*]quinoline (4).** 2-Aminophenylboronic acid, pinacol ester (835 mg, 3.81 mmol), [Pd(Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>4</sub>] (80.0 mg, 0.0350 mmol), and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2.5 mL of a 2 M aqueous solution) were successively added to a magnetically-stirred solution of thiophene **3**<sup>20</sup> (1.20 g, 3.46 mmol) in PhMe (50 mL) and EtOH (20 mL) maintained under N<sub>2</sub>. The ensuing mixture was heated at 70 °C. After 3 h, H<sub>2</sub>O (40 mL) was added, the phases separated, and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were then washed with NaCl (20 mL of a saturated aqueous solution), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (50% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexanes elution; silica gel) to provide compound **4** as a colorless solid (735 mg, 62%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.28 (d, *J* 8.3, 1H), 7.99 (dd, *J* 8.2, 0.9, 1H), 7.89–7.87 (m, 2H), 7.75 (t, *J* 7.0, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.64–7.53 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  154.5, 147.4, 143.9, 139.6, 132.2, 130.5, 129.3, 129.2 (2C), 129.1, 128.8 (2C), 127.8, 127.2, 122.9, 122.5, 114.7; IR (NaCl): 1474, 1441, 1325, 1074, 955, 752, 692 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>10</sub>NBrNaS 361.9615; Found 361.9608.

**General procedure A. Synthesis of compounds 5a–l from bromo compound 4.** Boronic acid or boronic acid, pinacol ester (1.1 equiv), [Pd(Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>4</sub>] (1 mol %), and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 M aqueous solution; 1 equiv) were successively added to a magnetically-stirred solution of bromo compound **4** (0.02 M; 1 equiv) in PhMe/EtOH (5:2 v/v) maintained under N<sub>2</sub>. The ensuing mixture was heated at 110 °C. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to rt and H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) added. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 10 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with NaCl (10 mL of a saturated aqueous solution), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (10–20% EtOAc/hexanes elution; silica gel) to provide cross-coupled products **5a–k**.

**General procedure B. Synthesis of compounds 5c, 5g and 5l from dibromo compound 3.** 2-Amino-phenylboronic acid, pinacol ester (1.1 equiv), [Pd(Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>4</sub>] (1 mol %), and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (as a 2 M aqueous solution; 1 equiv) were successively added to a magnetically-stirred solution of dibromo compound **3** (1 equiv) in PhMe/EtOH (5:2 v/v) maintained under N<sub>2</sub>. The ensuing mixture was heated at 70 °C. After 3 h, a second boronic acid or boronic acid, pinacol ester (1.1 equiv) was added and the ensuing mixture was heated at 110 °C. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to rt and H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) added. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 10 mL) and the combined organic fractions were washed with NaCl (10 mL of a saturated aqueous solution), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (10–20% EtOAc/hexanes elution; silica gel) to provide cross-coupled products **5c**, **5g** or **5l**.

#### General procedure C. Synthesis of compounds 7d–f from TMS-alkyne 6c

**Step 1.** A solution of compound **6c** in MeOH was magnetically-stirred with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 equiv) at rt. After 3 h, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The iodo coupling partner (1.2 equiv), Et<sub>3</sub>N (10 mL), [Pd(Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>4</sub>] (10 mol %), and CuI (5 mol %) were added to the ensuing residue. The resultant mixture was maintained under N<sub>2</sub> and heated to 80 °C. After 3 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to rt and concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (silica gel) to provide the cross-coupled alkyne precursors of compounds **7d–f**. These respective alkynes were each immediately subjected to the following reduction step.

**Step 2.** Pd/C (10 mg of a 10% w/w mixture) was added to a magnetically-stirred solution of cross-coupled alkyne in EtOH (5 mL) and the ensuing mixture was placed under an atmosphere of H<sub>2</sub> (balloon, 1 atm) at rt. After 16 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite®, eluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and the ensuing solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to provide previously unreported compounds **7d–f**.

**2,4-Diphenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (5a).** Compound **5a** was prepared from bromo compound **4** (102 mg, 0.300 mmol) and phenylboronic acid (40.0 mg, 0.330 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5a** as a colorless solid (90 mg, 63%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.30 (d, *J* 8.3, 1H), 9.10 (dd, *J* 8.1, 0.9, 1H), 7.99 (d, *J* 7.0, 2H), 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.73–7.70 (m, 3H), 7.64–7.56 (m, 4H), 7.45 (t, *J* 7.4, 2H), 7.38 (t, *J* 7.4, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 155.6, 145.7, 144.4, 143.9, 140.1, 133.6, 133.2, 130.4, 129.4 (2C), 129.1 (3C), 128.8 (2C), 128.7 (2C), 126.9, 126.6 (2C), 123.4, 123.1, 120.4; IR (NaCl): 3059, 1554, 1476, 1453, 1331, 1252, 1027, 947, 757, 711 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NNaS 360.0822; Found 360.0823.

**2-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (5b).** Compound **5b** was prepared from bromo compound (104 mg, 0.310 mmol) and 2-methoxyphenylboronic acid (51.0 mg, 0.340 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5b** as a yellow solid (109 mg, 68%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.18 (d, *J* 8.3, 1H), 8.05 (dd, *J* 8.1, 0.9, 1H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, *J* 8.1, 2H), 7.61–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.43 (t, *J* 7.4, 1H), 7.23 (t, *J* 7.4, 1H), 6.94–6.91 (m, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 156.1, 155.5, 146.1,

143.8, 140.2, 140.1, 132.2, 130.4, 129.7, 129.4 (2C), 129.2, 129.0, 128.7(2C), 128.4, 126.7, 123.4, 123.2, 122.7, 122.5, 121.1, 111.8, 55.8; IR (NaCl): 3055, 1712, 1551, 1491, 1480, 1333, 1248, 1117, 1026, 752, 707  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{17}\text{ONNaS}$  390.0929; Found 390.0925.

**2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-*c*]quinoline (5c).** Compound **5c** was prepared from bromo compound (54.0 mg, 0.160 mmol) and 3-methoxyphenylboronic acid (27.0 mg, 0.180 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5c** as a colorless solid (48 mg, 82%). Compound **5c** was synthesized in 47% yield from dibromo compound **3** employing general procedure B:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.19–8.17 (m, 1H), 8.15–8.13 (m, 1H), 7.97–7.95 (m, 2H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.72–7.74 (m, 1H), 7.65–7.55 (m, 4H), 7.39–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.28 (d,  $J$  1.7, 1h) 6.96–6.94 (m, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  160.1, 155.7, 144.3, 135.0, 134.8, 133.3, 130.5, 130.3, 129.3, 129.1, 128.8, 128.7, 127.0, 125.3, 123.4, 123.2, 123.1, 120.6, 119.2, 114.0, 112.5, 55.5; IR (NaCl): 1599, 1478, 1331, 1290, 1167, 1049, 768, 708  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{17}\text{ONNaS}$  390.0929; Found 390.0930.

**2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-*c*]quinoline (5d).** Compound **5d** was prepared from bromo compound **4** (104 mg, 0.310 mmol) and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid (51.0 mg, 0.340 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5d** as a colorless solid (102 mg, 91%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.27 (d,  $J$  8.3, 1H), 8.09 (d,  $J$  7.9, 1H), 7.97–7.95 (m, 2H), 7.73–7.56 (m, 8H), 6.98–6.96 (m, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  160.1, 155.5, 145.1, 144.4, 143.9, 140.2, 133.4, 130.4, 129.3 (2C), 129.0, 128.7 (2C), 128.5, 127.9 (2C), 126.9, 126.3, 123.4, 123.0, 119.1, 114.5 (2C), 55.4; IR (NaCl): 1607, 1505, 1478, 1256, 1180, 1028, 824, 768, 704  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{17}\text{ONNaS}$  390.0929; Found 390.0928.

**2-(2-Chlorophenyl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-*c*]quinoline (5e).** Compound **5e** was prepared from bromo compound **4** (102 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 2-chlorophenylboronic acid (51.0 mg, 0.330 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5e** as a colorless solid (102 mg, 65%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.37 (d,  $J$  8.0, 1H), 8.16 (dd,  $J$  8.0, 0.9, 1H), 7.99 (d,  $J$  8.0, 2H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.75 (ddd,  $J$  8.4, 7.0, 1.4, 1H), 7.64–7.55 (m, 4H), 7.55–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.34 (m, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  155.8, 146.6, 144.0, 140.3, 140.0, 132.8, 132.6, 132.3, 131.9, 130.7, 130.5, 129.7, 129.3 (2C), 129.2, 128.9, 128.7 (2C), 127.1, 126.9, 125.5, 123.2 (2C); IR (NaCl): 3058, 1712, 1558, 1485, 1467, 1330, 1246, 1064, 949, 756, 700  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{14}\text{ClNaS}$  394.0433; Found 394.0427.

**2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-*c*]quinoline (5f).** Compound **5f** was prepared from bromo compound **4** (101 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3-chlorophenylboronic acid (51.0 mg, 0.330 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5f** as a colorless solid (104 mg, 66%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.33–8.32 (m, 1H), 8.16 (d,  $J$  8.3, 1H), 7.98 (d,  $J$  8.0, 1H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.76 (t,  $J$  7.8, 1H), 7.66–7.54 (m, 5H), 7.36–7.37 (m, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  155.63, 145.9, 143.9, 142.5, 139.8, 135.3, 135.1, 133.0, 130.4, 130.3, 129.3 (3C), 128.9, 128.8 (2C), 128.5, 127.0, 126.4, 124.6, 123.2, 123.2, 121.2; IR (NaCl): 3058, 1712, 1593, 1564, 1485, 1453, 1330, 1250, 1079, 966, 767, 740, 719, 701  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{14}\text{ClNaS}$  394.0433; Found 394.0432.

**2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-*c*]quinoline (5g).** Compound **5g** was prepared from bromo compound **4** (147 mg, 0.430 mmol) and 4-chlorophenylboronic acid (75.0 mg, 0.480 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5g** as a colorless solid (93 mg, 58%): Compound **5g** was synthesized in 53% yield from dibromo compound **3** employing general procedure B:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.29 (d,  $J$  8.3, 1H), 8.10 (d,  $J$  7.8, 1H), 7.96–7.94 (m, 2H), 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.75–7.73 (m, 1H), 7.67–7.55 (m, 6H), 7.43–7.41 (m, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  155.6, 145.7, 144.0, 142.9, 140.1, 134.6, 133.2, 132.1, 130.5, 129.3 (2C), 129.2 (3C), 128.9, 128.8 (2C), 127.7 (2C), 127.1, 123.3, 123.1, 120.8; IR (NaCl): 1474, 1331, 1250, 1171, 1094, 812, 754, 718  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{14}\text{ClNaS}$  394.0433; Found 394.0432.

**2-(2,3-Dimethoxyphenyl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (5h).** Compound **5h** was prepared from bromo compound **4** (103 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 2,3-dimethoxyphenylboronic acid (61.0 mg, 0.330 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5h** as a colorless solid (113 mg, 66%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.19 (d,  $J$  8.5, 1H), 8.09 (dd,  $J$  8.1, 0.9, 1H), 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d,  $J$  8.2, 2H), 7.61 (dt,  $J$  6.9, 1.4, 1H), 7.53–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.44 (t,  $J$  7.4, 1H), 7.25 (dd,  $J$  8.0, 1.4, 1H), 7.02 (t,  $J$  8.0, 1H), 6.80 (dd,  $J$  8.1, 1.3, 1H), 3.84 (d,  $J$  4.2, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  155.6, 153.5, 146.7, 146.2, 143.9, 140.2, 139.3, 132.9, 130.4, 129.3 (2C), 129.1, 128.7 (2C), 128.6, 127.5, 126.8, 124.5, 123.5, 123.2, 122.8, 120.3, 112.4, 60.9, 56.0; IR (NaCl): 2934, 1577, 1486, 1472, 1427, 1265, 1234, 1086, 1002, 768, 703  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_2\text{NNaS}$  420.1034; Found 420.1032.

**2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (5i).** Compound **5i** was prepared from bromo compound **4** (101 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3,4-dimethoxyphenylboronic acid (61.0 mg, 0.330 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5i** as a brown solid (120 mg, 60%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.28 (d,  $J$  8.1, 1H), 8.13 (d,  $J$  8.1, 1H), 7.96 (d,  $J$  8.2, 2H), 7.73–7.71 (m, 2H), 7.64–7.60 (m, 3H), 7.57–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.33 (dd,  $J$  8.2, 0.8, 1H), 7.22 (d,  $J$  2.1, 1H), 6.95 (d,  $J$  8.4, 1H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.96 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  155.4, 149.8, 149.4, 145.3, 144.6, 143.8, 140.2, 134.3, 130.4, 129.3 (2C), 129.1, 128.7 (2C), 128.6, 126.9, 126.6, 123.4, 123.0, 119.5, 119.2, 111.6, 109.8, 56.2, 56.1; IR (NaCl): 2932, 1507, 1479, 1440, 1264, 1145, 1026, 767, 707  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_2\text{NNaS}$  420.1034; Found 420.1031.

**2-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (5j).** Compound **5j** was prepared from bromo compound **4** (103 mg, 0.300 mmol) and 3,5-dimethoxyphenylboronic acid (61.0 mg, 0.330 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5j** as a colorless solid (112 mg, 66%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.30 (d,  $J$  7.7, 1H), 8.20 (dd,  $J$  8.0, 0.8, 1H), 7.96 (d,  $J$  7.4, 2H), 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.73 (t,  $J$  7.0, 1H), 7.65–7.61 (m, 3H), 7.57 (t,  $J$  7.4, 1H), 6.88 (d,  $J$  2.2, 2H), 6.51 (t,  $J$  2.2, 1H), 3.88 (s, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  161.3, 155.6, 145.7, 144.4, 143.7, 139.9, 135.4, 133.0, 130.3, 129.3 (2C), 129.2, 128.8 (4C), 127.0, 123.3, 123.1, 120.7, 105.1 (2C), 100.5, 55.6 (2C); IR (NaCl): 2938, 1592, 1454, 1425, 1329, 1206, 1156, 1066, 832, 768, 702  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_2\text{NNaS}$  420.1034; Found 420.1030.

**2-[4-(tert-Butyl)phenyl]-4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (5k).** Compound **5k** was prepared from bromo compound **4** (203 mg, 0.600 mmol) and 4-(tert-butyl)phenylboronic acid (115 mg, 0.650 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5k** as a colorless amorphous solid (118 mg, 50%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.30 (d,  $J$  8.3, 1H), 8.13 (dd,  $J$  8.1, 1.2, 1H), 7.97 (d,  $J$  6.7, 2H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.75–7.54 (m, 7H), 7.49 (d,  $J$  8.6, 2H), 1.40 (s, 9H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  155.5, 152.1, 145.6, 144.6, 143.8, 140.0, 133.3, 130.8, 130.3 (2C), 129.3 (2C), 128.9, 128.7, 128.6 (2C), 126.9 (2C), 126.4, 126.3, 126.1, 123.5, 123.1, 119.9, 31.3; IR (NaCl): 3058, 2962, 2866, 1554, 1476, 1363, 1269, 1110, 908, 827, 767, 701  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{24}\text{NS}$  394.1629; Found 394.1681.

**(E)-2-(Hept-1-en-1-yl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (5l).** Compound **5l** was prepared from bromo compound **4** (106 mg, 0.310 mmol) and *trans*-1-heptenylboronic acid, pinacol ester (77.0 mg, 0.340 mmol) by general procedure A to provide compound **5l** as a yellow semi-solid (71 mg, 64%): Compound **5l** was synthesized in 81% yield from dibromo compound **3** employing general procedure B:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.10 (d,  $J$  8.3, 1H), 7.98 (d,  $J$  8.0, 1H), 7.92 (d,  $J$  7.2, 2H), 7.66 (t,  $J$  7.7, 1H), 7.58–7.50 (m, 4H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 6.64 (d,  $J$  15.7, 1H), 6.24–6.19 (m, 1H), 2.16 (q,  $J$  7.2, 2H), 1.45–1.41 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.27 (m, 4H), 0.87 (t,  $J$  6.6, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  154.7, 144.2, 144.1, 143.5, 140.2, 134.6, 132.5, 130.4, 129.3 (2C), 128.9, 128.5, 128.4 (2C), 126.9, 123.3, 123.1, 122.9, 121.9, 32.7, 31.3, 28.6, 22.3, 13.5; IR (NaCl): 2930, 2859, 1713, 1674, 1557, 1481, 1453, 1331, 858, 758, 702  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{24}\text{NS}$  358.1629; Found 358.1629.

**4-Phenyl-2-(phenylethynyl)thieno[3,2-c]quinoline (6a).** THF (9 mL) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (1 mL) were added to bromo compound **4** (53.0 mg, 0.156 mmol), CuI (3.0 mg, 0.016 mmol), and [Pd(Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>4</sub>] (9.0 mg, 0.0078 mmol) maintained under N<sub>2</sub>. Phenylacetylene (53.0 mg, 0.156 mmol) was then added and the magnetically-stirred mixture was heated at 70 °C. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was cooled and Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) was added. The ensuing mixture was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 10 mL) and NaCl (10 mL of a saturated aqueous solution). The organic phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was eluted through a plug of silica gel (50% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexanes elution) and the ensuing solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to provide compound **6a** as a yellow solid (37 mg, 66%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.29 (d, *J* 8.3, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* 8.1, 1H), 7.95–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.75 (t, *J* 7.2, 1H), 7.64–7.53 (m, 7H), 7.41–7.39 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 155.5, 146.7, 144.3, 139.7, 131.8, 131.6 (2C), 130.5, 129.7, 129.3 (2C), 129.2, 129.0, 128.7 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 12.1, 123.4, 123.2, 123.0, 122.2, 95.7, 82.4; IR (NaCl): 1489, 1474, 1443, 1329, 1235, 1026, 858, 752 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NNaS 384.0823; Found 384.0822.

**2-(Hept-1-yn-1-yl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (6b).** Et<sub>3</sub>N (10 mL) was added to bromo compound **4** (227 mg, 0.680 mmol), CuI (15.0 mg, 0.078 mmol), and [Pd(Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>4</sub>] (43.0 mg, 0.037 mmol) in a Schlenk tube maintained under N<sub>2</sub>. 1-Heptyne (141 mg, 1.47 mmol) was then added, the tube was sealed, and the magnetically-stirred mixture was heated at 100 °C. After 3 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (50% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexanes then 10% EtOAc/ CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> elution; silica gel) to provide compound **6b** as an orange oil (149 mg, 63%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.31 (d, *J* 8.4, 1H), 8.05 (dd, *J* 8.0, 0.8, 1H), 7.91 (d, *J* 4.0, 2H), 7.74, (t, *J* 4.8, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.63–7.52 (m, 4H), 7.42–7.35 (m, 4H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 3H), 2.50 (t, *J* 4.8, 2H), 1.67 (pentet, *J* 4.8, 2H), 1.47 (pentet, *J* 4.8, 2H), 1.40 (pentet, *J* 4.8, 2H), 0.96 (t, *J* 4.8, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 155.3, 146.2, 144.0, 139.5, 131.8, 130.2, 129.9 (2C), 129.3, 129.1, 128.7, 128.7 (2C), 127.1, 123.1, 123.0, 98.0, 73.6, 31.2, 28.1, 22.2, 19.8, 14.0; IR (NaCl): 2917, 2855, 1654, 1553, 1492, 1482, 1330, 1091, 1013, 908, 800, 720 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NS 355.1473; Found 356.1467.

**4-Phenyl-2-[(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl]thieno[3,2-c]quinoline (6c).** Et<sub>3</sub>N (10 mL) was added to bromo compound **4** (1.10 g, 3.23 mmol), CuI (60.0 mg, 0.315 mmol), and [Pd(Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>4</sub>] (170 mg, 0.147 mmol) in a Schlenk tube maintained under N<sub>2</sub>. Trimethylsilylacetylene (638 mg, 6.49 mmol) was then added, the tube was sealed, and the magnetically-stirred mixture was heated at 70 °C. After 3 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (50% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexanes then 10% EtOAc/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> elution; silica gel) to provide compound **6c** as a yellow amorphous solid (1.05 g, 91%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.28 (d, *J* 5.6, 1H), 8.06 (d, *J* 5.4, 1H), 7.90 (d, *J* 4.9, 2H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.75 (t, *J* 4.8, 1H), 7.63–7.53 (m, 4H), 0.32 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 155.6, 146.7, 144.4, 139.6, 131.6, 130.5 (2C), 129.3, 129.2 (2C), 128.7, 127.1, 123.2, 123.1, 123.0, 102.2, 97.0, 0.23; IR (NaCl): 3059, 2958, 2898, 2147, 1548, 1475, 1452, 1420, 1329, 1249, 1177, 1153, 1027, 860, 845, 765, 757, 717 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NSSi 355.1086; Found 358.1080.

**Methyl 4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline-2-carboxylate (7a).** [Pd(Ph<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>4</sub>] (3 mg, 0.002 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (1 mL) were added to a solution of bromo compound **4** (41.0 mg, 0.120 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) maintained under N<sub>2</sub>. CO was bubbled through the mixture. After 5 min, the mixture was placed under an atmosphere of CO and magnetically-stirred at 70 °C. After 16 h, the mixture was cooled then HCl (5 mL of a 2 M aqueous solution) was added. The ensuing mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 10 mL), washed with NaCl (10 mL of a saturated aqueous solution), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide compound **7a** as an off-white crystalline solid (53 mg, 69%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.36 (s, 1H), 8.31 (d, *J* 7.1, 2H), 8.17 (d, *J* 8.1, 1H), 7.91 (d, *J* 7.1, 2H), 7.81 (t, *J* 7.6, 1H), 7.67 (t, *J* 7.6, 1H), 7.62–7.56 (m, 3H), 4.00 (s,

3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  162.6, 156.8, 149.4, 144.6, 139.3, 133.1, 131.6, 131.6 (2C), 130.5, 130.1, 129.5, 129.3 (2C), 128.9 (2C), 127.4, 123.4, 123.0, 52.7; IR (NaCl): 2922, 1727, 1453, 1257, 756  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{13}\text{O}_2\text{NNaS}$  342.0565; Found 342.0564.

**2-Heptyl-4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (7b).** Pd/C (10 mg of a 10% w/w mixture) was added to a magnetically-stirred solution of alkyne **6b** (53.0 mg, 0.150 mmol) in EtOH (5 mL) and the ensuing mixture was placed under an atmosphere of  $\text{H}_2$  (balloon, 1 atm). After 2 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite<sup>®</sup>, eluted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . The ensuing solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to provide compound **7b** as a yellow semi-solid (50 mg, 93%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.16 (d,  $J$  8.3, 1H), 7.96 (d,  $J$  8.1, 1H), 7.81 (d,  $J$  7.4, 2H), 7.58 (t,  $J$  7.8, 1H), 7.48 (q,  $J$  6.7, 3H), 7.42 (t,  $J$  7.3, 1H), 7.23 (s, 1H), 2.88 (t,  $J$  7.6, 2H), 1.69 (t,  $J$  5.2, 2H), 1.32–1.19 (m, 8H), 0.80 (t,  $J$  6.6, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  154.1, 145.9, 144.2, 142.6, 139.3, 131.5, 129.3 (2C), 128.2, 127.9, 127.6 (2C), 127.1, 125.6, 122.5, 121.9, 120.5, 30.7, 30.4, 29.8, 28.0, 27.9, 21.5, 13.0; IR (NaCl): 3059, 2954, 2927, 2654, 2359, 1558, 1481, 1329, 1026, 857, 766  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{26}\text{NS}$  360.1786; Found 360.1780.

**2-Phenethyl-4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (7c).** Pd/C (10 mg of a 10% w/w mixture) was added to a magnetically-stirred solution of alkyne **6a** (45.0 mg, 0.120 mmol) in EtOH (5 mL) and the ensuing mixture was placed under an atmosphere of  $\text{H}_2$  (~30 psi in a Parr hydrogenator). After 2 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite<sup>®</sup>, eluted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , and the ensuing solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to provide compound **7c** as a yellow oil (35 mg, 77%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.29 (d,  $J$  8.3, 1H), 8.07 (d,  $J$  7.9, 1H), 7.89–7.87 (m, 2H), 7.71 (t,  $J$  7.9, 1H), 7.62–7.51 (m, 4H), 7.34–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.24 (m, 4H), 3.31 (t,  $J$  7.7, 2H), 3.11 (t,  $J$  7.7, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  155.2, 145.4, 145.2, 143.8, 140.5, 140.3, 132.5, 130.4, 129.3 (2C), 128.9, 128.6 (2C), 128.4 (4C), 128.3, 126.7, 126.4, 123.6, 123.0, 122.3, 37.6, 32.6; IR (NaCl): 2922, 1481, 1453, 1412, 1331, 1026, 858, 754  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{19}\text{NNaS}$  388.1136; Found 388.1134.

**2-(4-Methoxyphenethyl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (7d).** Compound **7d** was prepared from the corresponding alkyne precursor (52.0 mg, 0.130 mmol) by General Procedure C to provide after flash column chromatography (0–5% EtOAc/ $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ; silica gel) compound **7d** as a colorless amorphous solid (50 mg, 95%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.26 (d,  $J$  8.4, 1H), 8.06 (dd,  $J$  8.0, 0.8, 1H), 7.86 (d,  $J$  6.7, 2H), 7.70 (t,  $J$  7.0, 1H), 7.63–7.50 (m, 4H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 7.14 (d,  $J$  8.6, 2H), 6.86 (d,  $J$  8.6, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.28 (t,  $J$  7.5, 2H), 3.05 (t,  $J$  7.5, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  158.2, 155.2, 145.3, 145.2, 143.8, 140.3, 132.5, 132.4, 130.4, 129.5, 129.2, 128.9 (2C), 128.6, 128.2 (4C), 126.7, 123.6, 123.0, 122.3, 113.9, 55.3, 36.7, 32.9; IR (NaCl): 3057, 2930, 2833, 1611, 1558, 1512, 1481, 1329, 1246, 1177, 1035, 908, 768, 700  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{22}\text{NOS}$  396.1422; Found 396.1417.

**2-(4-Chlorophenethyl)-4-phenylthieno[3,2-c]quinoline (7e).** Compound **7e** was prepared from the corresponding alkyne precursor (71.0 mg, 0.180 mmol) by General Procedure C to provide after flash column chromatography (5% EtOAc/ $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ; silica gel) compound **7e** as a colorless amorphous solid (65 mg, 91%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.29 (d,  $J$  8.0, 1H), 8.06 (dd,  $J$  8.0, 0.8, 1H), 7.83 (d,  $J$  8.0, 2H), 7.71 (t,  $J$  7.6, 1H), 7.63–7.51 (m, 4H), 7.29–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d,  $J$  8.0, 2H) 3.29 (t,  $J$  7.5, 2H), 3.08 (t,  $J$  7.5, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  155.1, 145.5, 144.6, 143.6, 140.4, 140.0, 138.8, 132.4, 132.2, 129.3 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 126.8, 123.5 (4C), 123.0, 122.5, 36.9, 32.4; IR (NaCl): 3059, 2916, 2848, 1491, 1481, 1330, 1091, 1015, 908, 835, 767, 720, 700  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{19}\text{NSCl}$  400.0927; Found 400.0921.

**4-Phenyl-2-[2-(thien-2-yl)ethyl]thieno[3,2-c]quinoline (7f).** Compound **7f** was prepared from the corresponding alkyne precursor (69.0 mg, 0.190 mmol) by General Procedure C to provide after flash column chromatography (100%  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ; silica gel) compound **7f** as a yellow amorphous solid (50 mg, 72%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.29 (d,  $J$  8.1, 1H), 8.06 (dd,  $J$  7.6, 0.9, 1H), 7.90 (d,  $J$  8.0, 2H), 7.72–7.52 (m, 4H), 7.34 (s, 1H),

7.19 (dd, *J* 5.1, 1.2, 1H) 6.97–6.95 (m, 1H), 6.85 (dd, *J* 3.3, 0.7, 1H), 3.32–3.37 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 155.20, 145.5, 144.5, 143.7, 142.9, 140.2, 132.4, 130.4, 129.3, 129.0 (2C), 128.7, 128.4 (2C), 126.9, 126.8, 125.1, 123.7, 123.5, 123.0, 122.5, 32.9, 31.7; IR (NaCl): 3500, 3059, 2918, 2847, 2359, 1558, 1481, 1441, 1329, 1235, 1026, 767, 719 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NS<sub>2</sub> 372.0881; Found 372.0875.

**Biological testing.** Purified metabolites were dissolved in DMSO to provide stock solutions (10,000 µg/mL). An aliquot of each stock solution was transferred to the first lane of Rows B to G in a 96-well microtitre plate and two-fold serially diluted with DMSO across the 12 lanes of the plate to provide a 2,048-fold concentration gradient. Bioassay medium was added to an aliquot of each test solution to provide a 100-fold dilution into the final bioassay, thus yielding a test range of 100 to 0.05 µg/mL in 1% DMSO. Row A contained no test compound (as a reference for no inhibition) and Row H was uninoculated (as a reference for complete inhibition).

In the present study, NS-1 (ATCC TIB-18) mouse myeloma and NFF (ATCC PCS-201) human neonatal foreskin fibroblast cells were used as indicative cell lines for anti-tumour and mammalian cell toxicity, respectively. Each cell line was inoculated in 96-well microtitre plates (190 µL) at 50,000 cells/mL in DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium + 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) + 1% penicillin/streptomycin (10,000 U/mL/10,000 µg/mL, Life Technologies Cat. No. 15140122), together with resazurin (250 µg/mL; 10 µL) and incubated in 37 °C (5% CO<sub>2</sub>) incubator. The plates were incubated for 96 h during which time the positive control wells change color from a blue to pink color. The absorbance of each well was measured at 605 nm using a Spectromax plate reader (Molecular Devices).

*Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 6633) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923) were used as indicative species for Gram positive antibacterial activity. A bacterial suspension (50 mL in 250 mL flask) was prepared in nutrient media by cultivation for 24 h at 250 rpm, 28 °C. The suspension was diluted to an absorbance of 0.01 absorbance units per mL, and 10 µL aliquots were added to the wells of a 96-well microtitre plate, which contained the test compounds dispersed in nutrient broth (Amyl) with resazurin (12.5 µg/mL). The plates were incubated at 28 °C for 48 h during which time the positive control wells change color from a blue to light pink color. MIC end points were determined visually. The absorbance was measured using Spectromax plate reader (Molecular Devices) at 605 nm and the MIC values determined.

The yeasts *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10231) and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (ATCC 9763) were used as indicative species for antifungal activity. A yeast suspension (50 mL in 250 mL flask) was prepared in 1% malt extract broth by cultivation for 24 h at 250 rpm, 24 °C. The suspension was diluted to an absorbance of 0.005 and 0.03 absorbance units per mL for *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae*, respectively. Aliquots (20 µL and 30 µL) of *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae*, respectively were applied to the wells of a 96-well microtitre plate, which contained the test compounds dispersed in malt extract agar containing bromocresol green (50 µg/mL). The plates were incubated at 24 °C for 48 h during which time the positive control wells change color from a blue to yellow color. MIC end points were determined visually. The absorbance was measured using Spectromax plate reader (Molecular Devices) at 620 nm and the MIC determined.

*Giardia duodenalis* (strain 713) was used as indicative species for antiparasitic activity. *G. duodenalis* was inoculated in 96-well microtitre plates (200 µL) at 4×10<sup>5</sup> cells/mL in *Giardia* medium (0.2% tryptone, Oxoid; 0.1% yeast extract, Difco; 0.5% glucose; 0.106% L-Arginine; 0.1% L-cysteine; 0.2% NaCl; 0.1% K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>; 0.06% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; 0.02% ascorbic acid; 0.0023% Ferric ammonium citrate; 0.01% Bile (Sigma); 1% penicillin/streptomycin (10,000 U/mL/10,000 µg/mL, Life Technologies Cat. No. 15140122), 10% new born calf serum (NBCS), Life Technologies). The plates were incubated in anaerobic jars (Oxoid AG25) containing

Anaerogen satchel (Oxoid AN25) in 37 °C (5% CO<sub>2</sub>) incubator. At 96 h, *G. duodenalis* proliferation was counted and % inhibition graphed to determine the MIC values.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the University of Tasmania School of Natural Sciences – Chemistry for funding and the University of Tasmania Central Science Laboratory for providing access to NMR spectroscopy services. IKH thanks the Australian Government for a Research Training Program Scholarship and YAT thanks the University of Tasmania for a Dean's Summer Research Scholarship. ACB's contributions were supported by an ARC Future Fellowship (FT200100049).

## Supplementary Material

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for compounds **4**, **5a-l**, **6a-c** and **7a-f** are provided.

## References

1. For a recent review of the synthesis of thienoquinolines, see: Teja, C.; Nawaz Khan, F.-R. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, *9*, 1889–1900.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ajoc.202000427>
2. Moretto, A. F.; Kirincich, S. J.; Xu, W. X.; Smith, M. J.; Wan, Z. K.; Wilson, D. P.; Follows, B. C.; Binnun, E.; Joseph-McCarthy, D.; Foreman, K.; Erbe, D. V.; Zhang, Y. L.; Tam, S. K.; Tam, S. Y.; Lee, J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *14*, 2162–2177.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2005.11.005>
3. Pierre, F.; Regan, C. F.; Chevrel, M.-C.; Siddiqui-Jain, A.; Macalino, D.; Streiner, N.; Drygin, D.; Haddach, M.; O'Brien, S. E.; Rice, W. G.; Ryckman, D. M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2012**, *22*, 3327–3331.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2012.02.099>
4. Abdel-Wadood, F. K.; Abdel-Monem, M. I.; Fahmy, A. M.; Geies, A. A. *Arch. Pharm. Chem. Life Sci.* **2014**, *347*, 142–152.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.201300167>
5. Chatterjee, A.; Cutler, S. J.; Doerksen, R. J.; Khan, I. A.; Williamson, J. S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *22*, 6409–6421.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2014.09.043>
6. Salvati, E.; Botta, L.; Amato, J.; Di Leva, F. S.; Zizza, P.; Gioiello, A.; Pagano, B.; Graziani, G.; Tarsounas, M.; Randazzo, A.; Novellino, E.; Biroccio, A.; Cosconati, S. *J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *60*, 3626–3635.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b01563>
7. Jiang, B.; Ning, X.; Gong, S.; Jiang, N.; Zhong, C.; Lu, Z.-H.; Yang, C. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2017**, *5*, 10220–10224.  
<https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TC03667A>
8. Mekheimer, R. A.; Sadek, K. U.; El-Nabi, H. A. A.; Mohamed, A. A. E. H.; Ebraheem, E. A.; Smith, M. B. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **2005**, *42*, 567–574.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.5570420415>

9. Avetisyan, A.; Aleksanyan, I.; Sargsyan, K. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *43*, 422–425.  
<https://doi.org/10.1134/S1070428007030165>
10. Mekheimer, R. A.; Hameed, A. M. A.; Sadek, K. U. *ARKIVOC* **2008**, *xvi*, 144–153.  
<https://doi.org/10.3998/ark.5550190.0009.g14>
11. Selvi, G.; Rajendram, S. P. *Heterocycl. Commun.* **2009**, *15*, 349–350.  
<https://doi.org/10.1515/HC.2009.15.5.349>
12. Mphahlele, M. J.; Maluleka, M. M.; Makhafola, T. J.; Mabeta, P. *Molecules* **2014**, *19*, 18527–18542.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules191118527>
13. Yang, Y.; Hornfeldt, A.; Gronowitz, S. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1989**, *26*, 865–868.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.5570260366>
14. Martin, A. R.; Yang, Y. *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1993**, *47*, 221–221.  
<https://doi.org/10.3891/acta.chem.scand.47-0221>
15. Marzinzik, A.; Rademacher, P. *Synthesis* **1995**, *1995*, 1131–1134.  
<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-1995-4062>
16. Youn, S. W.; Bihn, J. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 4598–4601.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.05.071>
17. Beydoun, K.; Doucet, H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *2012*, 6745–6751.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201201142>
18. Akula, M.; Yogeewari, P.; Sriram, D.; Jha, M.; Bhattacharya, A. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 46073–46080.  
<https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RA03187K>
19. Hernández-Ruiz, R.; Rubio-Presa, R.; Suárez-Pantiga, S.; Pedrosa, M. R.; Fernández-Rodríguez, M. A.; Tapia M. J.; Sanz, R. *Chem. – Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 13613–13623.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202102000>
20. Suzuki, A. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4759–4763.  
<https://doi.org/10.1039/B507375H>
21. Banwell, M. G.; Goodwin, T. E.; Ng, S.; Smith, J. A.; Wong, D. J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 3043–3060.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.200500911>
22. Rossi, R.; Bellina, F.; Lessi, M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, 1181–1255.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201100942>
23. He, C.; Zhang, X.; Huang, R.; Pan, J.; Li, J.; Ling, X.; Xiong, Y.; Zhu, X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2014**, *55*, 4458–4462.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2014.06.047>
24. Handy, S. T.; Sabatini, J. J. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 1537–1539.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ol0530981>
25. Handy, S. T.; Zhang, Y. *Synthesis* **2006**, *22*, 3883–3887.  
<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-950305>
26. Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling conditions were adapted from: Bissember, A. C.; Phillis, A. T.; Banwell, M. G.; Willis, A. C. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 5421–5424.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ol7021774>
27. Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2923–2925.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/jo00408a041>