Rational design and regioselective synthesis of conformationally restricted furan-derived ligands as potential anti-malarial agents
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Abstract

Substituted 3-furanomethyl phosphate esters and their corresponding phosphoric acids have been prepared as conformationally restricted analogues of DOXP, the natural substrate for Plasmodium falciparum 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate reductoisomerase (PfDXR), and fosmidomycin, an established inhibitor. Saturation Transfer Difference (STD) NMR analysis and in silico docking data suggest the potential of such compounds as PfDXR inhibitors.

Keywords: Furan derivatives, 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate (DOXP), fosmidomycin, PfDXR inhibitors, in silico docking, STD NMR.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.24820/ark.5550190.p011.281
Introduction

Malaria presents major and apparently continuing health challenges worldwide – particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa. The situation is compounded by the emergence of drug-resistant Plasmodium falciparum (Pf) parasites, insecticide-resistant mosquitoes and the increased susceptibility to infection in HIV-positive individuals. Quinolines, anti-folates, hydroxynapthoquinones, antibiotics and, latterly, artemisinins have all found use as anti-malarial agents. Artemisinin-based Combination Therapy (ACT), involving the use artemisinins (with short plasma half-lives) in combination with long-acting, anti-malarial drugs, has proved effective but expensive.

Various human pathogens, including P. falciparum, make exclusive use of the non-mevalonate 1-deoxy-D-xulose-5-phosphate (DOXP)/2-C-methyl-D-erythritol-4-phosphate (MEP) pathway for the biosynthesis of isoprenoids. 1-Deoxy-D-xulose-5-phosphate reductoisomerase (DXR), a key enzyme in this pathway, has been validated as a suitable target for therapeutic intervention and the antibiotic fosmidomycin and its acetyl derivative FR900098 have been shown to inhibit the enzyme. Numerous analogues of these compounds have been developed and we have reported the synthesis and evaluation of phosphonated N-aryl- and N-heteroarylcarboxamides and (N-arylcarbamoyl)alkylphosphonic acid derivatives as fosmidomycin analogues, N-substituted phosphoramidic acid esters as "reverse" fosmidomycin analogues and N-benzylated phosphoramidic acid derivatives as FR900098 analogues. Some approaches by other groups have focussed on the development of structural analogues of the natural substrate, DOXP, as potential DXR inhibitors. We now report the regioselective synthesis of conformationally constrained furan-derived ligands, which incorporate structural features of both DOXP and fosmidomycin.

![Figure 1. Structures of fosmidomycin, DOXP and the targeted ligands.](image)

Results and Discussion

Earlier in silico modelling indicated the capacity of the furan derivative to adopt a stable conformation similar to fosmidomycin in the active-site of a, then available, X-ray structure of EcDXR (2EHG). Figure 2 illustrates the potential of the furan and Z-oxime oxygen atoms to coordinate the divalent Mg²⁺ cation via a six-membered chelate. (With the E-oxime a five-membered metal chelate may be envisaged involving the furan oxygen and oxime nitrogen atoms.) While the dihydro- and tetrahydrofuran analogues have exhibited similar alignment with DOXP, our synthetic efforts have been focussed, initially, on the furan derivatives 3a-c.
Figure 2. Initial rigid docking, using Autodock, of ligand 3a in the EcDXR active-site (2EGH), illustrating hydrogen-bonding of the ligand with active-site residues. The crystal structure conformation of fosmidomycin 1 is coloured yellow, protein active-site residues are shown in wire-frame coloured by atom type, NADPH is coloured green, Mg$^{2+}$ is shown as a blue sphere and the ligand 3a is coloured by atom type. Hydrogen bonds are shown as green dashed lines.

Readily available 3-furanmethanol 4 appeared to be an appropriate substrate for the targeted 2,4-disubstituted furan derivatives 3a-c and and 11a-c (Scheme 1). However, electrophilic substitution is favoured at both of the α-positions (C-2 and C-5) in furan and regioselective C-5 acylation of 3-furanmethanol 4 (C-2 in the product!) was clearly desirable. Moreover, since the initial approach was planned to involve a lithiation-acylation sequence, protection of the hydroxyl group in compound 4 was considered necessary. Tritylation was deemed a promising solution to both challenges since it would protect the hydroxyl group and the steric bulk of the resulting 3-(trityloxymethyl) group was expected to hinder competitive acylation at C-2 thus directing substitution to C-5. Reaction of 3-furanmethanol 4 with trityl chloride in the presence of excess triethylamine and a catalytic quantity of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) afforded the protected intermediate 5 in 72% yield (Scheme 1).

In addition to introducing formyl (as in fosmidomycin 1) and acetyl groups (as in FR900098), introduction of the 3,3-dimethylbutanoyl group was planned in order to explore the capacity of unoccupied hydrophobic cavities in the DXR-active site to accommodate the bulky tert-butyl group. Several methods for acylating the furan moiety of the tritylated derivative 5 were explored. The first, which involved treatment of the tritylated derivative 5 with n-butyllithium followed by reaction with DMF, resulted in a mixture shown by NMR analysis to contain the isomeric aldehydes 6a and 7a. Semi-preparative HPLC afforded the desired regioisomer 6a as the major product, but in only 12% yield. Vilsmeier-Haak formylation of the tritylated derivative 5 using phosphoryl chloride and DMF, however, furnished the desired aldehyde 6a as the major product in 64% yield. The 5(formerly 2)-H signal is clearly evident at 6.74 ppm in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of compound 6a but is absent in the spectrum of the regioisomer 7a, which was isolated in a yield of only 2.4%.
Friedel-Crafts methodology\textsuperscript{28,29} was employed to access the 5-acetyl- and 5-(3,3-dimethylbutanoyl) analogues 6b and 6c, respectively. While aluminium trichloride is commonly used as the Lewis acid catalyst in such reactions, it has been reported to induce polymerisation of furan derivatives\textsuperscript{30} and attention was consequently given to the use of tin tetrachloride (SnCl\textsubscript{4}) and zinc chloride (ZnCl\textsubscript{2}) as alternative catalysts.\textsuperscript{31,32} Thus, the tritylated furan derivative 5 was reacted with acetic anhydride\textsuperscript{33} and with 3,3-dimethylbutanoyl chloride using SnCl\textsubscript{4} and ZnCl\textsubscript{2} to afford the required acylated derivatives 6b and 6c as the major products; the regio-directing effect of the 3-(trityloxymethyl) group was certainly evident with the unwanted 2-substituted regioisomers being limited to trace quantities (< 3%). The reactions were conducted initially at 0 °C and then 40 °C, thus avoiding electrophilic substitution of the phenyl rings, marginally better yields being obtained for 6b and 6c, respectively with SnCl\textsubscript{4} (64 and 56%) than with ZnCl\textsubscript{2} (37 and 33%).

Scheme 1

\textit{Reagents and reaction conditions:} i) Ph\textsubscript{3}CCl, Et\textsubscript{3}N, DMAP, THF, 80 °C, 15 h, N\textsubscript{2}; ii) n-BuLi, THF, -30 °C, 4 h, N\textsubscript{2} then DMF, -30 °C, 2 h, r.t., 2 h.; iii) POCl\textsubscript{3}, DMF, 0 °C for 2 h, 65 °C for 1 h then H\textsubscript{2}O/NaOH; iv) Ac\textsubscript{2}O or 3,3-dimethylbutanoyl chloride, SnCl\textsubscript{4}, 0 °C for 1 h, 40 °C for 4 h, N\textsubscript{2}; v) Ac\textsubscript{2}O or 3,3-dimethylbutanoyl chloride, ZnCl\textsubscript{2}, 0 °C for 1 h, 40 °C for 8 h, N\textsubscript{2}; vi) HCOOH/THF/H\textsubscript{2}O [1:1:0.1 v/v,], 50 °C, 2 h; vii) diethyl chlorophosphate, pyridine, 0 °C, 1 h, r.t., overnight; viii) H\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4}/THF (1:1 v/v), r.t., 2 d; ix) NH\textsubscript{2}OH.HCl, NaOAc, EtOH, reflux, 1 h.
While various methods have been reported for removing the trityl protecting group, involving treatment of compounds 6a-c with formic acid in aqueous methanol for two hours at 50 °C. The resulting primary alcohols 8a-c were used without further purification; reaction with diethyl chlorophosphate in pyridine gave the corresponding phosphate esters 9a-c in 71-74% yield. The dihydrogen phosphate analogues 10a-c, on the other hand, were accessed in moderate yields (58-65%) by subjecting compounds 6a-c to tandem de-tritylation and phosphorylation using a mixture of H$_3$PO$_4$ and THF (1:1 v/v). The presence of the phosphate moiety in compounds (9) and (10) is confirmed by the splitting of $^{1}$H and $^{13}$C NMR signals from $^{31}$P coupling with other proximate nuclei.

The final phase in our approach to the desired DOXP analogues involved oximation of the carbonyl compounds (9) and (10). While various oximation methodologies have been developed, we followed the classical method, which involved treating compounds 9a-c and 10a-c with an ethanolic solution of hydroxylamine hydrochloride in the presence of a catalytic quantity of sodium acetate. The corresponding, novel oximes 11a-c and 3a-c were isolated in good yields (87-96%) and were fully characterised. The phosphate esters 11a-c might be expected to act as pro-drugs with better membrane permeability than their dihydrogen phosphate analogues 3a-c, to which they could be hydrolysed in vivo by esterases.

Extensive in silico docking studies of compounds 3a-c and 10a-c [including all possible (de)protonation states and E/Z oxime geometries], and the phosphate esters 11a-c and 9a-c in EcDXR and/or PfDXR active sites have been undertaken. Autodock 4.2 docking in the initially available EcDXR X-ray structure (2EGH$^{24}$) had revealed that the most favourable conformation adopted by the formyl derivative 3a within the active-site exhibited hydrogen-bonding interactions with the rigidly held proximal amino acid residues, Lys 124, Glu151, Ser185, Ser221, Lys227 and Glu230. Compound 3a and its acetyl derivative 3b exhibited comparable, respective binding affinities (-10.84 and -10.20 Kcal.mol$^{-1}$) and ligand efficiencies (-0.77 and -0.68). The furan ring not only restricts conformational flexibility between the phosphate- and metal-binding sites, but the endocyclic oxygen appears to exhibit a hydrogen-bonding interaction with Lys 124. The 3,3-dimethylbutanoyl analogue 3c, however, appeared to be too bulky to be accommodated within the active-site, with the tert-butyl group extending well beyond the metal-binding site and resulting in a ca. 50% reduction in binding affinity (-5.89 Kcal.mol$^{-1}$) and ligand efficiency (-0.31).

In more detailed studies of the effect of steric bulk in determining the access and binding of ligands to EcDXR and PfDXR active sites, Autodock 4.2 and Autodock Vina$^{42}$ were both used and the proximal receptor residues were set to be flexible. In addition, various protonation and stereochemical (E- and Z-oxime) options were considered for each of the compounds 3a-3c. Each of the resulting ligand structures was docked against a range of PfDXR (homology-modelled, 3AU9$^{44}$) and EcDXR (2EGH$^{24}$ and 1QOL$^{45}$) receptors. In all cases, binding of the potential pro-drugs 11a-c was, unsurprisingly, less favourable than for the potential DXR inhibitors 3a-c. Interestingly, in terms of binding energy, ligand binding to the EcDXR structures was favoured over the PfDXR structures. With Autodock 4.2 docking, the ligand poses proved highly sensitive to the protonation state and the E/Z geometry of the oxime moiety. Moreover, as evidenced by the relative binding energies (illustrated graphically in the Supplementary Information file), there was little consistency in ligand poses through the series. However, the binding energy data indicates that the 3a (R = H) and 3b (R = Me) species bind preferentially to the PfDXR structures, while the 3c (R = CH$_2$Bu') species exhibits a preference for EcDXR (data tabulated and illustrated graphically in the Supplementary Information file). Given their structural similarity to DOXP, the 2-acetylated furan derivatives 10a-c and their diethyl ester analogues 9a-c were also docked against the selected enzyme targets. In the light of these studies, some general observations can be made.
i) Autotock Vina\(^{42}\) docking to a rigid receptor gave consistently weak binding energies indicative of poor binding for all the ligand systems examined. The QuickVina-W\(^{46}\) and Autodock Vina\(^{42}\) results for binding to a flexible receptor generally reflect significantly stronger binding and the corresponding data sets are consistent with each other.

ii) It is apparent that while 3a and 3b bind well to both PfDXR and EcDXR receptors, 3c having the greatest steric bulk binds better to EcDXR (and to the PfDXR homology model\(^{43}\) which is based on an EcDXR template).

iii) Interestingly, 3a and 3b exhibit “reverse” binding in the active site of the PfDXR structure 3AU9\(_{A}\),\(^{44}\) in the sense that, in each of the ligands, the phosphate moiety, rather than the oxime moiety, is located close to the magnesium cation (Figure 3). In contrast, 3c binds outside of the active site with weak binding affinity (-5.0 Kcal/mol). Other docking poses show 3c penetrating a cleft in the protein to allow the phosphate moiety to coordinate to the Mg\(^{2+}\) cation, albeit with even weaker binding affinity (-4.6 Kcal/mol). Ligands 3a and 3b, on the other hand, exhibit good binding energies (-8.1 and -8.5 Kcal.mol\(^{-1}\), respectively). These binding energies should be seen in the context of the corresponding values for the known inhibitors, FR90098 and fosmidomycin 1, to 3AU9\(_{A}\)\(^{44}\) (-8.2 Kcal.mol\(^{-1}\) in both instances).

iv) Similar orientation patterns emerge (Figure 4) for binding to the EcDXR receptor 2EGH\(_{B}\)\(^{24}\) with 3a and 3b almost perfectly overlaid in a “reverse” orientation. However 3c now binds in the “normal” orientation, in that the oxime moiety binds close to the Mg\(^{2+}\) cation. It is also apparent, however, that all three of the ligands 3a-c bind orthogonally to the co-crystallized ligand, fosmidomycin 1, but ligand 3c exhibits the strongest binding affinity for this receptor (-9.6 Kcal.mol\(^{-1}\) compared to -8.0 and -8.4 Kcal.mol\(^{-1}\) for 3a and 3b, respectively). Again, this is in the context of the binding of FR90098 and fosmidomycin 1 to 2EGH\(_{B}\)\(^{24}\) (-8.2 and -7.3 Kcal.mol\(^{-1}\), respectively).

v) Weak docking scores were observed for the phosphate esters 9a-c across all targets. While the structural variants for 10c exhibit good binding to the EcDXR targets (and the PfDXR homology model\(^{42}\)), they bind poorly to the PfDXR targets (e.g., -7.3 Kcal.mol\(^{-1}\) to PfDXR 3AU9\(_{B}\)\(^{44}\)). The 2-formyl and 2-acetyl DOXP analogues 10a and 10b, however, exhibit good binding scores across all targets – particularly to PfDXR targets. In fact, their binding affinities for PfDXR 3AU9\(_{B}\)\(^{44}\) (10a, -8.1; 10b, -8.4) are comparable with that of the natural enzyme substrate, DOXP (-8.5 Kcal.mol\(^{-1}\)). Moreover, in terms of pose, the docking of DOXP and 10a-b to 3AU9\(_{B}\)\(^{44}\) for example, clearly matches the fosmidomycin 1 pose in the crystal structure, as illustrated in Figure 5. Ligand 10c, however, is too large to be accommodated within the active site, and its best pose penetrates through the enzyme structure to coordinate the Mg\(^{2+}\) cation.
Figure 3. Best binding poses of 3a (red) and 3b (brown) to the PfDXR structure 3AU9_A showing reverse binding (with phosphate close to the Mg$^{2+}$ cation) relative to fosmidomycin 1 yellow. 3c binds externally (light green) with higher energy poses penetrating the active site (dark green). The cofactor is shown in blue and the Mg cation as a sphere.

Figure 4. Best binding poses of 3a (red) and 3b (brown) to the EcDXR structure 2EGH_B showing “reverse” binding (with phosphate close to the Mg$^{2+}$ cation). 3c (green) interacts with the Mg (behind) in a similar manner to fosmidomycin 1 (yellow). The cofactor is shown in blue and the Mg$^{2+}$ cation (behind) as a green sphere.
Figure 5. Best binding poses of 10a (red), 10b (brown) and 10c (green) to the PfDXR structure 3AU9_B.\textsuperscript{44} 10a and 10b, together with DOXP (not shown) bind in an arrangement similar to fosmidomycin 1 (yellow). 10c penetrates through to the active site. The cofactor is shown in blue and the Mg\textsuperscript{2+} cation as a sphere.

Conclusions

A series of novel (2-subsituted furan-4-yl)methyl dihydrogen phosphates (3a-c) and (10a-c) and their corresponding diethyl derivatives (11a-c) and (9a-c) have been prepared regioselectively. The formyl and acetyl derivatives 3a and 3b had earlier been subjected\textsuperscript{22} to Saturation Transfer Difference (STD) NMR binding studies using the then available EcDXR enzyme; both compounds gave positive STD results (illustrated in the Supporting Information file). While the STD NMR data does not preclude the possibility of allosteric or non-competitive binding, these results are clearly consistent with the significant \textit{in silico} binding data of the oximes 3a and 3b and the DOXP analogues 10a and 10b in PfDXR enzyme active sites. These results, coupled with the possibility of the corresponding diethyl phosphate esters (9) and (11) serving as potential pro-drugs, will encourage future research on the synthesis of dihydro- and tetrahydrofuran analogues and the capacity of such conformationally constrained ligands to inhibit the action of the PfDXR enzyme and, hence, the growth of the \textit{P. falciparum} parasite. The PfDXR enzyme is now readily over-expressed and purified using heterologous expression systems,\textsuperscript{47} enabling the future screening of these furan derivatives for novel inhibitors of this anti-malarial drug target.

Experimental Section

General. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE 400 or BIOSPIN 600 MHz spectrometers in CDCl\textsubscript{3}, DMSO-\textit{d}\textsubscript{6} or CD\textsubscript{3}OD, and were calibrated using solvent signals. Melting points were measured using a hot-stage apparatus and are uncorrected. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Waters API Q-TOF
3-[(Trityloxy)methyl]furan (5). A solution of triphenylmethyl chloride (6.00 g, 21 mmol), 3-furanmethanol (4) (2.00 g, 20.4 mmol), triethylamine (4.5 mL, 3.24g, 32 mmol) and DMAP (0.61 g, 5.0 mmol) in THF (30 mL) was stirred under N$_2$ at 80 °C for 15 hours. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue dissolved in EtOAc (100 mL). The organic phase was washed sequentially with water (2 x 50 mL) and brine (2 x 50 mL). The aqueous washings were extracted with EtOAc and the organic layers were combined and dried (anhyd. MgSO$_4$). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to afford 3-[(trityloxy)methyl]furan 5 as a yellow gum (4.98 g, 72%) ($\delta_\text{H}/\text{ppm}$ (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$) 4.06 (2H, s, OCH$_2$), 6.43 (1H, s, 2-H), 7.27 – 7.54 (17H, m, 4-H, 5-H and trityl group); $\delta_\text{C}/\text{ppm}$ (100 MHz; CDCl$_3$) 58.4 (OCH$_2$), 86.9 (C-2'), 109.9 (C-4), 123.1 (C-3), 127.0 (C-6'), 127.8 (C-4' and C-8'), 128.6 (C-5' and C-7'), 139.7 (C-3'), 143.0 (C-2) and 144.0 (C-5)), which was used without further purification.

The two procedures for the synthesis and characterisation of compounds 6a and 7a are illustrated below.

Method 1. To a stirred solution of 3-[(trityloxy)methyl]furan 5 (2.00 g, 5.88 mmol) in THF (20 mL) under N$_2$ at ca. -30 °C, n-butyllithium (ca. 1.5 M in hexane; 6.0 mL, 9 mmol) was slowly added dropwise via a septum, ensuring that the temperature did not exceed -30 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred for 4 hours; DMF (1.38 mL) was then added and the mixture stirred for a further 2 hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 2 hours before being quenched with water (15 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether (2 x 50 mL). The organic extracts were washed sequentially with 10%aq. NaHCO$_3$ (2 x 50 mL), brine (2 x 50 mL) and dried (anhyd. MgSO$_4$). The solvent was removed in vacuo to obtain the crude product as a yellow solid. A portion of the crude product was purified [normal-phase HPLC; elution with hexane-EtOAc (4:1)] to yield two products. (i) 4-[(Trityloxy)methyl]furan-2-carbaldehyde (6a) as a pale yellow solid (12%); $\delta_\text{H}/\text{ppm}$ (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$) 4.45 (2H, s, OCH$_2$), 6.75 (1H, s, 5-H), 7.26 – 7.49 (15H, m, trityl group), 7.61 (1H, s, 3-H) and 9.73 (1H, s, CHO); $\delta_\text{C}/\text{ppm}$ (100 MHz; CDCl$_3$) 57.8 (OCH$_2$), 87.3 (C-2'), 120.5 (C-3), 126.4 (C-4), 127.2 (C-6') 128 (C-4' and C-8'), 128.5 (C-5' and C-7'), 143.6 (C-3'), 145.2 (C-5), 153.1 (C-2) and 178.0 (C=O); and (ii) the regioisomer, 3-[(Trityloxy)methyl]furan-2-carbaldehyde (7a) as a white solid (4%); $\delta_\text{H}/\text{ppm}$ (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$) 4.14 (2H, s, OCH$_2$), 7.31 – 7.69 (17H, m, 4-H, 5-H and trityl group) and 9.68 (1H, s, CHO); $\delta_\text{C}/\text{ppm}$ (100 MHz; CDCl$_3$) 58.1 (OCH$_2$), 87.6 (C-2'), 113.1 (C-4), 127.3 (C-6'), 128.0 (C-4' and C-8'), 128.6 (C-5' and C-7'), 135.4 (C-3), 143.5 (C-3'), 147.2 (C-5), 147.8 (C-2) and 178.5 (C=O).

Method 2. The Vilsmeier reagent was prepared by adding phosphorus oxychloride (1.86 mL, 3.05g, 20.0 mmol) dropwise to DMF (20 mL) under nitrogen over a period of 30 min, maintaining the temperature below 5 °C. The mixture was stirred for 30 min, after which 3-[(trityloxy)methyl]furan 5 (2.00 g, 5.88 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hours at room temperature and then heated at 80 °C for 1 hour. After cooling, the mixture was poured into ice-water (200 mL) and the pH adjusted to pH 10 with 0.1 M aq. NaOH. The solution was extracted with diethyl ether (4 x 50 mL), and the organic extracts were combined, washed with water and brine and the dried (anhyd. MgSO$_4$). The solvent was removed in vacuo to afford the crude product, which was recrystallised from MeOH to yield 4-[(trityloxy)methyl]furan-2-carbaldehyde 6a as pale-yellow crystals (1.39 g, 64%).

The general procedure for the synthesis and characterisation of compounds 6b and 6c is illustrated by the following example. Acetic anhydride (0.27 mL, 2.9 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of SnCl$_4$ (0.12 mL, 0.27g, 1.0 mmol) in DCM (10 mL) under N$_2$ at 0 °C and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. 3-
[(Trityloxy)methyl]furan (5) (1.00 g, 2.94 mmol) in DCM (10 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was then warmed to 40 °C and stirred for 4 hours. After completion, the mixture was treated with saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether (2 x 50 mL). The organic extracts were combined, dried (anhdr. MgSO₄) and filtered. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and a portion of the residue was purified [normal-phase HPLC; elution with hexane-EtOAc (3:1)] to yield two products.

(i) 2-Acetyl-4-[(trityloxy)methyl]furan (6b). Yellow oil (64%); ν/cm⁻¹ 1675 (C=O); δH/ppm (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.57 (3H, s, CH₃CO), 4.42 (2H, s, OCH₂) and 7.14 - 7.34 (17H, m, 3-H, 5-H and trityl group); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 24.2 (CH₃), 57.3 (OCH₂), 87.6 (C-2'), 120.4 (C-3), 126.4 (C-4), 127.4 (C-6') 127.9 (C-4' and C-8'), 128.4 (C-5' and C-7'), 143.5 (C-3'), 145.3 (C-5), 153.5 (C-2) and 181.3 (C=O); (Found: C, 81.58; H, 5.76%. C₂₆H₂₂O₃ requires C, 81.65; H, 5.80%); and

(ii) the regioisomer, 2-Acetyl-3-[(trityloxy)methyl]furan (7b). Yellow oil (2%); ν/cm⁻¹ 1682 (C=O); δH/ppm (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.59 (3H, s, CH₃CO), 4.45 (2H, s, OCH₂) and 7.12 – 7.28 (17H, m, 4-H, 5-H and trityl group); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 24.8 (CH₃), 56.8 (OCH₂), 87.3 (C-2'), 112.8 (C-4), 126.7 (C-6'), 128.1 (C-4' and C-8'), 128.8 (C-5' and C-7'), 134.6 (C-3), 143.6 (C-3'), 147.3 (C-2), 148.2 (C-5) and 181.8 (C=O) (Found: C, 81.60; H, 5.82%. C₂₆H₂₂O₃ requires C, 81.65; H, 5.80%).

2-(3,3-Dimethylbutanoyl)-4-[(trityloxy)methyl]furan (6c). Yellow oil (56%); ν/cm⁻¹ 1685 (C=O); δH/ppm (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.19 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃), 2.58 (2H, s, CH₂CO), 4.47 (2H, s, OCH₂) and 7.19 – 7.31 (17H, m, 3-H, 5-H and trityl group); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 29.1 (3 x CH₃), 30.2 (C-3''), 47.4 (C-2''), 57.9 (OCH₂), 87.4 (C-2'), 120.6 (C-3), 126.4 (C-4), 127.3 (C-6') 128.0 (C-4' and C-8'), 128.6 (C-5' and C-7'), 143.7 (C-3'), 145.3 (C-5), 153.2 (C-2) and 187.0 (C=O); (Found: C, 82.30; H, 6.78%. C₃₀H₃₀O₃ requires C, 82.16; H, 6.89%).

2-(3,3-Dimethylbutanoyl)-3-[(trityloxy)methyl]furan (7c). Yellow oil (3%); (Found: C, 82.35; H, 6.83%. C₃₀H₃₀O₃ requires C, 82.16; H, 6.89%); ν/cm⁻¹ 1691 (C=O); δH/ppm (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.21 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃), 2.56 (2H, s, CH₂CO), 4.52 (2H, s, OCH₂) and 7.14 – 7.21 (17H, m, 4-H, 5-H and trityl group); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 29.5 (3 x CH₃), 31.3 (C-3''), 46.8 (C-2''), 58.2 (OCH₂), 87.3 (C-2'), 113.2 (C-4), 126.6 (C-6'), 127.8 (C-4' and C-8'), 128.9 (C-5' and C-7'), 132.8 (C-3), 143.8 (C-3'), 145.7 (C-5), 146.4 (C-2) and 184.6 (C=O); (Found: C, 82.35; H, 6.83%. C₃₀H₃₀O₃ requires C, 82.16; H, 6.89%).

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 8a-c, which were used without further purification, is illustrated by the following example. (Compounds 8a,b are known.⁴⁸) A suspension of 2-(3,3-dimethylbutanoyl)-4-[(trityloxy)methyl]furan (6c) (0.25 g, 0.57 mmol) in HCOOH-THF-H₂O (1:1:0.1; 5 mL) was heated at 50 °C for 2 hours. The solvent was removed in vacuo, [co-evaporated with hexane (2 x 10 mL)] to yield 2-(3,3-dimethylbutanoyl)-4-(hydroxymethyl)furan (8c) as a colourless oil [δH/ppm (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.19 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃), 2.12 (1H, s, OH), 2.54 (2H, s, CH₂CO), 4.52 (2H, s, OCH₂), 6.41 (1H, s, 3-H) and 7.39 (1H, s, 3-H); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 28.6 (3 x CH₃), 32.6 (C-3''), 36.7 (C-2''), 58.6 (CH₂OH), 120.2 (C-3), 123.8 (C-4), 145.3 (C-5), 153.2 (C-2) and 184.7 (C=O)] which was used without further purification.

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 9a-c is illustrated by the following example. Diethyl chlorophosphate (0.52 g, 3.0 mmol) was added slowly to a stirred solution of 4-(hydroxymethyl)furan-2-carbaldehyde (8a) (0.20 g, 1.6 mmol) in pyridine (10 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and then stirred for 24 hours. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in DCM (25 mL). The organic phase was washed with satd. aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 50 mL), water (2 x 50 mL) and brine (2 x 50 mL), and dried (anhdr. MgSO₄). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue chromatographed [preparative layer chromatography; elution with hexane-EtOAc (4:1)] to yield diethyl (2-formylfuran-4-yl)methyl phosphate (9a) as a clear oil (0.14 g, 34%); ν/cm⁻¹ 1677 (C=O) and 1227 (P=O); δH/ppm (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.29 (6H, m, 2 x CH₃), 4.10 (4H, m, 2 x CH₂CH₃), 4.87 (2H, d, J 2.2 Hz, OCH₂), 6.45 (1H, 7.28 (17H, m, 4-H, 5-H and trityl group); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 29.5 (3 x CH₃), 31.3 (C-3''), 46.8 (C-2''), 58.2 (OCH₂), 87.3 (C-2'), 113.2 (C-4), 126.6 (C-6'), 127.8 (C-4' and C-8'), 128.9 (C-5' and C-7'), 132.8 (C-3), 143.8 (C-3'), 145.7 (C-5), 146.4 (C-2) and 184.6 (C=O); (Found: C, 82.35; H, 6.83%. C₃₀H₃₀O₃ requires C, 82.16; H, 6.89%).
s, 5-H), 7.39 (1H, s, 3-H) and 10.12 (1H, s, CHO); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl3) 16.3 (d, Jp-c 6.1 Hz, 2 x CH3), 60.8 (d, Jp-c 6.4 Hz, OCH2), 61.4 (d, Jp-c 6.6 Hz, 2 x CH2CH3), 120.3 (C-3), 125.6 (C-4), 145.3 (C-5), 153.2 (C-2) and 181.7 (C=O); (Found: C, 45.90; H, 5.84%. C10H12O6P requires C, 45.81; H, 5.77%).

(2-Acetylfuran-4-yl)methyl diethyl phosphate (9b). Yellow oil (0.15 g, 38%); v/cm⁻¹ 1680 (C=O) and 1223 (P=O); δn/ppm (400 MHz; CDCl3) 1.30 (6H, t, J 6.8 Hz, 2 x CH2CH3), 2.54 (3H, s, CH3CO), 4.07 (4H, m, 2 x CH2CH3), 5.05 (2H, d, J 1.6 Hz, OCH2), 7.11 (1H, s, 5-H) and 7.13 (2H, s, 3-H); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl3) 16.3 (d, Jp-c 6.0 Hz, 2 x CH3), 24.6 (CH3CO), 61.5 (d, Jp-c 6.5 Hz, OCH2 and 2 x CH2CH3), 120.8 (C-3), 122.9 (C-4), 145.7 (C-5), 150.1 (C-2) and 182.6 (C=O); (Found: C, 48.01; H, 6.15%. C12H17O6P requires C, 47.83; H, 6.20%).

Diethyl [2-(3,3-dimethylbutanoyl)furan-4-yl]methyl phosphate (9c). Yellow oil (0.14 g, 41%); v/cm⁻¹ 1681 (C=O) and 1232 (P=O); δn/ppm (400 MHz; CDCl3) 1.21 (9H, s, 3 x CH3), 1.31 (6H, t, J 6.8 Hz, 2 x CH2CH3), 2.56 (2H, s, CH3CO), 4.08 (4H, m, 2 x CH2CH3), 5.09 (2H, d, J 1.6 Hz, OCH2), 6.47 (1H, s, 5-H) and 7.12 (1H, s, 3-H); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl3) 16.3 (d, Jp-c 6.1 Hz, 2 x CH2CH3), 29.2 (3 x CH3), 32.3 (C-3'), 36.8 (C-2'), 62.3 (d, Jp-c 6.5 Hz, OCH2 and 2 x CH2CH3), 120.5 (C-3), 124.2 (C-4), 145.7 (C-5), 153.4 (C-2) and 185.3 (C=O); (Found: C, 54.30; H, 7.60%. C15H25O6P requires C, 54.21; H, 7.58%).

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 10a-c is illustrated by the following example. A solution of 4-[(trityloxy)methyl]furan-2-carbaldehyde (6a) (0.30 g, 0.82 mmol) and H3PO4/THF (1:1 v/v; 2.0 mL) was stirred at room temperature for ca. 2 days. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in EtOAc (25 mL). The organic phase was washed with 10%aq. NaHCO3 (2 x 50 mL), and the aqueous layers were collected and acidified (pH 2.0) with 0.1M-HCl. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 25 mL) and the combined organic solutions were dried (anhdyr. MgSO4). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue chromatographed [preparative layer chromatography; elution with hexane-EtOAc-MeOH (1:1:1)] to yield (2-formylfuran-4-yl)methyl dihydrogen phosphate (10a). Yellow oil (71%); v/cm⁻¹ 1673 (C=O) and 1234 (P=O); δn/ppm (400 MHz; D2O) 5.11 (2H, d, J 1.6 Hz, OCH2), 7.10 (1H, s, 5-H), 7.40 (1H, s, 3-H) and 9.74 (1H, s, CHO); δC/ppm (100 MHz; D2O) 61.9 (d, Jp-c 6.4 Hz, 2 x OCH2), 120.9 (C-3), 123.0 (C-4), 145.8 (C-5), 150.2 (C-2) and 180.0 (C=O); (Found: C, 35.01; H, 3.49%. C8H15O6P requires C, 34.97; H, 3.42%).

(2-Acetylfuran-4-yl)methyl dihydrogen phosphate (10b). Colourless oil (61%); v/cm⁻¹ 1687 (C=O) and 1241 (P=O); δn/ppm (400 MHz; D2O) 2.61 (3H, s, CH3CO), 5.07 (2H, d, J 2.3 Hz, OCH2), 7.12 (1H, s, 5-H) and 7.35 (1H, s, 3-H); δC/ppm (100 MHz; D2O) 24.3 (CH3), 62.3 (d, Jp-c 6.5 Hz, OCH2), 120.7 (C-3), 122.9 (C-4), 145.5 (C-5), 153.2 (C-2) and 182.3 (C=O); (Found: C, 38.27; H, 4.09%. C8H15O6P requires C, 38.20; H, 4.12%).

[2-(3,3-Dimethylbutanoyl)furan-4-yl]methyl dihydrogen phosphate (10c). Colourless oil (58%); (Found: C, 47.91; H, 6.11%. C11H17O6P requires C, 47.83; H, 6.20%); v/cm⁻¹ 3307 (OH), 1687 (C=O) and 1231 (P=O); δn/ppm (400 MHz; D2O) 1.21 (9H, s, 3 x CH3), 2.55 (2H, s, CH2CO), 5.02 (2H, d, J 2.4 Hz, OCH2), 7.15 (1H, s, 5-H) and 7.39 (1H, s, 3-H); δC/ppm (100 MHz; D2O) 29.3 (3 x CH3), 32.2 (C-3'), 36.8 (C-2'), 62.2 (d, Jp-c 6.5 Hz, OCH2), 120.4 (C-3), 123.7 (C-4), 145.5 (C-5), 152.8 (C-2) and 185.6 (C=O). (Found: C, 47.91; H, 6.11%. C11H17O6P requires C, 47.83; H, 6.20%).

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 11a-c is illustrated by the following example. Diethyl (2-formylfuran-4-yl)methyl phosphate (9a) (0.11 g, 0.42 mmol), hydroxylamine hydrochloride (0.10 g, 1.4 mmol) and sodium acetate (0.020 g, 0.24 mmol) were dissolved in EtOH (8 mL) and the mixture was refluxed for 1 hour. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in diethyl ether (20 mL). The organic layer was washed sequentially with water (20 mL) and brine (20 mL), and dried (anhdyr. Na2SO4). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue chromatographed [preparative layer chromatography; elution with hexane-EtOAc (4:1)] to yield diethyl {2-[[N-hydroxyimino]methyl]furan-4-yl]methyl phosphate (11a). Colourless oil (97 mg, 83%); (Found: C, 43.47; H, 5.69; N, 5.11%. C10H16NO6P requires C, 43.33; H, 5.82; N, 5.05%); v/cm⁻¹ 3271 (OH), 1651 (C=N) and 1218
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(P=O); δH/ppm (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.28 (6H, m, 2 x CH₃), 4.08 (4H, m, 2 x CH₂CH₃), 5.05 (2H, d, J 2.0 Hz, OCH₂), 6.44 (1H, s, 5-H), 7.41 (1H, s, 3-H), 8.17 (1H, s, OH) and 9.89 (1H, s, aldehydic proton); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 16.2 (d, Jₚ₋ₙ 6.5 Hz, 2 x CH₃), 59.8 (d, Jₚ₋ₙ 6.4 Hz, OCH₂), 61.3 (d, Jₚ₋ₙ 6.5 Hz, 2 x CH₂CH₃), 110.7 (C-3), 125.7 (C-4), 145.1 (C-5), 149.6 (C=N) and 153.7 (C-2).

**Diethyl [2-1-(N-hydroxyimino)ethyl][furan-4-yl]methyl phosphate (11b).** Colourless oil (91 mg, 86%); v/cm⁻¹ 3243 (OH), 1672 (C=N) and 1225 (P=O); δH/ppm (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.31 (6H, t, J 7.2 Hz, 2 x CH₃), 2.53 (3H, s, CH₃C=N), 4.09 (4H, m, 2 x CH₂CH₃), 5.02 (2H, d, J 2.0 Hz, OCH₂), 5.87 (1H, s, OH), 6.48 (1H, s, 5-H) and 7.11 (1H, s, 3-H); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 13.0 (CH₃C=N) 16.3 (d, Jₚ₋ₙ 6.0 Hz, 2 x CH₃), 61.7 (d, Jₚ₋ₙ 6.4 Hz, OCH₂ and 2 x CH₂CH₃), 109.8 (C-3), 127.2 (C-4), 143.7 (C-5), 148.5 (C=N) and 151.3 (C-2). (Found: C, 45.27; H, 6.14; N, 4.88%).

**Diethyl [2-1-(N-hydroxyimino)-3,3-dimethylbutyl][furan-4-yl]methyl phosphate (11c).** Colourless oil (92 mg, 88%); v/cm⁻¹ 3281 (OH) 1663 (C=N) and 1218 (P=O); δH/ppm (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.15 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃), 1.26 (6H, t, J 6.8 Hz, 2 x CH₂CH₃), 2.55 (2H, CH₂C=N), 4.03 (4H, m, 2 x CH₂CH₃), 4.98 (2H, d, J 1.6 Hz, OCH₂), 5.67 (1H, s, OH), 6.50 (1H, s, 5-H) and 7.12 (1H, s, 3-H); δC/ppm (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 16.2 (d, Jₚ₋ₙ 6.0 Hz, 2 x CH₂CH₃), 28.6 (3 x CH₃), 32.4 (C-3’), 36.6 (C-2’), 62.0 (OCH₂), 62.1 (d, Jₚ₋ₙ 6.7 Hz, 2 x CH₂CH₃), 110.3 (C-3’), 127.6 (C-4’), 143.3 (C-5’), 149.8 (C=N) and 153.4 (C-2). (Found: C, 51.79; H, 7.49; N, 3.97%. C₁₃H₁₉NO₆P requires C, 51.87; H, 7.54; N, 4.03%).

**General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 3a-c.** The syntheses of compounds 3a-c are illustrated by the following example. (2-Formyl[furan-4-yl]methyl dihydrogen phosphate (10a) (0.10 g, 0.49 mmol), hydroxylamine hydrochloride (0.10 g, 1.4 mmol) and sodium acetate (0.020 g, 0.24 mmol) were dissolved in EtOH (8 ml) and the mixture was refluxed for 1 hour. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in diethyl ether (20 ml). The organic solution was washed sequentially with water (20 ml) and brine (20 ml), and dried (anhydr. Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue chromatographed [preparative layer chromatography; elution with hexane-EtOAc-MeOH (1:1:1)] to yield [2-[(N-hydroxyimino)methyl][furan-4-yl]methyl dihydrogen phosphate (3a). Colourless oil (88 mg, 82%); (Found: C, 32.71; H, 3.72; N, 6.30%. C₆H₈NO₆P requires C, 32.59; H, 3.65; N, 6.33%); v/cm⁻¹ 3245 (OH), 1657 (CH=N) and 1323 (P=O); δH/ppm (400 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 3.57 (2H, s, 2 x OH), 5.11 (2H, d, J 2.0 Hz, OCH₂), 5.89 (1H, s, CH=N), 7.10 (1H, s, 5-H), 7.37 (1H, s, 3-H) and 9.41 (1H, s, NOH); δC/ppm (100 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 62.2 (d, Jₚ₋ₙ = 5.9 Hz, OCH₂), 110.1 (C-3’), 127.0 (C-4’), 143.2 (C-5’), 150.7 (C=NOH) and 151.8 (C-2’).

**Diethyl [2-1-(N-hydroxyimino)ethyl][furan-4-yl]methyl dihydrogen phosphate (3b).** Colourless oil (91 mg, 85%); v/cm⁻¹ 3253 (OH), 1648 (HC=N) and 1228 (P=O); δH/ppm (400 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 2.37 (3H, s, CH₃), 3.90 (2H, s, 2 x OH), 5.11 (2H, d, J 2.0 Hz, OCH₂), 7.12 (1H, s, 5-H), 7.32 (1H, s, 3-H) and 8.93 (1H, s, NOH); δC/ppm (100 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 13.2 (CH₃), 62.5 (d, Jₚ₋ₙ = 6.3 Hz, OCH₂), 110.2 (C-3’), 127.1 (C-4’), 143.7 (C-5’), 150.8 (C=NOH) and 153.3 (C-2’); (Found: C, 35.84; H, 4.31; N, 5.32%. C₆H₁₀NO₆P requires C, 35.76; H, 4.29; N, 5.29%).

**Diethyl [2-1-(N-Hydroxyimino)-3,3-dimethylbutyl][furan-4-yl]methyl dihydrogen phosphate (3c).** Colourless oil (87 mg, 83%); v/cm⁻¹ 3260 (OH), 1678 (HC=N) and 1229 (P=O); δH/ppm (400 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 1.12 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃), 1.83 (2H, s, CH₂C=N), 2.31 (2H, s, 2 x OH), 5.09 (2H, d, J 1.6 Hz, OCH₂), 7.17 (1H, s, 5-H), 7.32 (1H, s, 3-H) and 10.41 (1H, s, NOH); δC/ppm (100 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 29.0 (3 x CH₃), 32.6 (C-3’), 36.3 (C-2’), 62.3 (d, Jₚ₋ₙ 6.6 Hz, OCH₂), 110.8 (C-5’), 127.3 (C-4’), 147.3 (C-7), 150.3 (C=NOH) and 152.2 (C-2’); (Found: C, 45.29; H, 6.31; N, 4.79%. C₁₁H₁₉NO₆P requires C, 45.36; H, 6.23; N, 4.81%).

**Molecular Docking Protocols**

The receptors used included EcDXR 2EGH²⁴ (both chains A and B from the crystal structure) and 1QOL, and PfDXR structures 3AUA⁴⁴ and 3A9U⁴⁴ (both chains A and B) together with a homology model⁴³ (a PfDXR structure created using an EcDXR structure 1QQQ⁴⁵ as one of three templates). The structures 3a-c and 10a-c and, in some cases, the prodrug structures (9a-c and 11a-c) were used as ligands for the molecular docking.
For all structures, all permutations of stereochemistry (E/Z with respect to the oxime) and protonation states (of the oxime and the phosphate moieties – including both stereoisomers for asymmetric protonation) were generated. These structures were optimized at the ωB97xD/6-31+G(2d,p) level prior to molecular docking. In each case, the permutation of the structure resulting in the lowest (best) binding energy was retained as the best binding complex. For rigid docking all residues in the receptor were inflexible while the ligand conformation was explored. For flexible docking the specified flexible residues were for 1Q0L (ASP150, SER151, GLU152, SER222, ASN227, LYS228, GLU231, TRP212); 2EGH (ASP149, SER150, GLU151, SER221, ASN226, LYS227, GLU230, TRP211); 3AUA and 3AU9 (ASP231, SER232, GLU233, SER306, ASN311, LYS312, GLU315, TRP296) and for the PfDXR homology model (ASP160, SER161, GLU162, SER235, ASN240, LYS241, GLU244, TRP225). Molecular docking using Autodock 4.2 utilized 100 parallel Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm runs, for a maximum of 4500000 calculations together with a maximum of 27000 generations, with a population size of 150. The crossover rate was 0.8 and the mutation rate was 0.02. For the flexible receptor Autodock Vina and QuickVina were both used for a search area of 22Å around the active site, with the exhaustiveness set to 512. For rigid docking Autodock Vina was used and the exhaustiveness was set to 512. Visualization was effected in BIOVIA Discovery Studio Visualizer version 17.12.10.
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