Synthetic studies toward eleganine A
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Abstract

Eleganine A is a cytotoxic indole alkaloid recently isolated from the leaves of Tabernaemontana elegans. Its unique structure arises from rearrangement of a canonical corynanthe skeleton, resulting in the presence of a 4-ethylidene-3-alkylproline core. Employing a chiron approach, we describe an efficient and scalable synthesis of the proline subunit of eleganine A, as well as efforts toward its proposed structure.
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Introduction

Alkaloids belonging to the corynanthe family have long attracted the interest of synthetic chemists owing to their complex architectures and intriguing biological properties. These natural products feature the monoterpene connectivity shown in Figure 1, typically resulting in tetrahydro-β-carboline core structures that can further rearrange to aspidosperma- or iboga-type alkaloids.\(^1,2\) In 2009, Ferreira and co-workers reported the isolation of eleganine A (1) from the leaves of *Tabernaemontana elegans* in Mozambique.\(^3\) Eleganine A exhibited cytotoxicity and induction of apoptosis in human hepatoma cells as measured in a trypan blue cell viability assay. In addition, compound 1 features an unprecedented azabicyclo[6.2.1]undecane core structure presumed to derive from rearrangement of the corynanthe monoterpene unit. Excelsinidine (2)\(^4\) and 17-nor-excelsinidine (3)\(^5,6\) are naturally-occurring reduced congeners of eleganine A featuring similar terpenoid connectivity. A recent biomimetic synthesis of 3 demonstrated its relationship to the geissoschizine skeleton using an elegant oxidative rearrangement approach.\(^7\) To date, there are no reported synthetic studies toward 1.

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1.** The corynanthe monoterpene unit and rearranged alkaloids featuring 4-ethylidene-3-alkylproline core structures.

In considering a modular synthetic approach to 1, we were intrigued by the presence of a 4-ethylidene-3-alkylproline embedded within a new alkaloid framework. We previously reported the synthesis of several 4-alkylideneprolines,\(^8-10\) which are found in a select number of natural products including the lucentamycins,\(^11,12\) isodomoic acids,\(^13\) and tomaymycin.\(^14,15\) Our strategy relied on an ester enolate-Claisen rearrangement to give the linear proline precursors, setting both stereocenters and the pendant alkene geometry in a single step.\(^9\) The *E* configuration of the alkene in 1 precluded application of this approach, as the chair-like transition state of the rearrangement provides the opposite alkene geometry. This prompted us to consider a conceptually novel approach toward the 4-ethylidene-3-alkylprolines subunits of 1-3. Here, we report the stereospecific synthesis of the fully elaborated core of eleganine A, as well as efforts toward its proposed structure.

Results and Discussion

Our retrosynthetic plan for eleganine A is depicted in Figure 2. We envisioned that nucleophilic attack of the pyrrolidine nitrogen onto an oxocarbenium ion would provide the cyclic hemiaminal ether in the final step of the synthesis. Acylation of an appropriately substituted C2-lithiated indole with pyrrolidine derivative 5 could in turn provide 4. A protected 4-alkylidene-3-alkylprolinol (5) serves as the key intermediate in our synthetic plan, and its stereochemistry at C2 was traced back to D-serine as a chiral progenitor. We anticipated that the
configuration of C3 could be set through a diastereoselective reductive Heck-type cyclization involving the vinyl halide and enoate groups in 6. The early formation of the C2-C3 bond in our approach enables the synthesis of large quantities of trans-substituted pyrrolidine 5, which we also viewed as a potential precursor to related indole alkaloids such as 2 and 3.

Figure 2. Retrosynthetic plan toward 1.

The synthesis commenced with alkylation of readily available d-serine derivative 7 with (Z)-1-bromo-2-iodobut-2-ene, followed by Boc protection and ester reduction with lithium borohydride (Scheme 1). Compound 8 was then converted to enoate 9 in 70% yield using standard conditions. Reductive-Heck type cyclization of 9 was initially accomplished in the presence of excess Ni(COD)2 and triethylamine to give moderate yields of 10, along with various byproducts. Given the sensitive nature of the metal complex and required use of stoichiometric reagents, we explored catalytic systems as an alternative. Gratifyingly, we found that 20 mol% of Ni(PPh3)Cl2 in the presence of freshly activated zinc afforded the desired pyrrolidine 10 in 68% isolated yield. Analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed this transformation to be highly diastereoselective, with none of the minor cis isomer detected by 1H NMR. This selectivity may be attributed to the higher activation barrier associated with a C2,C3-cis transition state, as well as conformational preorganization governed by 1,3-allylic strain, as shown in Scheme 1. In anticipation of nucleophilic attack onto the carbonyl in 10, we then converted the ester into Weinreb amide 11 to provide another potential substrate for indole acylation.
Scheme 1. Synthesis of 4-ethylidene-3-alkylprolinol derivative 11.

Initial attempts to join the indole and pyrrolidine fragments relied on C2 lithiation of dimethyl acetal derivative 13 (Scheme 2). After screening several conditions, we found lithium tetramethylpiperidine to be the optimal base for C2 metalation. This was verified by trapping the lithiated intermediate with ethyl chloroformate to give 14 in good yield. Despite this encouraging result, we were unable to promote the condensation of 13 with either methyl ester 10 or Weinreb amide 11 to give 15. Attempts to employ other protected indoles (replacement of the N-Boc group) or active ester derivatives of 10 also failed to provide appreciable amounts of acyl indole products.

Scheme 2. Attempted synthesis of 15 via direct indole acylation.

As an alternative to direct indole acylation, we turned to the alkyne heteroannulation strategy depicted in Scheme 3. Thus, alkynylation of 11 with 4,4-dimethoxybutyne afforded ynone 16, which would serve as a substrate for Larock indole synthesis. The regioselectivity of Larock heteroannulation has been extensively studied and is thought to be governed primarily by steric factors in the case of asymmetric alkynes. This selectivity arises during the alkyne carbopalladation step wherein the larger substituent prefers to orient itself...
away from the forming carbon-(aryl)carbon bond. Despite the ubiquity of this reaction, there are few reported examples of Larock indole syntheses performed on ynoate or ynone substrates, or on the influence of electronic factors on alkyne insertion regioselectivity.\textsuperscript{21-23} A relevant study by Chuawong and coworkers employed para-substituted diphenylacetylenes to establish a positive Hammett correlation between electron-withdrawing substituents and regioselectivity.\textsuperscript{24} In these cases, polarization of the alkyne results in preferred migration of the electrophilic Pd center to the more electronegative carbon. We observed regioselectivity consistent with this model in the heteroannulation of 16 with 2-idoaniline. In the presence of Pd(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4} and K\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} in THF, indole 15 was obtained in 55\% yield along with 18\% of the undesired regioisomer, which was readily separated by flash chromatography.

**Scheme 3.** Synthesis and X-ray structure of enamine dimer 18.

With intermediate 15 in hand, the final stages of the synthesis required oxidation of the protected primary hydroxyl group and acid-promoted cyclization to form the hemiaminal ether. Thus, silyl ether cleavage with TBAF was followed by oxidation using (bisacetoxyiodo)benzene and catalytic TEMPO. Esterification of the crude carboxylic acid with trimethylsilyldiazomethane then afforded 17 in 64\% combined yield over 3 steps. Oxidation immediately following silyl ether cleavage and flash chromatography was critical, as the resulting alcohol was found to decompose over several hours at room temperature. We screened various conditions to promote Boc deprotection and concomitant cyclization of 17. While one-pot procedures failed to afford desired product, we found that treatment with acidic methanol cleaved the Boc group, leaving the dimethyl acetal intact. The crude amine was then treated with dilute aq. HCl in trifluoroethanol/DCM, resulting in the formation of a compound with \textsuperscript{1}H NMR signals characteristic of a trans-enamine. This solid was recrystallized from chloroform/hexanes and X-ray diffraction confirmed its structure to be that of dimeric species 18. Despite several efforts to obtain monomeric cyclization products, we found that acidic conditions consistently favored the formation of 18 over the hemiaminal ether 1.

In observing the apparently strong propensity for formation of dimeric enamine 18, we considered the influence that the relative stereochemistry at C2 may have on the final cyclization. The C2-C3 trans...
relationship in eleganine A was originally assigned on the basis of anisotropic shielding of the methyl ester NMR signal by the indole \( \pi \) electron cloud.\(^{25}\) This phenomenon has been observed in a variety of related non-rearranged monoterpene alkaloids including vojabine and tabernaemontanine.\(^{26}\) However, in most cases the bridgehead carbon in question bears the opposite configuration, placing the ester group in closer spatial proximity to the indole ring. Subsequent to the isolation of 1, Girardot et al. identified a reduced congener of 1, dihydroeleganine A, in which the C2-C3 relationship was characterized as cis.\(^{27}\) This raises the possibility that the pyrrolidine ring in eleganine A may also harbor a cis substitution pattern and that this configuration may influence the stability of the product, or its propensity for dimerization.

**Conclusions**

In summary, we have described a concise approach to the synthesis of the eleganine A core structure using \( \alpha \)-serine as a chiral synthon. Our strategy relies on a highly diastereoselective reductive Heck-type coupling to form the pyrrolidine ring and a Larock heteroannulation to install the indole. Attempts at late-stage hemiaminal ether cyclization resulted in the unexpected formation of a dimeric enamine whose structure was confirmed by X-ray diffraction. We are currently exploring the factors that influence the final hemiaminal ether formation step, including the possibility of configurational missassignment at the bridgehead methine in 1. A select number of monoterpeno alkaloids derived from corynanthe precursors feature a rearranged skeleton harboring the 4-alkyldiene-3-alkylproline core of eleganine A. The described approach should find utility in the syntheses of these and related natural products.

**Experimental Section**

**General.** Unless stated otherwise, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under a positive pressure of argon or nitrogen gas using dry solvents. Commercial grade reagents and solvents were used without further purification except where noted. Toluene, Et\(_2\)O, DCM DMF, and MeCN were used following passage through a Pure Process Technologies solvent purification system. Other anhydrous solvents were purchased directly from chemical suppliers. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using Merck 60 F254 silica gel pre-coated glass-backed plates (0.25 mm). Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel cartridges (40-65 \( \mu \)m particle size). Reaction progress was judged by TLC analysis (single spot/two solvent systems) using a UV lamp, CAM (ceric ammonium molybdate), ninhydrin, or basic KMnO\(_4\) stain(s) for detection purposes. NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 or 500 MHz spectrometer. Proton chemical shifts are reported as \( \delta \) values relative to residual signals from deuterated solvents (D\(_2\)O, CDCl\(_3\), CD\(_3\)OD, or DMSO-d\(_6\)).

**tert-Butyl \((R,Z)-(1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-3-hydroxypropan-2-yl)(2-iodobut-2-en-1-yl) carbamate (8).** A solution of H-Ser(TBS)-OMe (7)\(^{16}\) (22.3 g, 95.6 mmol) and (Z)-1-bromo-2-iodobut-2-ene\(^{17}\) (12.5 g, 47.8 mmol) in DMF was cooled to 0 °C and treated with K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (66.1 g, 478 mmol). After stirring vigorously for 48 h at rt, DMF was removed under reduced pressure and the crude material dissolved in EtOAc. The mixture was washed with sat. aq. NH\(_4\)Cl, the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers dried with Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and concentrated. Purification via flash chromatography over silica gel (0–10% EtOAc/hexanes) afforded the secondary amine intermediate as a yellow oil (17.3 g, 87% yield). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), mixture of rotamers): \( \delta \) 5.82 (m, 1H), 3.82 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.64-3.57 (m, 1H), 3.45-3.39 (m, 1H), 3.36 (t,
1H, J 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (bs, 1H), 1.76 (d, J 6.3 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.03 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 173.3, 131.9, 109.8, 64.6, 61.1, 59.7, 51.9, 25.9, 21.8, 18.3, -5.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M+H]+ calcd for C14H29INO3Si 414.0956, found 414.0972.

The secondary amine above (19.8 g, 47.8 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH and treated with solid Boc2O (52.0 g, 239 mmol). The mixture was stirred at reflux for 18 h. Upon completion of the reaction (as judged by TLC), the volatiles were removed and the crude material was placed under reduced pressure (hi-vac) to ensure evaporation of the bulk of Boc2O (monitored by 1H NMR). The crude material was then dissolved in THF and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of LiBH4 (4M in THF, 95.6 mL, 382 mmol) was added dropwise, the solution warmed to rt over 12 h, then quenched with dropwise addition of sat. aq. NH4Cl. The product was extracted with EtOAc, dried with MgSO4, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Purification via flash chromatography over silica gel (0–10% EtOAc/hexanes) afforded a yellow oil (13.7 g, 59% yield). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, mixture of rotamers): δ 5.94 (m, 1H), 4.49-3.74 (m, 6.5H), 3.42 (m, 0.5H), 1.78 (d, J 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.42 (s, 9H) 0.85 (m, 9H), 0.03 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 131.9, 107.2, 81.0, 63.8, 62.2, 62.0, 60.8, 28.6, 26.1. 21.8, 18.3, -5.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M+H]+ calcd for C19H36INO5Si 486.1531, found 486.1501.

**Methyl (R,E)-4-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)((Z)-2-iodobut-2-en-1-yl)amino)-5-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy) pent-2-enoate (9).** A solution of oxalyl chloride (2.65 mL, 30.8 mmol) in DCM was cooled to 0 °C, and treated directly with DMSO (4.37 mL, 61.6 mmol) dropwise over 5 min. After stirring for 30 minutes, a solution of compound 1 (9.8 g, 10.3 mmol) in DCM was added and the solution was warmed to rt. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NH4Cl and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried with Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was triturated with a small amount of diethyl ether and the suspension filtered through a celite plug. Concentration of the filtrate gave the crude aldehyde, which was dissolved in DCM and treated directly with methyl(triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate (7.83 g, 23.4 mmol). After stirring at rt for 16 h, the reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NH4Cl, extracted with EtOAc, and dried over Na2SO4. Concentration under reduced pressure and purification via flash chromatography over silica gel (0–25% EtOAc/hexanes) afforded a yellow oil (3.82 g, 70% yield over two steps). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.04 (dd, J 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.88 (d, J 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (s, 1H), 4.80-3.80 (m, 5H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 1.77 (d, J 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 0.87 (s, 9H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3, mixture of rotamers): δ 166.2, 154.5, 154.4, 145.7, 144.7, 131.8 130.5, 122.1, 121.5, 106.7, 106.2 80.6, 80.2, 63.8, 63.2, 59.6, 58.9, 58.7, 51.3, 31.5, 28.2, 25.7, 22.5, 21.5, 18.0, 14.0, -5.52; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M+H]+ calcd for C21H39INO5Si 540.1637, found 539.1599.

tert-Butyl-(2R,3R,E)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-ethylidene-3-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (10). Compound 9 (3.65 g, 6.77 mmol) was dissolved in MeCN containing a small amount of water (240 µL, 13.5 mmol). This solution was placed in a sealed vial and Ar was bubbled through the solution for 30 min. A separate sealed vial containing activated zinc (1.11 g, 16.9 mmol) and Ni(PPh3)2Cl2 (0.886 g, 1.35 mmol) under Ar was treated with the above solution of 9 via cannula. The suspension was heated to 80 °C for 1 h. The reaction was allowed to cool to rt, then filtered through a celite plug. The filtrate was concentrated and purified via flash chromatography over silica gel (10% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford a light yellow oil (1.90 g, 68% yield) 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, mixture of rotamers): δ 5.41 (m, 1H), 4.50 (m, 1H), 3.79 (m, 1H), 3.66 (m, 4.5H), 3.39 (m, 0.5H), 3.27 (m, 1H), 2.38 (m, 2H), 1.36 (m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 0.85-0.84 (m, 9H), 0.00 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3, mixture of rotamers): δ 172.5, 154.5,
139.3, 138.0, 118.3, 117.3, 79.8, 79.5, 64.4, 64.3, 63.8, 63.5, 51.9, 51.8, 51.0, 50.3, 39.5, 38.9, 38.2, 28.7, 25.9, 18.3, 14.2, -5.3. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M+H]+ calcd for C_{21}H_{40}NO_{5}Si 414.2670, found 414.2687.

tert-Butyl (2R,3R,E)-2-((((tert-butyl(dimethyl)silyl)oxy)methyl)-4-ethylidene-3-(2-(methoxy(methyl) amino)-2-oxoethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (11). Compound 10 (1.05 g, 2.54 mmol) and MeNH(OOMe)·HCl (743 mg, 7.62 mmol) were placed under Ar, dissolved in THF and cooled to −10 °C. A solution of iPrMgCl (2.0 M in THF, 7.00 mL, 14.0 mmol) was added dropwise. After stirring 1 h, the reaction mixture was warmed to rt, washed with sat. aq. NH₄Cl, and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The crude material was purified via flash chromatography over silica gel (10-50% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford 11 as a brownish oil (1.07 g, 95% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of rotamers): δ 5.04 (m, 1H), 3.77 (m, 1H), 3.51-3.28 (m, 6.5H), 3.21 (m, 0.5H), 3.01 (m, 1H), 2.84 (m, 3H), 2.16 (m, 2H), 1.32 (m, 3H), 1.13 (s, 9H), 0.53 (s, 9H), -0.32 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of rotamers): δ 171.9, 153.7, 139.6, 138.5, 116.4, 115.7, 78.6, 78.4, 63.9, 63.8, 63.6, 63.1, 60.6, 50.6, 50.0, 38.8, 38.0, 35.3, 31.5, 28.0, 25.3, 17.6, 13.4, 6.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M+H]+ calcd for C_{22}H_{43}N_{2}O_{5}Si 442.2863, found 442.2875.

tert-Butyl 3,2,2-dimethoxethyl)-1H-indole-1-carboxylate (13) Aldehyde 12 (2.60 g, 9.06 mmol) was dissolved in trimethylorthoformate (10.6 mL, 96.6 mmol) and freshly recrystallized pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (114 mg, 453 μmol) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was placed under Ar and stirred at rt for 16 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered and evaporated, and the crude material purified via flash chromatography over silica gel (0-40% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford 13 as a yellow oil (2.32 g, 84% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.13 (m, 1H), 7.56 (m, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 7.31 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (m, 1H), 4.68 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.38 (s, 6H), 3.00 (d, J = 5.55 Hz, 2H), 1.67 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of rotamers): δ 149.9, 135.5, 131.0, 124.4, 124.0, 122.5, 119.2, 115.9, 115.4, 104.2, 104.1, 83.6, 53.5, 53.4, 29.2, 28.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M+Na]+ calcd for C_{17}H_{23}NO_{5}Na 328.1519, found 328.1511.

tert-Butyl (2R,3R,E)-2-((((tert-butyl(dimethyl)silyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(6,6-dimethoxy-2-oxohex-3-yn-1-yl)-4-ethylidene-1-pyrroolidine-1-carboxylate (16). 4,4-Dimethoxybut-1-yne (206 mg, 1.45 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF, placed in a sealed vial, and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of EtMgBr (0.9 M in THF, 1.50 mL, 1.36 mmol) was added and the reaction was heated to 60 °C for 3 h and cooled to rt. A separate sealed flask containing compound 11 (207 mg, 468 μmol) dissolved in THF under Ar was treated with the organometallic solution via cannula. After stirring 1 h at rt, the reaction mixture was quenched then washed with sat. aq. NH₄Cl, and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel (0-40% EtOAc/hexanes), affording 16 as a light yellow oil (208 mg, 86% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of rotamers): δ 5.27 (m, 1H), 4.46 (m, 1H), 3.98-3.87 (m, 1H), 3.65 (m, 1H), 3.53 (m, 2H), 3.34 (m, 0.5H), 3.25 (s, 8H), 2.65-2.41 (m, 4H), 1.53 (m, 3H), 1.33 (s, 9H), 0.74 (s, 9H), -0.11 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of rotamers): δ 185.6, 154.2, 139.2, 138.0, 117.4, 116.8, 101.5, 89.0, 82.0, 79.4, 79.2, 64.0, 63.7, 63.3, 53.5, 50.8, 49.1, 48.9, 38.5, 37.8, 28.4, 25.7, 24.3, 18.1, 14.0, -5.6; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M+H]+ calcd for C_{26}H_{46}NO_{5}Si 496.3089, found 496.3128.
affording 15 as a yellow solid (702 mg, 55% yield). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, mixture of rotamers): δ 9.29 (s, 0.5H), 9.14 (s, 0.5H), 7.68 (m, 1H), 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.10 (m, 1H), 5.29 (m, 1H), 4.55 (m, 1H), 4.12 (m, 1H), 3.89-3.59 (m, 4H), 3.50 (m, 1H), 3.33 (m, 9H), 3.08 (m, 1H), 1.59 (m, 3H), 1.49-1.31 (m, 9H), 0.84 (d, J=5.3 Hz, 9H), 0.00, (m, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, mixture of rotamers): δ 192.3, 154.6, 140.3, 139.1, 136.1, 133.0, 128.8, 126.4, 121.5, 120.5, 117.8, 117.5, 117.0, 116.4, 112.2, 106.1, 105.6, 79.8, 79.4, 65.0, 64.4, 63.9, 54.5, 51.4, 44.2, 38.8, 37.9, 30.6, 28.7, 25.9, 18.4, 14.2, -5.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M+H]$^+$ calcd for C$_{32}$H$_{31}$N$_2$O$_6$Si 587.3511, found 587.3492.

1-({tert-Butyl} 2-methyl (25,35,E)-3-[(2-(3,2-dimethoxyethyl)-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-oxoethyl]-4-ethylidene-1,2-dicarboxylate (17). A solution of compound 15 (245 mg, 418 µmol) was dissolved in THF and treated with TBAF (1M in THF, 1.67 mL, 1.67 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 1 h, washed with sat. aq. NH$_4$Cl, and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and concentrated. The crude material was purified via flash chromatography over silica gel (30-70% EtOAc/hexanes) to give the intermediate alcohol (184 mg, 94% yield). This unstable solid was immediately dissolved in 1:1 H$_2$O/MeCN, cooled to 0 °C, and treated with solid NaHCO$_3$ (62.3 mg, 741 µmol), (bisacetoxyiodo)benzene (263 mg, 815 µmol) and TEMPO (12 mg, 74 µmol). The reaction was stirred for 2 h, then 1M aq. HCl was added dropwise until a pH of 3 was attained. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and concentrated. The resulting yellow oil was dissolved in 20% MeOH/toluene and treated with trimethylsilyldiazomethane (2M in Et$_2$O, 927 µL, 1.85 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred for 1 h, concentrated under vacuum, and the material purified via flash chromatography over silica gel (10-55% EtOAc/hexanes) to provide 17 as a yellow oil (123 mg, 64% yield over three steps). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, mixture of rotamers): δ 9.15 (s, 1H), 7.31 (m, 1H), 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.15 (m, 1H), 5.45 (m, 1H), 4.55 (m, 1H) 4.39 (m, 0.5H), 4.25 (m, 0.5H), 4.15 (m, 2H), 3.78 (m, 3H), 3.67 (m, 1H), 3.55-3.42 (m, 1.5 H), 3.44-3.30 (m, 8H), 3.20 (m, 0.5H), 1.63 (m, 3H), 1.51-1.34 (m, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, mixture of rotamers): δ 191.6, 172.8, 155.1, 153.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M+H]$^+$ calcd for C$_{27}$H$_{28}$N$_2$O$_5$ 553.2050, found 553.2050.

1-({tert-Butyl} 2-methyl (25,35,E)-3-[(2-(3,2-dimethoxyethyl)-1H-indol-2-yl)-2-oxoethyl]-4-ethylidene-1,2-dicarboxylate (18). A solution of compound 17 (37.4 mg, 75 µmol) in MeOH was cooled to 0 °C and treated dropwise with acetyl chloride (267 µL, 3.75 mmol). Upon consumption of starting material by TLC, (1.5 h) the solution was neutralized with triethylamine, the volatiles removed under vacuum, and the crude material suspended in Et$_2$O. This suspension was filtered and the filtrate concentrated under vacuum. The crude intermediate was dissolved in DCM and cooled to 0 °C. Trifluorethanol (1.12 mL, 14.5 mmol) and one drop of 12 M aq. HCl were added and the reaction stirred at 0 °C until all starting material was consumed as judged by TLC. The reaction was quenched with sat aq. NaHCO$_3$ and extracted with DCM. The combined organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, concentrated, and the crude material purified via flash chromatography over silica gel (0-10% MeOH/DCM) to give 18 as a yellow solid (20 mg, 40% yield). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.88 (s, 2H), 7.69, (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (m, 4H); 7.03 (m, 2H), 6.67 (d, J=13.8 Hz, 2H), 5.63 (m, 2H), 5.34 (d, J=13.8 Hz, 2H), 4.08-3.98 (m, 2H), 3.97-3.82 (m, 6H), 3.81-3.74 (m, 8H), 2.56 (m, 2H), 1.84 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 190.1, 172.5, 139.9, 137.8, 137.3, 131.5, 127.8, 127.4, 124.8, 123.1, 120.5, 119.0, 111.9, 89.8, 68.6, 52.6, 50.6, 41.7, 41.4, 29.9, 14.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M+Na]$^+$ calcd for C$_{40}$H$_{40}$N$_2$O$_7$Na 695.2840, found 695.2864. A portion of the solid was recrystallized from CHCl$_3$/hexanes by...
vapor diffusion to give a sample suitable for X-ray diffraction. The CIF file was deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC 1892734).
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