

# Synthesis and application of photoproline - a photoactivatable derivative of proline

Benjamin Van der Meijden and John A. Robinson\*

Chemistry Department, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland

E-mail: [robinson@oci.uzh.ch](mailto:robinson@oci.uzh.ch)

This paper is dedicated to Professor Heinz Heimgartner

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3998/ark.5550190.0012.611>

---

## Abstract

A convenient synthesis is described of a derivative of L-proline called photoproline, containing a diazirine group at position-4 of the pyrrolidine ring, starting from L-4-hydroxyproline. The use of Fmoc-L-photoproline in the synthesis of a cyclic peptidomimetic antibiotic demonstrates that this photoprobe can be incorporated into synthetic peptides using solid-phase Fmoc chemistry. Photoproline may be of wide value in the preparation of diverse peptide-based photoaffinity probes.


**Keywords:** photoaffinity probe, peptide, receptor, peptidomimetic antibiotic, photolabel

---

## Introduction

Photoaffinity labelling is a powerful tool for identifying targets of biologically active small molecules.<sup>1</sup> A key requisite is that a photolabile group can be incorporated into the small molecule, along with a probe to allow later detection of photo-crosslinked receptors, without appreciable loss of biological activity and specificity. One of the most versatile photolabile groups for this purpose is the diazirine, due to its small size, and efficient and irreversible formation of a reactive carbene upon excitation at 350 nm.<sup>2, 3</sup> Amino acid-based photoaffinity probes, including the phenylalanine analogues *p*-benzoylphenylalanine, *p*-azidophenylalanine and 4-[3-trifluoromethyl]-3*H*-diazirin-3-yl]-phenylalanine have been known for some time,<sup>4-6</sup> and more recently the alkyldiazirine amino acid analogs photoleucine, and photomethionine have been described.<sup>7, 8</sup> Here we describe the synthesis and application of a related alkyldiazirine derivative of proline (photoproline), containing a diazirine group at position-4 of the pyrrolidine ring. A photolabile derivative of proline may be of special interest, since proline can have an






**Figure-1.** Peptidomimetic antibiotic **L27-11** and related derivatives. The D-Pro-L-Pro template in **L27-11** is highlighted.

The synthetic approach to the analogue **5** is shown in Scheme-2. The peptide synthesis was planned such that the photoPro could be incorporated close to the end of the solid-phase assembly process. After assembly on the resin, the side-chain protected linear peptide chain was cleaved from the resin with 0.8% TFA, cyclized in dilute DMF solution, and the cyclic product was then deprotected with 95% TFA. The final product **5** was purified by reverse phase HPLC.

The antimicrobial activity of **5** against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 was assayed using a standard broth microdilution method. The MIC measured in Müller-Hinton broth was 0.008 µg/ml, which is essentially identical to that found for **L27-11** (**4**).<sup>12</sup> Thus, the presence of the diazirine group has no adverse effect on the potent antimicrobial activity of the peptide. In the next step, a photolabelling experiment was planned. For this it was necessary to also introduce a reporter group that can be used to detect the photo-crosslinked target protein. For this, another analogue of this cyclic peptide was made **6** using the same method, containing not only photoPro, but also with Thr replaced by a biotin tag (*N*-γ-(*N*-biotinyl-3-(2-(2-(3-aminopropoxy)-ethoxy)-ethoxy)-propyl)-L-glutamine (Glu(biotinyl-PEG), Novabiochem).<sup>12</sup> We reported recently how this photoprobe **6** could be used successfully in a photolabelling experiment to prove that the peptidomimetic antibiotic binds to the OM protein LptD in *P. aeruginosa*.



**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of cyclic peptide **5**. The same method was used to prepare **6**.

Photoproline appears to have many properties that make it very favorable and interesting for photolabelling experiments. The small steric bulk of the spirodiazirine group is especially noteworthy, as well as the ease and efficiency of photolysis through irradiation with UV light at 350 nm. Since this derivative is readily available using the synthetic method reported here, it may be of wide value in the preparation of diverse peptide-based photoaffinity probes.

## Experimental Section

NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AMX500 or DRX600 spectrometers at 300K. Chemical shifts are given relative to the internal standard tetramethylsilane. Electrospray mass spectra (ES-MS) were recorded on a Finnigan TSQ-700 spectrometer, and high resolution MS on a Bruker MAXIS spectrometer with an accuracy of  $\pm 3$  ppm at 2 kDa.

### *N*-Boc-L-4-Oxoproline (**1**)

*N*-Boc-L-4-Hydroxyproline (5 g, 21.6 mmol) was dissolved in 400 ml acetone. Jones reagent (37 ml, 98.8 mmol) was added dropwise with cooling over 10 min and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h. Methanol (8.1 ml) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was then filtered through celite and concentrated *in vacuo*. EtOAc (270 ml) was added and the solution was again filtered through celite. The filtrate was washed with brine (6  $\times$  100 ml). The organic phase was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and solvent removed *in vacuo*. The product crystallized from ethyl acetate.

Yield: 2.58 g (52.1%). m.p. 159-163°C (lit. 160-162°C).<sup>15</sup>  $[\alpha]^{20^\circ\text{C}}_{589\text{nm}} = +19.7$  ( $c = 31.6$  mg/ml, acetone). IR:  $\nu$  ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) = 1768 (s), 1751 (s), 1654 (s). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  (ppm) 4.74 (t,  $J=8\text{Hz}$ , 1H); 3.9-3.7 (m, 2H); 3.1-3.0 (m, 1H); 2.57 (d,  $J=18$  Hz, 1H); 1.46, 1.44 ( $2 \times$  s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 209.07 + 208.39 (CO); 173.74 + 173.49 (COOH), 155.07 + 154.32 (NCOOR), 80.84 (OCR<sub>3</sub>), 57.14 + 56.51 (C $\alpha$ ), 53.39 + 52.99 (C5), 41.73 + 41.19 (C3), 28.44 + 28.35 (Me). ES-MS:  $m/z$  228.0 (M-H<sup>+</sup>).

### ***N*-Boc-proline-4-spiro-3-(3H-diazirine) (2)**

*N*-Boc-L-4-oxoproline (3.08 g, 13.5 mmol) was charged into a three necked-flask (100 ml) and ammonia was slowly condensed into the flask. The solution was refluxed for 5 h with stirring. The solution was cooled with a dry-ice bath and a solution of hydroxylamine-*O*-sulfonic acid in anhydrous methanol (8 ml, 1.84 M, 14.7 mmol) was added. The mixture was refluxed for a further 1.5 h. Anhydrous methanol (18 ml) was added while the reaction was cooled with a dry-ice bath. The solution was stirred overnight to allow the ammonia to evaporate. The resulting slurry was filtered through a sintered glass-funnel and the filter cake was washed twice with methanol (50 ml). The combined methanol phases were treated with triethylamine (1.88 ml, 13.6 mmol) and concentrated to <15 ml. Another equivalent of triethylamine (1.88 ml, 13.6 mmol) was added, the solution was cooled with an ice bath and titrated with a solution of I<sub>2</sub> in MeOH (0.1 M) until the solution remained an orange colour. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the resulting slurry was dissolved in water (50 ml). The solution was acidified to pH 2 and the product was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $4 \times 50$  ml). The organic phase was washed once with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and product was purified by flash silica chromatography (95/5, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH). Yield: 0.99 g (31%). m.p. 104-107°C.  $[\alpha]^{20^\circ\text{C}}_{589\text{nm}} = +16.0^\circ$  ( $c = 10.1$  mg/ml, MeOH). IR:  $\nu$  ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) = 1742 (s), 1636 (s), 1579 (w). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 4.66, 4.57 ( $2 \times$  dd,  $J=9.8$  Hz &  $J=2.2$  Hz, 1H); 3.26-3.22 (m, 1H); 3.17-3.09 ( $2 \times$  d,  $J=12.6$  Hz, 1H); 2.44-2.34 (m, 1H); 1.73-1.66 ( $2 \times$  dd,  $J=15.2$  Hz &  $J=2.2$  Hz, 1H); 1.46, 1.44 ( $2 \times$  s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 177.63 + 175.90 (COOH); 154.66 + 153.28 (CO); 81.89 + 81.44 (OCR<sub>3</sub>); 57.73 + 57.48 (C $\alpha$ ); 48.40 + 48.03 (CN<sub>2</sub>); 33.42 + 32.19 (CH<sub>2</sub>); 30.80 + 30.30 (CH<sub>2</sub>); 28.24 + 28.14 (Me). HR-ES-MS:  $m/z$  (M-H<sup>+</sup>) 240.0993 (calc. mass = 240.0990).

### ***N*-Fmoc-L-proline-4-spiro-3-(3H-diazirine) (3)**

*N*-Boc-L-4-Diazirinyloproline (0.99 g, 4.11 mmol) was dissolved in dioxane (20 ml) and concentrated HCl (2 ml) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 1.5 h and the solvent evaporated *in vacuo*. The sample was dissolved in water and lyophilized to remove excess acid. The residue was dissolved in aq. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (9% w/v, 17.5 ml) and 0.9 eq. *O*-Fmoc-*N*-hydroxysuccinimide (1.24 g) in DMF/dioxane was added. The reaction was shaken for 10 min and then water (150 ml) was added and unreacted *O*-Fmoc-*N*-hydroxysuccinimide was extracted with diethyl ether and EtOAc. The aqueous phase was acidified to pH 2 and the product was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 50$  ml). The organic phase was dried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent evaporated. The product was purified by flash chromatography first with silica using 94/5/1

CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH-AcOH, and then using 90/10/0.25, EtOAc-n-hexane-AcOH as eluant. A white powder was obtained after lyophilization. Yield: 672 mg (46%). m.p. 113-116°C.  $[\alpha]^{20}_{589\text{nm}} = +15.3^\circ$  (c = 10.3 mg/ml, MeOH). IR:  $\nu$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) = 1736 (s), 1709 (s), 1669 (s), 1580 (w). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 7.77-7.72 (m, 2H); 7.55-7.53 (m, 2H); 7.42-7.28 (m, 4H); 4.73-4.70 (m, 1H); 4.56-4.41 (m, 2H); 4.25, 4.19 (2 × t, J=6.8 Hz, 1H); 3.29 (dd, J=21.3 & J=12.7 Hz, 1H); 3.13 (d, J=12.3 Hz, 1H); 2.43-2.32 (m, 1H); 1.71, 1.56 (2 × dd, J=15.0 Hz & J=2.4 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 176.30 + 175.53 (COOH), 154.99 + 153.79 (CO), 143.57 + 143.47 (Ar), 141.35 + 141.32 (Ar), 127.90 + 127.88 (Ar), 127.17, 124.96 + 124.91 (Ar), 120.10 + 120.05 (Ar), 68.21 + 67.68 (CH), 57.88 + 57.35 (C $\alpha$ ), 48.36 + 48.16 (CN<sub>2</sub>), 47.09 + 47.06 (CH<sub>2</sub>O), 33.59 + 32.31 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.75 + 30.12 (CH<sub>2</sub>). HR-ESI-MS:  $m/z$  (M-H<sup>+</sup>) 362.1149 (calc. mass= 362.1146).

### Peptide Synthesis (5 and 6)

The linear peptide was synthesized on an ABI433A peptide synthesizer. Fmoc-Lys-OH (1 mmol) was coupled to 2-chlorotriylchloride resin (1 g, loading = 0.75 mmol/g) in the presence of diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 4 mmol, 4 eq.) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 ml). The unreacted sites on the resin were capped by washing with a mixture of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH/DIPEA (17:2:1) followed by MeOH. After removal of the Fmoc-group using 20% piperidine in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP), chain elongation was performed with Fmoc-protected amino acids, using 20% piperidine/NMP for Fmoc deprotection, 2-(1H-benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluoro-phosphate/1-hydroxy-benzotriazole (HBTU/HOBt, 0.9 mmol, 3.6 eq.) for activation of 4 equivalents of each amino acid, DIPEA (2 mmol, 8 eq.) as base and NMP as solvent. When assembly of the linear peptide chain was complete, the resin was transferred with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> into a sintered glass funnel, and the last 3 amino acids were coupled manually. Chain elongation was performed with Fmoc-protected amino acids (0.375 mmol, 1.5 eq.), using 20% piperidine/dimethylformamide (DMF) for Fmoc deprotection, 2-(7-aza-1H-benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU, 0.3625 mmol, 1.45 eq.) for activation, DIPEA (1.25 mmol, 5 eq.) as base and DMF as solvent. Capping was performed after each coupling by treating the resin with a solution of acetic anhydride (0.95 ml), DIPEA (0.45 ml), and HOBt (40 mg) in DMF (20 ml). The peptide was cleaved from the resin by treatment with ice cold 0.8% CF<sub>3</sub>COOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 ml) for one minute (8 x). The eluate was neutralized immediately with DIPEA (1 ml). The resin was washed 3 times with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Subsequently the solvent was removed under high vacuum. For cyclization, the resulting crude product was dissolved in DMF (30 ml). HBTU/HOBt (each 1 mmol, 4 eq.) and DIPEA (2.75 mmol, 11 eq.) were added. The reaction was stirred for 18 h, then DMF was removed under high vacuum. After evaporation, the crude peptide was cooled on ice before adding an ice-cold TFA/TIS/H<sub>2</sub>O (95/2.5/2.5) mixture (10 ml). The mixture was stirred for 2 h, then solvent was removed under high vacuum, and the peptide precipitated with ice-cold diethyl ether (40 ml). After washing the precipitate twice with diethyl ether, the product was dried and purified by preparative RP-HPLC on a Waters XBridge™ (C18, 50 x 19 mm, 5  $\mu$ m, 135 Å) column with a gradient of 10-40%

MeCN / 0.1% TFA in H<sub>2</sub>O / 0.1% TFA in 7 column volumes. The product was >95% pure by analytical reverse phase HPLC. For **5**: Retention time ( $t_R$ ) 9.1 min (Grace Vydac C4 column, flow 1ml/min, gradient 10-50% MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.1% TFA over 12.5 min); ES-MS  $m/z$  (isotope pattern) 1831+1832+1833+1834 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 1803+1804+1805+1806 [M+H-N<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (calc. mass 1830.1). For **6**:  $t_R$  = 9.6 min (Grace Vydac C4 column, flow 1ml/min, gradient 10-50% MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.1% TFA over 12.5 min); HR-ES-MS  $m/z$  [M+3H]<sup>3+</sup> 763.12339 (C<sub>108</sub>H<sub>175</sub>N<sub>33</sub>O<sub>20</sub>S calc. mass for [M+3H]<sup>3+</sup> 763.121005); [M+3H-N<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> 753.78766.

### Antimicrobial assay

Minimal inhibitory concentrations were determined by a previously published method.<sup>12</sup>

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Swiss National Science Foundation and the EU 7th Framework program (project NABATIVI) for financial support, and Annelies Meier for performing antimicrobial assays.

### References

1. Dormán, G.; Prestwich, G. D., *Trends Biotech.* **2000**, *18*, 64.
2. Moss, R. A., *Acct. Chem. Res.* **2006**, *39*, 267.
3. Blencowe, A.; Hayes, W., *Soft Matter* **2005**, *1*, 178.
4. Kauer, J. C.; Erickson-Viitanen, S.; Wolfe, H. R.; DeGrado, W. F., *J. Biol. Chem.* **1986**, *261*, 10695.
5. Nassal, M., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 7540.
6. Schwyzer, R.; Caviezel, M., *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1971**, *54*, 1395.
7. Ikeda, Y.; Behrman, E. J., *Synth. Comm.* **2008**, *38*, 2276.
8. Suchanek, M.; Radzikowska, A.; Thiele, C., *Nature Methods* **2005**, *2*, 261.
9. Williamson, M. P., *Biochem. J.* **1994**, *297*, 249.
10. Baldwin, J. E.; Field, R. A.; Lawrence, C. C.; Merritt, K. D.; Schofield, C. J., *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 7489.
11. Robinson, D. S.; Greenstein, J. P., *J. Biol. Chem.* **1952**, *195*, 383.
12. Srinivas, N.; Jetter, P.; Ueberbacher, B. J.; Werneburg, M.; Zerbe, K.; Steinmann, J.; Van der Meijden, B.; Bernardini, F.; Lederer, A.; Dias, R. L. A.; Misson, P. E.; Henze, H.; Zumbunn, J.; Gombert, F. O.; Obrecht, D.; Hunziker, P.; Schauer, S.; Ziegler, U.; Kach, A.; Eberl, L.; Riedel, K.; DeMarco, S. J.; Robinson, J. A., *Science* **2010**, *327*, 1010.
13. Ruiz, N.; Kahne, D.; Silhavy, T. J., *Nat. Revs. Microbiol.* **2009**, *7*, 677.
14. Robinson, J. A., *Acct. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 1278.
15. Barraclough, P.; Hudhomme, P.; Spray, C. A.; Young, D. W., *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 4195.