Regioselectivity of aryl azide cycloaddition to methyl propiolate in aqueous media: experimental evidence versus local DFT HSAB principle
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Abstract
The regioselectivity of the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of a series of 4-substituted-phenyl azides to methyl propiolate in aqueous medium has been determined experimentally. Ab initio B3LYP/6-311+G(d, p) calculations in conjunction with the local HSAB principle developed within density functional theory provided a full rationalization of cycloaddition regioselectivity.
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Introduction
1,2,3-Triazoles1 display a wide range of biological activity as anti-HIV2 and anti-microbial3 agents as well as selective β3 adrenergic receptor agonist.4 The azide-acetylene cycloaddition, which constitutes the choice route to 1,2,3-triazoles,5 typically yields a mixture of 4- and 5-regioisomers. After the pioneering work of Huisgen,6 a number of reaction conditions have been exploited in the field of the azide-alkyne cycloaddition in order to broaden the scope of such a transformation. As far as regioselectivity is concerned, high selectivity towards 4-regioisomer has been recently achieved when Cu(I) is present,7 while mixtures of regioisomeric 1,2,3-triazoles were obtained in hot water as reaction medium.8 In this latter report by Wang and Qin it was noted that the behaviour of various aryl azides toward methyl propiolate did not harmonize with the FMO expectations.

The aim of this paper is to demonstrate that regioselectivities of the above cycloaddition can be predicted quantitatively with high accuracy by means of a generalization of the local HSAB principle10 developed within the framework of the density functional theory (DFT).11 Such an
approach has provided many reliable qualitative and quantitative predictions of regioselectivity for 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions.

Furthermore, the choice of the aqueous medium reflects the fact that water as a solvent displays a number of desirable features: (i) reaction rates can be significantly increased, (ii) product isolation can be achieved by simple filtration and (iii) environmentally-friendly procedures can be successfully elaborated.

**Results and Discussion**

The 1,3-dipolar species 2 were prepared from the corresponding anilines as depicted in Scheme 1 and then reacted with methyl propiolate by heating aqueous mixtures of the reactants at 90°C in the presence of tetrahexylammonium chloride (THAC) as a catalyst. The main experimental results are collected in Table 1.

![Scheme 1](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>MeO</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very short reaction times were experienced in the presence of THAC in comparison to the non-catalyzed cycloaddition conditions. The structures of the regioisomeric 1-(4-substituted)-phenyl-4-methoxycarbonyl-1,2,3-triazoles 4 and 1-(4-substituted)phenyl-5-methoxycarbonyl-1,2,3-triazoles 5 were proved by comparison with authentic samples, while their regioisomeric ratios were deduced from ¹H-NMR spectra of crude reaction mixtures. As can be inferred from Table 1, the regioselectivity outcome can hardly be rationalized by consideration of the substituent electron-demand features. Indeed, the lowest regioselectivity is observed with the most electron-donating (MeO) and -accepting (NO₂) substituents. Linear regression of the yield ratios versus Hammett σρ values results in scattered plots with low correlation coefficient ρ <
0.7. As far as FMO theory is concerned, at its best it allows a qualitative prediction of the regioselectivity involved in the cycloadditions between aryl azides and methyl propiolate. This latter phenomenon is based upon the effectiveness of both HOMO–LUMO interactions in which the predominance of HOMO-dipole control leads to the major cycloadduct 4. However, the substituent effect remains unexplained since the difference between the LUMO(2)/HOMO(3) and the LUMO(3)/HOMO(2) interaction energies (Table 2) increases with the electron-donating strength of the ring substituent whereas the regioselectivity is minimal at both ends of the electron-demand scale.

Table 1. Experimental yields and regioisomeric ratios of the cycloaddition between aryl azides 2 and methyl propiolate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Yield 4 + 5 (%)</th>
<th>Yield Ratio 4 : 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>82 : 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>75 : 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>MeO</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>78 : 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>82 : 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>60 : 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Isolation yields. *b* Deduced from ¹H NMR of reaction crudes.

Table 2. B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) FMO energies and energy differences (eV) of aryl azides 2 and methyl propiolate 3. The aqueous solvent is treated as pure water by the COSMO continuum model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>HOMO</th>
<th>LUMO</th>
<th>([-\text{LUMO}(2)/\text{HOMO}(2)])– ([-\text{LUMO}(3)/\text{HOMO}(3)])^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>–6.52</td>
<td>–1.45</td>
<td>–2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>–6.33</td>
<td>–1.42</td>
<td>–2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>–6.05</td>
<td>–1.42</td>
<td>–2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d</td>
<td>–6.49</td>
<td>–1.47</td>
<td>–2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2e</td>
<td>–6.52</td>
<td>–1.52</td>
<td>–2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>–8.36</td>
<td>–1.87</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a^This is the difference between the LUMO(2)/HOMO(3) and the LUMO(3)/HOMO(2) interaction energies.

Since our work is aimed to a deeper, quantitative understanding of the regioselectivity of the cycloaddition between 2 and 3, we turned our attention to the local HSAB principle, as formulated within DFT.

The main results of DFT calculations at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d, p) level including solvent effects are reported in Table 3.
Table 3. Results of B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) calculations including solvent effect. a Electron chemical potential difference between aryl azides 2 and methyl propiolate 3 along with the δΔΩ difference b and predicted 4: 5 yield ratio for their mutual cycloaddition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>μ(2) – μ(3) (eV)</th>
<th>δΔΩ (kJ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Predicted ratio 4: 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>−1.68</td>
<td>83:17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>−0.93</td>
<td>74:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeO</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>−1.23</td>
<td>78:22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>−1.57</td>
<td>82:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>60:40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Water is modeled as a continuum by the COSMO model. b Difference in grand potential variation for the pathways leading to triazoles 4 and 5. c From computed δΔΩ and equations (2) and (3). Estimated error ±1%

In aqueous solution, the chemical potential of 2a-e is larger than that of 3, i.e., upon reactive encounter, charge flows from any aryl azide to methyl propiolate. The stabilization of the promoted complex for the pathway leading to 4-methoxy carbonyl-1,2,3-triazole 4 is

\[
\Delta\Omega(4) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mu(2) - \mu(3) \right]^2 \{s(N_1) \ s(C_1) \ [s(N_1)+s(C_1)]^{-1} + s(N_3) \ s(C_2) \ [s(N_3)+s(C_2)]^{-1} \}
\]

where the atoms are numbered as in Scheme 1. The difference δΔΩ = ΔΩ(4) – ΔΩ(5) is reported in Table 3. The negative sign of δΔΩ shows that the cycloadduct 4 is always the major one, in line with the experimental results. We now proceed one step further by quantitatively comparing δΔΩ with the difference in activation energy δΔE‡ of the two reaction paths that is obtained as

\[
\delta\Delta E^\ddagger = -RT \log(Y),
\]

where \(\rho\) is the linear correlation coefficient (see Figure 1). The rather small regression slope means that the aqueous medium makes the energy differences within the promoted complexes proportionally smaller than in the transition states. The low regioselectivity of 2e is due to the small difference of electron chemical potential to 3, a global electronic effect which smoothes differences among atom-atom interactions. In the other cases, however, local interactions are essential for the regioselectivity as can be appreciated by noting that the most electron-donating substituent R = OMe in 2e falls between R = NO₂, 2e, and R = H, 2a.

The highly polar aqueous medium has a larger effect on the electronic structure of the reactants. The results suggest that the reaction path is influenced so that the energy difference
between the promoted complexes is not equal to, but rather is proportionally smaller than, the differences in activation energy. However, specific solvent–solute interactions seem not to be present.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Linear relationship between the computed difference $\delta\Delta\Omega$ of the promoted-complex stabilization for the pathways leading to cycloadducts 4 and 5 and the correspondent difference in activation energy $\delta\Delta E^\ddagger$, obtained from the experimental 4 : 5 yield ratio in aqueous media. Bars denote the estimated uncertainty on the experimental activation energy.

In conclusion, we have developed a new and effective protocol allowing fast and clean cycloaddition between aryl azides and methyl propiolate, which occurs in aqueous media in the presence of a phase transfer catalyst. As a major task, the observed regioselectivities have been fully explained in the light of the HSAB principle developed within the framework of density functional theory.

**Computational methods**

DFT calculations were performed with the Gaussian98\textsuperscript{14} program suite. The B3LYP functional was employed with the standard 6-311+G(d,p) basis set. The geometry of 2a-e and 3 was fully optimised *in vacuo* and characterized with vibrational analysis at the same level of theory. The effect of the aqueous solvent was taken into account by performing calculations of 2a-e and 3 by the COSMO model\textsuperscript{15} with the parameters for pure water at the *in-vacuo* geometry.

The anion and cation of all systems were treated at the UB3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level using the geometry of the neutral systems. Atomic electron populations were evaluated following the Merz-Kollman scheme\textsuperscript{16,17} (including fitting of atom-centred dipoles). Reactivity indices were computed within the finite difference approximation: $\mu = -(IP+EA)/2$ and $S = (IP–EA)^{-1}$, where
**Experimental Section**

Compounds 4a-e, and 5a-e are known in the literature.\[^{19}\]

**Synthesis of the 1-(4-substituted)phenyl-4-methoxycarbonyl-1,2,3-triazoles, 4, and 1-(4-substituted)-phenyl-5-methoxycarbonyl-1,2,3-triazoles, 5, in water. General procedure.** A mixture of the appropriate aryl azide 2 (5.0 mmol), methyl propiolate (0.67 g, 8.0 mmol), THAC (0.19 g, 0.5 mmol) and water (20 mL) was vigorously stirred at 90 °C for the time indicated in Table 1. Filtration gave a residue, which was chromatographed on a silica gel column with ethyl acetate-hexane, 2:1. The major regioisomer 4 was eluted first, followed by the minor isomer, 5.
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