Reactions of Fischer's base with cyclic and acyclic conjugated nitro-olefins and nitrovinyl ethers

Cristina Forzato, Fulvia Felluga, Patrizia Nitti, Giuliana Pitacco, and Ennio Valentin*

Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, Università degli Studi di Trieste, via Licio Giorgieri 1, I-34127 Trieste, Italy

E-mail: valentin@dsch.univ.trieste.it

Dedicated to Professor Domenico Spinelli on the occasion of his 70th birthday (received 30 Oct 02; accepted 31 Dec 02; published on the web 08 Jan 03)

Abstract

The reactions of 2,3-dihydro-2-methylene-1,3,3-trimethyl-1*H*-indole (Fischer's base) with nitro-olefins and alkoxy- nitro-olefins have been examined. Formation of the corresponding Michaeltype adducts has been observed in all cases with the exception of 2-nitropropene for which the spiro- 1,2-oxazine *N*-oxide derivative could be isolated. The Michael-type adducts derived from alkoxy- nitro-olefins underwent a rapid elimination reaction resulting in the eventual formal nitro-olefination of the Fischer's base. The geometry of the products has been determined by means of DIFNOE experiments and their UV properties have been examined.

Keywords: 2,3-Dihydro-2-methylene-1,3,3-trimethyl-1*H*-indole, nitro-olefins, spiro- 1,2-oxazine N-oxides, nitrodienes, spectroscopy

Introduction

At the beginning of the 1960s, the pioneering work of Stork and his coworkers on enamine chemistry opened the way to an impressive amount of work in this field. Several reviews, books, and a few volumes of the series of The Chemistry of Functional Groups have been devoted to the chemistry of enamines. Special classes of substituted enamines have also been reviewed.

However, the first reaction of an enamine system was the double alkylation of 1,3,3-trimethyl-2-methyleneindoline, the so-called Fischer's base, which was performed with methyl iodide by Zotti and Ferratini in 1890.⁶ Subsequently, other electrophilic reagents such as acyl halides, diketene, sulfenyl- and sulfonyl halides, isocyanates, diazonium salts, and several other electrophiles were reacted with Fischer's base. Strangely enough, among this sequence of reactants the electrophilic olefins, which are important partners for enamines, are missing—

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 236 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

with the exception of tetracyanoethene (TCNE), 15 whose reactivity with Fischer's base has been studied in detail.

Among the electrophilic olefins, nitro-olefins occupy a special position. Owing to the continuing interest in nitro-aliphatic compounds, ¹⁶ and our particular interest in the reactivity of nitro-olefins towards enamine systems, ¹⁷ we have investigated Fischer's base as the nucleophilic counterpart of conjugated nitro-olefins and nitrovinyl ethers. One of our aims was to look for the possible formation of 1,2-oxazine *N*-oxide derivatives, ¹⁸ whose formation in the reactions of nitro-olefins with enamines from aldehydes and ketones is well known. ^{17,19}

Results and Discussion

The electrophiles used in this study are listed in Figure 1. The nitro-olefins 2a, b and b and b and b and b are known, while b was prepared by a literature method used for the synthesis of b and b are known, while b was prepared by a literature method used for the synthesis of b and b are in the b-configuration. For b this was proved by means of difference NOE experiments: irradiation of the methyl group caused enhancement (4%) of the methylene quartet of the ethoxy group.

$$R = H: 2a$$
 $R = H: 4a$ $R = Me: 2b$ $R = CO_2Et: 4c$

Figure 1

Reactions with the nitro-olefins

The reaction between the Fischer's base 1 and the nitro-olefins 2a, b and 3 (Figure 2) have been carried out in ether, under argon at temperatures ranging from -15° C to -5° C. The reaction with nitroethylene 2a was carried out in the presence of hydroquinone to minimize the polymerization of the olefin which was otherwise rapid even below -20° C.

The reaction products were the corresponding Michael-type adducts, namely **7a,b** starting from the linear nitro-olefins **2a,b** and a mixture of *cis*- and *trans*- diastereomers **8** and **9**, respectively, starting from the cyclic nitro-olefin **3**.

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 237 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

Figure 2

All compounds were characterized spectroscopically by means of ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}^{1}\text{H}{-}$ and ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}^{13}\text{C}{-}$ 2D experiments. Compounds **7a,b** are in the *E*- configuration, as proved by difference NOE experiments, whose results are summarized in Table 1.

Only in one case, namely when the nitro-olefin was 2-nitropropene **2b**, could the corresponding spiro-oxazine N-oxide **6b** be isolated, since it precipitated from the ethereal solution. While in the solid state it was rather stable and could be stored at -20° C for long periods, in chloroform solution it underwent nucleophilic ring fission to the corresponding openchain product **7b**.

The opening of the heterocycle **6b** into **7b** was followed by monitoring the reaction by IR and ¹H-NMR spectroscopies. The stretching band at 1620 cm⁻¹, characteristic of the C=N⁺-O⁻ group, ^{17,19} slowly disappeared in favor of two absorption bands at 1670 cm⁻¹ and 1555 cm⁻¹, owing to the stretching of the conjugated carbon–carbon double bond, and to the asymmetric NO₂ stretching band respectively. The ¹H- NMR spectrum recorded at –20°C, showed no vinyl proton signal and no nitromethine proton signal, a result which is consistent with the spirostructure assigned. The signals of the vinyl and nitromethine protons appeared slowly, even at – 20°C, the opening reaction being surely catalyzed by traces of acid present in the deuteriated chloroform used as solvent. Opening of **6b** was complete within a few hours and the Michael-type adduct **7b** was isolated as a single diastereomer.

From the reaction of 1-nitrocyclohexene, 3, with Fischer's base, 1, two diastereomeric Michael-type adducts were identified, namely 8 and 9, differing in the orientation of the nitro group. The geometry of the double bond was E- in both cases, as shown by difference NOE measurements. The stereochemical assignment relative to the stereo-centers was possible since the axial- and equatorial- orientations of the nitro group were easily recognizable from the position and pattern of the relative nitromethine proton signals. In fact, in the cis- isomer 8 the

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 238 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

equatorial nitromethine proton resonated at lower field than the same proton in the *trans*- isomer $\bf 9$ (4.72 ppm vs. 4.45 ppm). The two signals also exhibited different values of W_H , namely 12 Hz for $\bf 8$ and 26 Hz for $\bf 9$ (actually this latter signal was resolved into a doublet of double doublets, ddd, $J_1 = 11.9$ Hz, $J_2 = 9.5$ Hz, $J_3 = 4.0$ Hz), values which are in accordance with equatorial and axial orientations, respectively, of the nitromethine protons.

The foregoing attribution was further confirmed by an analysis of the corresponding ¹³C NMR spectra. In the *cis*- isomer, **8**, the carbocyclic carbon signals were upfield with respect to those of the *trans*- isomer **9**, thus demonstrating the presence of steric interactions in the former compound bearing the nitro group in the *axial* orientation. On standing, the *cis*- diastereomer **8** was converted into the more stable *trans*- **9**. The eventual composition was 4:1 in favor of **9**. Since the *cis*- compound was the kinetically formed product, its formation was helpful in determining the reaction mechanism, as will be discussed later.

Table 1	The most	meaningful	data of the	DIENOE	measurements
Table 1.	THE HIOSE	meaningiui	data or the	DIFINUE	measurements

Compounds	Irradiated proton	Enhanced signal (% η)	
7a	NCH_3	H-1′(8)	
7b	H-1′	NCH ₃ (4)	
8	NCH ₃	H-1′(4)	
9	NCH_3	H-1′(6)	
11	NCH ₃	H-1′(4)	
	СНО	CHNO ₂ (8)	
12	NCH_3	H-1′(8)	
	$CHNO_2$	H-1′(6)	
13a	NCH ₃	H-1′(14)	
13b	NCH_3	H-1′(11)	
		CH ₃ at C-3 (11)	
13c	NCH_3	H-1′(7)	
14	NCH_3	H-1′(11)	
	H-1′	H-4′(12)	

Reactions with the nitrovinyl ethers

The reactions between Fischer's base and the nitrovinyl ethers **4a–c** and **5** followed the same pathway as above, namely that the corresponding Michael-type adducts **10a–c** and **11,12** were the first- formed products (Figure 3).

However, only compounds **10a**, **11** and **12** were isolated, whereas **10b** and **10c** converted easily into the corresponding nitrodiene derivatives **13b**,**c** by loss of ethanol.

The *cis*- and *trans*- diastereomers 11 and 12, obtained from the reaction with 3-nitro-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran, 5, could be differentiated in the same manner as discussed above for the analogous compounds 8 and 9 bearing the carbocyclic six-membered ring. The equatorial nitromethine proton in 11 (W_H 12 Hz) resonated at lower field than the analogous *axial* proton in

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 239 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

12 (W_H 26 Hz) (4.70 ppm vs. 4.39 ppm). The adjacent CH-O proton was *axial* in both compounds, as demonstrated by the patterns of their signals and the values of their respective vicinal coupling constants (**11**: dd, ${}^{3}J_{1^{\circ}2^{\circ}} = 10.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{2^{\circ}3^{\circ}} = 3.7$ Hz; **12**: t, ${}^{3}J_{1^{\circ}2^{\circ}} = {}^{3}J_{2^{\circ}3^{\circ}} = 9.5$ Hz). A comparison of their respective ${}^{13}C$ NMR data strongly support this attribution (Experimental Section). It seems important to stress the easy formation of the open-chain derivative **14**, occurring without catalyst being added. Evidently the formation of a conjugated nitrodiene is such a strong driving force that opening of a tetrahydropyran ring is fully counterbalanced.

Of the nitrodiene derivatives, **13a**, **13b** and **14** were in the E,E- configuration, as established by DIFNOE measurements (Table 1) and by an analysis of the vicinal coupling constants between H-1′ and H-2′ and between H-3′ in **13a**. Compound **13c** was a 3:2 mixture of E,E-: E,E- diastereomers, the conjugation of the ethoxycarbonyl group with the carbon–carbon double bonds being in competition with that of the nitro group. No assignment was made, although it seems reasonable that the E,E- form could slightly prevail in the mixture, owing to the stronger electron-withdrawing power of the nitro group.

Figure 3

Compounds 13a and 13b were crystalline, with high melting points, while 13c, which was an isomeric mixture, and 14 were sticky oils.

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 240 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

All the nitrodiene derivatives have an intense orange–red color. Their electronic spectra, recorded in three different solvents, are characterized by the presence of many intense absorption bands whose positions and intensities are listed in Table 2. The position of band 4 is particularly affected by solvent polarity. Band 2, which is present in the spectrum of **13c** in all solvents, might be attributed to the chromophore -CO₂Et, conjugated with the diene system. For the other derivatives the band at the same wavelength is visible only in cyclohexane.

Table 2. Electronic absorption spectra of compounds 13a,b,c and 14: λ_{max} [nm] (log ϵ)

	Solvent	Band 1	Band 2	Band 3	Band 4
13a	CH ₃ OH	211 (4.08)		277 (3.94)	481 (4.43)
13a	CH ₃ CN	196 (4.46)		280 (4.04)	474 (4.56)
13a	CyH^a	215 (3.95)	251 (3.86)	275 (3.83)	438 (4.08) ^b
13b	CH ₃ OH	207 (4.34)		282 (4.09)	488 (4.55)
13b	CH ₃ CN	197 (4.45)		282 (4.06)	480 (4.53)
13b	CyH^a	214 (3.99)	246 (3.89)	278 (3.96)	446 (4.30) ^b
13c	CH ₃ OH	210 (4.17)	258 (3.96)	308 sh (3.92)	469 (4.86)
13c	CH ₃ CN	200 (4.21)	258 (3.86)	307 (3.81)	471 (4.80)
13c	CyH^a	216 (3.88)	254 (3.92)	302 (3.77)	450 (4.42) ^b
14	CH ₃ OH	208 (4.38)		283 (4.17)	491 (4.58)
14	CH ₃ CN	196 (4.38)		284 (4.01)	481 (4.43)
14	CyH ^a	214 (4.03)	249 (3.90)	280 (4.01)	451 (4.35) ^b

^a CyH, Cyclohexane; ^bfine structure (vibrationally resolved).

Mechanism of the reactions

The isolation of the products in the reactions of the cyclic electrophiles 3 and 5 with Fischer's base, under kinetically controlled conditions, allows a mechanism to be postulated. In accordance with the topological rule of Seebach and Golinski, ²¹ although the enamine β -carbon atom is not prochiral, the approach of the reactants is likely to occur as depicted in Figure 4. The resulting zwitterion intermediate 15 in its chair—chair conformation, 15' would abstract either diastereomeric proton generating the carbon—carbon double bond in the *E*- configuration. Then the nitronic acid carbon atom in 16 would be protonated from the less hindered side of the ring, giving rise to the *cis*- diastereoisomer 8 (or 11) having the nitro group in the axial orientation.

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 241 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

Figure 4

Conclusions

The reactions between Fischer's base and the nitro-olefins and, maybe more interestingly, with the nitrovinyl ethers, give the corresponding Michael-type adducts as oily products which present some curious physical properties, such as that of climbing up the walls of glass vessels by forming strongly adherent films. However, the simple nitroalkylated compounds are not very stable. When left in the air for some period they decompose, probably under the action of oxygen, as happens with the Fischer's base itself, which is easily oxidized to the corresponding oxindole derivative. In only one case was the desired *spiro* compound formed and this is probably related to the type and steric demand of the substituents at the electrophilic double bond. In contrast, the nitrodiene derivatives proved very stable, and could be stored for months in the air without any modification in their structure.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. Melting points were determined with a Büchi 510 apparatus and are uncorrected. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (Merck 60, 70–230 mesh). TLC was performed on Polygram[®] Sil G/UV₂₅₄ silica gel pre-coated plastic sheets (eluent: light petroleum–ethyl acetate). NMR spectra were recorded on a Jeol 400 (9.4 Tesla, 400.13 MHz for ¹H, 100.62 MHz for ¹³C) spectrometer. Chemical shifts (δ in ppm) are given from internal

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 242 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

CHCl₃ (7.26) for 1 H- and 13 CDCl₃ (77.0) for 13 C- NMR. Coupling constants (J, Hz) are accurate to \pm 0.2 Hz for 1 H. Mass spectra (MS) at 70 eV using the electron-impact mode was performed on a VG 7070 spectrometer by. "Laboratorio di Spettrometria di Massa, Università di Trieste." IR spectra were run on a Thermo Nicolet 320 FT-IR spectrometer for CHCl₃ solutions or neat; the absorption values are given in cm⁻¹. Absorption spectra were obtained with a Shimadzu UV-2501PC spectrometer in solvents of Merck Uvasol grades at room temperature.

Reactants. The Fischer's base was purchased from Aldrich, the nitro-olefins were prepared in accordance with literature procedures, as follows: nitro-ethene (**2a**), ²³ 2-nitropropene (**2b**), ²³ 1-nitrocyclohexene (**3**), ²⁴ 2-ethoxy-1-nitro-ethene (**4a**), ²⁰ ethyl 3-ethoxy-2-nitro-acrylate (**4c**), ²⁵ 3,4-dihydro-5-nitro-2H-pyran (**5**). ²⁶

1-Ethoxy-2-nitropropene (4b). Reaction of 1-ethoxypropene with a mixture of acetic anhydride and nitric acid at -33° C furnished the intermediate product as a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture, which was pure enough to be used as such. 1-Acetoxy-1-ethoxy-2-nitropropane: NMR data: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 6.15 (1 H, t, O-CH-O), 4.62 (1 H, 2dq, CHNO₂), 3.77 (1 H, m, OC H_2 CH₃), 3.62 (1 H, m, OC H_2 CH₃), 2.08 (1.5 H, s, CH₃CO), 2.05 (1.5 H, s, CH₃CO), 1.57 (1.5 H, d, CH₃), 1.51 (1.5 H, d, CH₃), 1.15 (1.5H, t, OCH₂CH₃), 1.13 (1.5H, t, OCH₂CH₃). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 170.1 (s), 170.0 (s), 95.2 (d), 95.1 (d), 83.6 (d), 83.1 (d), 66.6 (t), 66.5 (t), 20.71 (q), 20.68 (q), 14.7 (q), 14.6 (q), 13.9 (q), 13.6 (q). Elimination of acetic acid by means of triethylamine gave an oil, which was freed from volatile substances under argon for a few hours. After this treatment the product was practically pure and no distillation was necessary. 1-Ethoxy-2-nitropropene (**4b**): IR, 3072 1664, 1557, 1498, 1218, 991, 861. NMR, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 8.03 (1 H, s, vinyl-H), 4.17 (2 H, q, OC H_2 CH₃), 2.04 (3 H, s, CH₃), 1.38 (3 H, t, OCH₂CH₃). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 157.1 (d), 131.9 (s), 72.0 (t), 15.3 (q), 10.2 (q).

General procedure for the reactions between Fischer's base and nitro-olefins

To a solution of Fischer's base (0.173 g, 1.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (2 mL) the appropriate nitro-olefin (1.0 mmol) in the same solvent (1 mL) was slowly added, keeping the temperature between -15°C and -5°C (the reaction with nitro-ethene 2a was carried out in the presence of hydroquinone (1 eq.) to prevent polymerization of the nitro-olefin. The reaction mixture was kept in the refrigerator for 12 h. In the reaction of compound 1 with 2-nitropropene 2b, the spiro[1,2]oxazine N-oxide 4b precipitated from the ethereal solution, and was filtered off. In the other cases the resulting products 7a and 8, 9 were purified by flash chromatography (eluent, light petroleum–ethyl acetate, 95%). The products 13a,b,c and 14 were formed from their respective parent compounds 10a-c and 11, 12 at room temperature without addition of any catalyst. The products were purified by flash chromatography (eluent, light petroleum–ethyl acetate, gradient).

Spiro[1,3-dihydro-1,3,3-trimethyl-2*H***-indole-2,6'-(5',6'-dihydro-3'-methyl-4'***H***-[1,2]oxazine 2'-oxide**] (**6b**). m.p. 65–66 °C. IR data, 1623 (C=N⁺), 1604, 1491 (Ar). NMR, δ_H (CDCl₃, – 20°C) 7.11 (1 H, t, H-6), 7.01 (1 H, d, H-4), 6.79 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.50 (1 H, d, H-7), 2.92 (3 H, s,

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 243 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

NCH₃), 2.66 (2 H, t, CH₂C=N), 2.30 (2 H, bt, CH₂CH₂C=N), 2.08 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.31 (6 H, very broad s, *gem*- CH₃). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃, -20°C) 147.4 (s, C-7a), 135.6 (s, C-3a), 127.5 (d, C-6), 121.0 (d, C-4), 120.1 (s, C=N-O⁻), 119.1 (d, C-5), 108.9 (s, C-2), 106.7 (d, C-7), 49.6 (s, C-3), 28.0 (q, NCH₃), 28.7 (t, CH₂C=N), 21.4 (t, CH₂CH₂C=N), 18.1 (q, CH₃). The signals for the *gem*-dimethyl carbon atoms were too broad to be detected. MS (70 eV), 260 (M^{+*}, 3), 186 (6), 175 (56), 160 (100), 132 (18), 117 (12), 84 (14), 77 (10).

2,3-Dihydro-2-[(3-nitro)propylidene]-1,3,3-trimethyl-1*H***-indole (7a).** IR, 1650, 1554, 1366, 1600, 1495, 738. NMR, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 7.12 (1 H, t, H-6), 7.04 (1 H, d, H-4), 6.74 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.47 (1 H, d, H-7), 4.42 (2 H, t, CH₂NO₂), 4.08 (1 H, t, H-1′), 3.06 (2 H, q, CH₂CH₂NO₂), 2.95 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 1.50 (6 H, s, gem- CH₃). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 156.4 (s, C-2), 145.8 (s, C-7a), 137.5 (s, C-3a), 127.6 (d, C-6), 121.2 (d, C-4), 118.2 (d, C-5), 104.6 (d, C-7), 86.2 (d, H-1′), 75.8 (t, CH₂NO₂), 44.3 (s, C-3), 29.8 (t, CH₂CH₂NO₂), 28.7 (q, NCH₃), 27.6 (2q, gem- CH₃).

2,3-Dihydro-2-[(3-nitro)butylidene]-1,3,3-trimethyl-1*H***-indole** (**7b**). IR, 1655, 1551, 1363, 1606, 1495, 738. NMR, δ_H (CDCl₃) 7.10 (1 H, t, H-6), 7.04 (1 H, d, H-4), 6.73 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.47 (1 H, d, H-7), 4.58 (1 H, sext., J = 6.6 Hz, CHNO₂), 4.06 (1 H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H-1'), 3.22 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 3.03 (1 H, m, CHCHNO₂), 2.80 (1 H, m, CHCHNO₂), 1.60 (3 H, d, J = 6.6 Hz, CH₃), 1.48 (6 H, s, gem- CH₃). δ_C (CDCl₃) 156.4 (s, C-2), 145.8 (s, C-7a), 137.7 (s, C-4a), 127.6 (d, C-6), 121.3 (d, C-4), 118.2 (d, C-5), 104.7 (d, C-7), 86.2 (d, C-1'), 84.8 (d, CHNO₂), 44.3 (s, C-3), 32.5 (t, CH₂CHNO₂), 28.9 (q, NCH₃), 27.9 (2q, gem- CH₃), 18.5 (q, CH₃). MS (70 eV): 260 (M^{+*}, 12), 214 (8), 198 (13), 186 (37), 175 (37), 171 (15), 160 (100), 145 (13), 144 (17), 132 (16), 126 (17), 117 (12), 77 (13), 74 (25).

cis-2,3-Dihydro-2-[(2-nitrocyclohexyl)methylidene]-1,3,3-trimethyl-1*H*-indole (8), and *trans*-2,3-dihydro-2-[(2-nitrocyclohexyl)methylidene]-1,3,3-trimethyl-1*H*-indole (9).

Chromatography (light petroleum–ethyl acetate 95:5) of the two diastereomers furnished the pure *trans*- isomer **9**, and a 1:4 mixture of **8** and **9**.

Compound 8. NMR, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 7.08 (1 H, t, H-6), 7.01 (1 H, d, H-4), 6.71 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.45 (1 H, d, H-7), 4.76 (1H, dt, $J_1 = J_2 = 4.8$ Hz, $J_3 = 9.5$ Hz, $W_{\rm H} = 16.5$ Hz, CHNO₂), 4.34 (1H, d, $J_1 = 11.4$ Hz, H-1′), 3.51 (1H, m, $J_1 = 11.4$ Hz, H-1′), 3.51 (1H, m, $J_1 = 11.4$ Hz, H-1′), 3.51 (1H, m, ring H), 1.78 (1 H, m, ring H), 1.45 (3H, s, CH₃ at C-3), 1.41 (3H, s, CH₃ at C-3), 1.40 (1 H, m, ring H), 1.20 (1 H, m, ring H). $J_1 = J_2 = J_1 = J_2 = J_2 = J_2 = J_3 =$

Compound 9. IR, 3052, 3028, 1658, 1606, 1546, 1496, 1372, 911, 736. NMR, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 7.07 (1 H, t, H-6), 7.01 (1 H, d, H-4), 6.70 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.43 (1 H, d, H-7), 4.25 (1H, ddd, J₁ = 11.7 Hz, J₂ = 10.6 Hz, J₃ = 3.7 Hz, W_H = 27.5 Hz, CHNO₂), 4.05 (1H, d, J = 10.6 Hz, H-1'), 3.05 (1 H, dq, J₁ = J₂ = J₃ = 10.6 Hz, J₄ = 10.6 Hz, J₃ = 3.7 Hz, CHCHNO₂), 2.92 (3H, s, NCH₃), 2.26 (1 H, m, ring H), 2.01 (1H, dq, ring H), 1.96 (3 H, m, ring H), 1.76 (2H, m, ring H), 1.50 (1 H, m, ring H), 1.46 (4 H, s + m, CH₃ at C-3, ring H), 1.42 (3H, s, CH₃ at C-3). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 155.5 (s, C-2), 145.9 (s, C-7a), 138.0 (s, C-3a), 127.7 (d, C-6), 121.3 (d, C-4), 118.0 (d, C-5), 104.7 (d, C-7),

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 244 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

94.3 (d, C-1'), 92.4 (d, CHNO₂), 44.6 (s, C-3), 40.3 (d, CHCHNO₂), 34.9 (t, CH₂), 31.2 (t, CH₂), 29.0 (q, NCH₃), 28.65 (q, CH₃ at C-3), 28.58 (q, CH₃ at C-3), 24.9 (t, CH₂), 24.3 (t, CH₂). MS (70 eV): 300 (M^{+•}, 50), 285 (9), 254 (38), 238 (15), 212 (28), 188 (21), 184 (14), 182 (13), 175 (17), 172 (23), 160 (100), 158 (31), 145 (16), 144 (15), 86 (18), 84 (31), 77 (8).

2,3-Dihydro-2-[(2-ethoxy-3-nitro)propylidene]-1,3,3-trimethyl-1*H***-indole** (**10a**). IR, 3066, 3034, 1642, 1630, 1500, 1125, 996, 790, 775. NMR, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 7.14 (1 H, t, H-6), 7.09 (1 H, d, H-4), 6.80 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.54 (1 H, d, H-7), 5.18 (1 H, ddd, J₁ = 10.2 Hz, J₂ = 9.9 Hz, J₃ = 3.6 Hz, CH-O), 4.52 (1 H, dd, J₁ = 12.0 Hz, J₂ = 9.9 Hz, CHNO₂), 4.34 (1 H, dd, J₁ = 12.0 Hz, J₂ = 3.6 Hz, CHNO₂), 4.06 (1 H, d, J = 10.2 Hz, H-1'), 3.68 (1 H, m, OC*H*₂CH₃), 3.42 (1 H, m, OC*H*₂CH₃), 3.01 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 1.37 (3 H, s, CH₃ at C-3), 1.35 (3 H, s, CH₃ at C-3), 1.18 (3 H, t, OCH₂CH₃). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 169.4 (s, C-2), 143.5 (s, C-7a), 139.5 (s, C-3a), 138.5 (d, C-6), 127.6 (d, C-4), 121.4 (d, C-5), 105.2 (d, C-7) 88.9 (d, C-1'), 80.6 (t, CH₂NO₂), 72.4 (d, CHO), 63.5 (t, OCH₂CH₃), 47.1 (s, C-3), 30.3 (q, NCH₃), 28.5, 27.8 (2q, gem- CH₃), 15.8 (q, OCH₂CH₃).

cis- and *trans*- 2-(2,3-Dihydro-1,3,3-trimethyl-1*H*-indolylidene)methyl-3-nitro-tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran, (11) and (12). Although it was not possible to separate the two diastereomers, their spectroscopic data are given separately for the sake of clarity.

Compound 11. NMR, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 7.12 (1 H, t, H-6), 7.05 (1 H, d, H-4), 6.84 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.52 (1 H, d, H-7), 5.03 (1H, dd, J₁ = 10.2 Hz, J₂ = 4.0 Hz, CH-O), 4.72 (1H, m, W_H = 12.0 Hz, CHNO₂), 4.39 (1H, d, J = 10.2 Hz, H-1′), 4.02 (1H, m, H_{eq} of OCH₂ grouping) 3.61 (1H, m, H_{ax} of OCH₂ grouping), 2.98 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 2.44 (1H, m, ring CH), 2.27 (1H, m, ring CH), 2.12 (1H, m, ring CH), 1.83 (1H, m, ring CH), 1.53 (3 H, s, CH₃ at C-3), 1.47 (3 H, s, CH₃ at C-3). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 160.2 (s, C-2), 145.4 (s, C-7a), 138.0 (s, C-3a), 127.8 (d, C-6), 121.8 (d, C-4), 119.0 (d, C-5), 105.9 (d, C-7) 85.6 (d, C-1′), 82.0 (d, CHNO₂), 71.3 (d, CHO), 64.2 (t, OCH₂), 45.0 (s, C-3), 29.9 (q, NCH₃), 29.0, 29.8 (2q, gem- CH₃), 25.0 (t, CH₂), 22.0 (t, CH₂).

Compound 12. NMR, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 7.12 (1 H, t, H-6), 7.06 (1 H, d, H-4), 6.78 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.53 (1 H, d, H-7), 4.65 (1H, t, J = 9.5 Hz, CH-O), 4.45 (1H, ddd, J₁ = 9.9 Hz, J₂ = 9.5 Hz, J₃ = 4.0 Hz, W_H = 26.0 Hz, CHNO₂), 4.28 (1H, d, J = 9.9 Hz, H-1′), 4.02 (1H, m, H_{eq} of OCH₂ grouping) 3.61 (1H, m, H_{ax} of OCH₂ grouping), 2.98 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 2.44 (1H, m, ring CH), 1.83 (1H, m, ring CH), 1.63 (2H, m, ring CH₂), 1.51 (3 H, s, CH₃ at C-3), 1.46 (3 H, s, CH₃ at C-3). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 161.5 (s, C-2), 145.3 (s, C-7a), 138.3 (s, C-3a), 127.7 (d, C-6), 121.3 (d, C-4), 119.1 (d, C-5), 105.4 (d, C-7) 88.3 (d, C-1′), 84.0 (d, CHNO₂), 75.2 (d, CHO), 67.2 (t, OCH₂), 45.2 (s, C-3), 29.8 (q, NCH₃), 29.4 (t, CH₂), 28.9, 28.8 (2q, gem- CH₃), 24.5 (t, CH₂).

2,3-Dihydro-2-[(3-nitro)propenylidene]-1,3,3-trimethyl-1*H***-indole** (**13a**). m.p. $161-162^{\circ}$ C. IR, 1577, 1561, 1460, 1376, 1276, 926, 798, 756, 722. NMR, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 8.38 (1 H, dd, J₁ = 13.2 Hz, J₁ = 12.1 Hz H-2′), 7.27 (2 H, t + d, H-6 and H-4), 7.10 (1H, d, J = 12.1 Hz H-3′), 7.06 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.85 (1 H, d, H-7), 5.46 (1 H, d, J = 13.2 Hz, N-C=CH), 3.30 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 1.64 (6 H, s, gem- CH₃). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 169.4 (s, C-2), 143.2 (s, C-7a), 139.5 (s, C-3a), 138.6 (d, C-2′), 129.2 (d, C-3′), 128.1 (d, C-6), 122.5 (d, C-5), 121.8 (d, C-4), 107.9 (d, C-7), 89.6 (d, N-C=CH), 47.4 (s, C-3), 29.7 (q, NCH₃), 28.6 (2q, gem- CH₃). MS (70 eV): 244 (M^{+*}, 4), 200 (4), 186 (5), 175 (33), 160 (100), 159 (8), 158 (12), 146 (11), 132 (16), 117 (11), 91 (7), 77 (8).

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 245 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

2,3-Dihydro-2-[(3-nitro)-2-butenylidene]-1,3,3-trimethyl-1*H***-indole (13b).** m.p. 191–192°C; IR, 1618, 1597, 1563, 1462, 1262, 928, 816, 796, 758, 721. NMR, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 8.50 (1 H, d, J = 13.2 Hz, H-2′), 7.27 (1 H, t, H-6), 7.24 (1 H, d, H-4), 7.03 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.84 (1 H, d, H-7), 5.30 (1 H, d, J = 13.2 Hz, H-1′), 3.32 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 2.25 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.64 (6 H, s, gem- CH₃). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 167.6 (s, C-2), 143.6 (s, C-7a), 139.4 (s, C-3a), 136.0 (s, H-3′), 133.7 (d, C-2′), 128.0 (d, C-6), 122.1 (d, C-4), 121.8 (d, C-5), 107.6 (d, C-7), 90.3 (d, C-1′), 47.1 (s, C-3), 29.6 (q, NCH₃), 28.6 (2q, gem- CH₃), 11.9 (q, CH₃). MS (70 eV): 258 (M^{+•}, 62), 212 (15), 198 (39), 197 (47), 182 (37), 181 (22), 180 (23), 175 (24), 167 (25), 160 (79), 159 (76), 158 (100), 145 (16), 144 (33), 132 (12), 116 (12), 77 (18).

Cis- and trans Ethyl 4-(2,3-dihydro-1,3,3-trimethyl-1H-indolylidene)-2-nitro-2-butenoate (13c). IR, 1713, 1617, 1568, 1488, 1480, 1362, 1360, 1358, 930, 805, 749. NMR data for the major component, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 8.72 (1 H, d, J = 14.3 Hz, H-2'), 7.33, 7.30 (2 H, t + d, H-6, H-4), 7.15 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.96 (1 H, d, H-7), 6.34 (1 H, d, J = 14.3 Hz, H-1'), 4.38 (2 H, q, OCH₂), 3.43 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 1.67 (6 H, s, gem- CH₃), 1.39 (3H, t, CH₃). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 173.8 (s, CO), 162.2 (s, C-2), 142.8 (s, C-7a), 140.1 (s, C-3a), 139.4 (d, C-2'), 129.2 (s, C-3'), 128.32 (d, C-6), 123.32 (d, C-4), 122.0 (d, C-5), 109.0 (d, C-7), 92.2 (d, C-1'), 61.2 (t, OCH₂), 48.2 (s, C-3), 30.3 (q, NCH₃), 28.7 (2q, gem- CH₃), 14.30 (q, CH₃). NMR data for the minor component, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 8.23 (1 H, d, J = 13.6 Hz, H-2'), 7.33, 7.30 (2 H, t + d, H-6, H-4), 7.15 (1 H, t, H-5), 6.96 (1 H, d, H-7), 6.51 (1 H, d, J = 13.6 Hz, H-1'), 4.31 (2 H, q, OCH₂), 3.43 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 1.67 (6 H, s, gem-CH₃), 1.36 (3H, t, CH₃). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 173.9 (s, CO), 162.6 (s, C-2), 142.9 (s, C-7a), 142.4 (d, C-2'), 140.0 (s, C-3a), 128.35 (d, C-6), 127.8 (s, C-3'), 123.87 (d, C-4), 121.9 (d, C-5), 109.1 (d, C-10.0 cm, 7), 92.8 (d, C-1'), 61.1 (t, OCH₂), 48.2 (s, C-3), 30.4 (q, NCH₃), 28.6 (2q, gem- CH₃), 14.26 (q, CH₃). MS data: 316 (M^{+•}, 25), 300 (7), 271 (13), 270 (13), 269 (20), 197 (17), 182 (28), 175 (36), 172 (16), 168 (19), 167 (22), 160 (85), 159 (100), 158 (57), 144 (24), 132 (27), 117 (20), 116 (34), 91 (42), 90 (26), 89 (43), 77 (30).

2,3-Dihydro-2-[(6-hydroxy-3-nitro)hexylidene]-1,3,3-trimethyl-1*H*-indole (14). IR, 3431, 3056, 1619, 1579, 1490, 1469, 1356, 1262, 1246, 1287, 929, 799, 746. NMR, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 8.54 (1 H, d, J = 13.5 Hz, H-2′), 7.27 (1 H, t, H-6), 7.25 (1 H, d, H-4), 7.04 (1 H, t, H-5), 7.84 (1 H, d, H-7), 5.46 (1 H, d, J = 13.5 Hz, H-1′), 3.67 (2 H, t, CH₂OH), 3.32 (3 H, s, NCH₃), 2.85 (2H, t, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), 1.95 (1 H, bs, OH), 1.85 (2 H, quintet, CH₂CH₂OH), 1.65 (6 H, s, gem- CH₃). $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 168.4 (s, C-2), 143.6 (s, C-7a), 139.5 (s, C-3a), 139.1 (s, C-3′), 134.8 (d, C-2′), 128.0 (d, C-6), 122.3 (d, C-4), 121.8 (d, C-5), 107.7 (d, C-7), 90.3 (d, C-1′), 61.3 (t, CH₂OH), 47.3 (s, C-3), 30.4 (t, C-5′), 29.6 (q, NCH₃), 28.5 (2q, gem- CH₃), 21.9 (t, C-4′). MS data: 302 (M^{+*}, 58), 285 (8), 258 (22), 256 (16), 240 (22), 211 (28), 197 (56), 194 (22), 186 (23), 182 (23), 181 (30), 180 (23), 175 (38), 172 (32), 168 (19), 167 (21), 160 (90), 158 (100), 145 (22), 144 (39), 132 (17), 116 (15), 91 (11), 77 (17).

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 246 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

Acknowledgements

Thanks are given to the MIUR, CNR (Rome) and the University of Trieste for financial support.

References

- 1. Stork, G.; Brizzolara, A.; Landesman, H; Szmuszkovicz, J.; Terrell, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 207.
- (a)Szmuszkovicz, J. Adv. Org. Chem. 1963, 4, 1. (b) Blaha, K.; Cervinka, O. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1966, 6, 147. (c) Kuehne, M. E. Synthesis 1970, 510. (d) Hickmott, P. W. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 1975. (e) Hickmott, P. W. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 3363. (f) Whitesell, J. K.; Whitesell, M. A. Synthesis 1983, 517. (g) Oare, D. A.; Heathcock, C. H. Acyclic Stereocontrol In Michael Addition Reactions of Enamines and Enol Ethers in Topics in Stereochemistry 1991, 20, 87.
- 3. (a) Dyke, S. F. *The Chemistry of Enamines*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, **1973**. (b) Cook, G. A., *Enamines, Synthesis, Structure and Reactions*, Marcel Dekker: New York, 1st Edn. 1969; 2nd Edn.; 1988.
- 4. (a) Pitacco, G.; Valentin, E. Enamines and Ynamines In The Chemistry of Functional Groups, Suppl. F: The Chemistry of Amino, Nitroso and Nitro Compounds and their Derivatives, S. Patai, Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, 1982; Part 1, Ch. 15. (b) The Chemistry of Functional Groups, The Chemistry of Enamines, Rappoport Z, Ed.; John Wiley: Chichester, 1994; Vol. 1 and 2.
- (a) Taylor, E. C.; McKillop, A. Adv. Org. Chem. 1970, 7, 1. (b) Ghosez, L.; Marchand–Brynaer, J. Adv. Org. Chem. 1976, 9, 421. (c) Greenhill, J. V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1977, 7, 277. (d) Ahlbrecht, H. Chimia 1977, 31, 391. (e) Rajappa, S. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 1453.
- 6. Zatti, C.; Ferratini, A. Ber. 1890, 23, 2302.
- 7. (a) Yagupol'skii, L.M.; Pazenok, S.-V.; Kondratenko, N. V. *Zh. Org. Khim.* **1983**, *19*, 2223 *Chem. Abstr.* **1984**, *100*, 53181z. (b) Reichardt, C.; Engel, H.-D. *Chem. Ber.* **1988**, *121*, 1009.
- (a) Plancher, G. Ber. 1898, 31, 1488. (b) Bailey, A. S.; Vandrevala, M. H.; Greenhill, J. V., Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 45, 4407. (c) Przhiyalgovskaya, N. M.; Kon'kov, L. L.; Tarshits D. L.; Salmina, S. V.; Sagizova, N. T.; Sevorov, N. N. Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin. 1987, 915; Chem. Abstr. 1988, 108, 150216n.
- 9. Coenen, M. Angew. Chem. 1949, 61, 11.
- 10. Nagarajan, K.; Mehta, S. R. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 4248.
- 11. Coenen M. Chem. Ber. 1947, 80, 546.
- 12. (a) Konig, W.; Muller, J. Ber **1924**, 57, 144. (b) Roderick, R.; Brack, A.; Lange, K. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1991**, 30, 1643.
- 13. Hubschwerlen, C.; Fleury, J. P.; Fritz, H. *Tetrahedron* **1976**, *32*, 3031.

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 247 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc

- 14. Hickmott, P. Electrophilic and Nucleophilic Substitution and Addition Reactions of Enamines, In The Chemistry of Functional Groups, The Chemistry of Enamines, Patai S.; Rappoport Z., Eds; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, 1994, Vol. 1, 727.
- (a) Mc Kusick, B. C.; Heckert, R. E.; Cairns, T. L.; Coffman, D. D.; Mower, H. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 20806. (b) Hubschwerlen, C.; Fleury, J.-P.; Fritz, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1977, 60, 1312. (c) Sackus, A.; Degutis, J. Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedn. 1988, 902; Chem. Abstr. 1990, 110, 192584c.
- (a) Seebach, D.; Colvin, E. W.; Lehr, F.; Weller, T. Chimia 1979, 33, 1. (b) Yoshikoshi, A.; Miyashita, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 284. (c) Barrett, A. G. M.; Graboski, G. G. Chem. Rev. 1986, 86, 751. (d) Kabalka, G. W.; Varma, R. S. Org. Prep. Proceed. Int. 1987, 19, 283. (e) Rosini, G.; Ballini, R. Synthesis 1988, 833. (f) Nitroalkanes and Nitroalkenes in Synthesis, Barrett, A. G. M., Ed.; Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 7313. (g) Rosini, G. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M. Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol 2, 321. (h) Luzzio, F. A, Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 915.
- (a) Felluga, F.; Nardin, G.; Nitti, P.; Pitacco, G.; Valentin, E. *Tetrahedron* 1988, 44, 6921.
 (b) Felluga, F.; Nitti, P.; Pitacco, G.; Valentin, E. *Tetrahedron* 1989, 45, 2099.
 (c) Pitacco, G.; Pizzioli, A.; Valentin, E. *Synthesis* 1996, 242.
 (d) Marc, G.; Nitti, P.; Pitacco, G.; Pizzioli, A.; Valentin, E. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* 1997, 223.
 (e) Benedetti, F.; Drioli, S.; Nitti, P.; Pitacco, G.; Valentin, E. *ARKIVOC* 2001, (ii), 140.
- 18. Tsoungas, P. G. Heterocycles 2002, 57, 915 and 1149.
- 19. Felluga, F.; Nitti, P.; Pitacco, G.; Valentin E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1992, 2331.
- 20. Kogan, T. P., Gaeta, F. C. A. Synthesis 1988, 706.
- 21. Seebach, D.; Golinski, J. Helv. Chim. Acta 1981, 64, 1413.
- 22. (a) Von Siegrist, H. *Chimia* **1966**, *20*, 297. (b) Pfortner, K.; Bernauer, K. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1968**, *51*, 1787. (c) Saito, I.; Matsugo, S.; Matsuura, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 7332.
- 23. Buckley, G. D.; Scaife, C. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1947, 1471.
- 24. Corey, E. J.; Estreicher, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 6294.
- 25. Kamlet, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1959, 24, 714.
- 26. Menicagli, R.; Malanga, C.; Guagnano, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2867.

ISSN 1424-6376 Page 248 [©]ARKAT USA, Inc