Synthesis of substituted *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrins in mixed solvent systems
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Abstract
An efficient synthetic method of substituted *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrins with better isolated yields was proposed by using propionic acid, valeric acid and *m*-nitrotoluene as mixed-solvent systems. The porphyrin yields in mixed solvent systems were obviously higher than those in the single propionic acid or valeric acid as solvents. The further investigation showed that the acidity, polarity, viscosity and the property of oxidant played an important role to the synthesis of porphyrin. Compared with other oxidants, *m*-nitrotoluene as an excellent oxidant could completely transform tetraphenylporphyrinogen to tetraphenylporphyrin.

Keywords: Porphyrin, synthesis, mixed solvents, oxidant

Introduction

*meso*-Tetraphenylporphyrin (TPPH\textsubscript{2}) as one of the simple and stable substituted tetrapyrrolic macrocycle compounds has been widely investigated in terms of synthesis and application\textsuperscript{1-6} for several decades. Many porphyrin derivatives including free base porphyrin compounds with different substituents,\textsuperscript{7} mononuclear metalloporphyrins\textsuperscript{8} and binuclear metalloporphyrins\textsuperscript{9} have been prepared by virtue of the efficient synthesis of TPPH\textsubscript{2} with high yields. They can be used to mimic natural enzyme peroxidase, catalase and heme-containing proteins,\textsuperscript{10} which are responsible for molecular binding,\textsuperscript{11} oxygen transport\textsuperscript{12} and energy transfer.\textsuperscript{13} The importance of TPPH\textsubscript{2} synthesis as a methodology has been described in many literatures.\textsuperscript{14-16}
Almost all of the natural and synthetic porphyrins can be synthesized by means of the cyclocondensation of substituted aldehydes with pyrrole and herein several famous synthetic methods have been widely established to synthesize TPPH₂. Rothemund firstly synthesized TPPH₂ in 10% yield by heating the mixture of pyrrole and benzaldehyde with pyridine as solvent in a sealed tube filled with nitrogen. Subsequently, Adler and Longo converted benzaldehyde and pyrrole to TPPH₂ in a single refluxing carboxylic acid with air oxidation. The yield of TPPH₂ was up to 20% and the separation of product was relatively simple by using Adler-Longo methodology. Furthermore, Lindsey developed another synthetic strategy to form tetraphenylporphyrinogen by reacting benzaldehyde and pyrrole with dichloromethane as a solvent and BF₃ etherate as a catalyst under N₂ at room temperature. Then the tetraphenylporphyrinogen was transformed to TPPH₂ with the addition of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone (DDQ) as an oxidant and the better spectroscopic yield of porphyrin was obtained with the addition of salts. Besides, TPPH₂ might also be synthesized by the direct condensation of benzaldehyde and pyrrole with AlCl₃ as the catalyst in refluxing DMF and the separation yield was ~30%. In addition, tetraarylporphyrins could be obtained from pyrrole and substituted benzaldehydes in the gas phase without solvents or using high-valent transition metal salts as aromatizing agents to synthesize porphyrins. Guo and co-workers developed the industrial method and the device to synthesize tetraaryl porphyrins by using the condensation of pyrrole and aromatic aldehyde with air oxidation. Gonsalves et al used the mixture of acetic acid and nitrobenzene to synthesize TPPH₂ in an aerated solution, and that the nitrobenzene played a role of oxidant. Above all, the synthetic methods of TPPH₂ in the single solvent or solvent-free systems have been widely developed in recent years. However, the present synthesis of TPPH₂ from the direct condensation of benzaldehyde and pyrrole is still inconvenient and the purity of products directly separated from the filtrate remains to be improved.

In our previous reports, the synthesis of para-substituted tetraphenylporphyrins with the mixed-solvent method was developed on the research basis of Gonsalves. In this paper, the mixed solvent systems of binary carboxylic acids and nitrobenzene derivatives were used to synthesize TPPH₂ by the condensation of substituted benzaldehydes and pyrrole. By adjusting the physicochemical properties and oxidizing intensities of the reaction systems, the synthetic processes of TPPH₂ became very effective and simple and that the better yields were obtained.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of TPPH₂ in mixed solvent systems
In an attempt to examine the effect of carboxylic acids on the synthesis of TPPH₂, the condensation reaction in the single C1-C8 saturated fatty acids with different physicochemical parameters was performed. The results were listed in Table 1.
Table 1. Yields of TPPH$_2$ in the single carboxylic acids$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carboxylic acids</th>
<th>$pK_a$ $^b$</th>
<th>$\mu$ (30 $^\circ$C, D)$^c$</th>
<th>Refluxing temperature ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>Viscosities (30 $^\circ$C, cps)</th>
<th>Isolated yields (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>formic acid</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetic acid</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic acid</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>butyric acid</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valeric acid</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hexanoic acid</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heptanoic acid</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octanoic acid</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Each reaction was performed via aerobic oxidation at 0.1 M benzaldehyde and 0.1 M pyrrole in 100 mL carboxylic acids under reflux for 2 h. $^b$ Acid strength. $^c$ Dipole moment.

From Table 1, it could be seen that the isolated yields of TPPH$_2$ were obtained in the range of 0-22.5% in the single carboxylic acids with air as the oxidant. It was found that TPPH$_2$ was difficult to form in the strongest formic acid ($pK_a=3.77$), however, the highest yield (22.5%) of TPPH$_2$ was obtained in valeric acid with the highest dipole moment ($\mu=2.66$) and the stronger acid strength ($pK_a=4.81$) than other carboxylic acids. Meanwhile, the yields of TPPH$_2$ in single C2-C5 carboxylic acids (high polarity, moderate refluxing temperature and viscosity) were higher than those in C6-C8 carboxylic acids. The results indicated that the physicochemical properties of carboxylic acids had influences on the synthetic yields of TPPH$_2$.

To further evaluate the roles of physicochemical parameters of carboxylic acids, binary carboxylic acids including valeric acid via aerobic oxidation were mixed and applied to the synthesis of TPPH$_2$ and the results were listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Yields of TPPH$_2$ in binary mixed carboxylic acids$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixed carboxylic acids</th>
<th>$pK_a$ $^b$</th>
<th>$\mu$ (30 $^\circ$C, D)$^c$</th>
<th>Refluxing temperature ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>Viscosities (30 $^\circ$C, cps)</th>
<th>Isolated yields (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>formic acid : valeric acid</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetic acid : valeric acid</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic acid : valeric acid</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>butyric acid : valeric acid</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hexanoic acid : valeric acid</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heptanoic acid : valeric acid</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octanoic acid : valeric acid</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Each reaction was performed via aerobic oxidation at 0.1 M benzaldehyde and 0.1 M pyrrole in 100 mL solvents (V/V=1/1) under reflux for 2 h. $^b$ Acid strength. $^c$ Dipole moment.
As shown in Table 2, the synthesis in propionic acid - valeric acid mixed solvents with medium dipole moment and moderate refluxing temperature gave higher porphyrin yields than the corresponding synthesis in the single valeric acid or other binary mixed carboxylic acid systems. Therefore, the yields became higher in the given solvent compositions, which exhibited a lower viscosity and higher temperature. In addition, the yields in C2-C4 mixed carboxylic acid systems were higher than those in C6-C8 carboxylic acid combinations. The acids (including Lewis acid and Brønsted acid), as reported, played a catalytic role in the condensation of benzaldehyde and pyrrole. But then the stronger acidity was liable to make pyrrole form straight-chain pyrrole polymers, thus, almost all black intractable mixtures but the TPPH₂ product were obtained in formic acid and valeric acid as the mixed solvents.

Scheme 1. Oxidation of tetraphenylporphyrinogen to tetraphenylporphyrin.

It is widely accepted that tetraphenylporphyrinogen is the key intermediate in the synthesis of tetraphenylporphyrin. The oxidation of tetraphenylporphyrinogen by O₂ in the atmosphere (Scheme 1) was a competitive procedure which formed the desired porphyrin (TPPH₂) and tetraphenylchlorin (TPC). Hence, the oxidants played a crucial role in the synthesis of porphyrins and an ideal oxidant would selectively oxidize only tetraphenylporphyrinogen to TPPH₂ without the formation of TPC. The results of reactions oxidized by different oxidants under the same conditions were listed in Table 3.

The results in Table 3 showed that the tetraphenylporphyrinogen oxidized by nitrobenzene derivatives gave better yields than those by air and dimethylsulfoxide. According to the UV-vis absorption spectra (Figure 1), the ratios of absorbances with nitrobenzene and air as oxidants between ~480 nm and ~650 nm was 1 (0.064/0.064) and 1.26 (0.111/0.088), which suggested the obtained porphyrin filtered from the reaction solution containing nitrobenzene was very pure without the formation of TPC. But the tetraphenylporphyrinogen was incompletely oxidized to TPPH₂ only by O₂ in the atmosphere if no stoichiometric oxidants were added into the reaction system. Nitrobenzene derivatives as the weak organic oxidants effectively promoted the formation of TPPH₂, which had the same effect as dihydroquinoline oxidized to quinoline by nitrobenzene under acidic conditions in the Skraup reaction. Meanwhile, the yields of TPPH₂ with nitrotoluene derivatives as oxidants were higher than those with nitrobenzene and
nitrobenzoic acid derivatives as oxidants. Additionally, nitrotoluene derivatives were of lower toxicities versus nitrobenzene and the oxidative effect of \( m \)-nitrotoluene surpassed \( o/p \)-nitrotoluene in the synthesis of \( \text{TPPH}_2 \). Thereby, \( m \)-nitrotoluene was chosen as a preferable oxidant in the synthesis of \( \text{TPPH}_2 \).

**Table 3.** Effects of various oxidants on yields of \( \text{TPPH}_2 \)^a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidants</th>
<th>Oxidant concentrations (M)</th>
<th>Oxidant dosages</th>
<th>Isolated yields (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>air</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nitrobenzene</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.5 mL (l)^b</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( o )-nitrotoluene</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3 mL (l)</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m )-nitrotoluene</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3 mL (l)</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )-nitrotoluene</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.4 g (s)^c</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( o )-nitrobenzoic acid</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.1 g (s)</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m )-nitrobenzoic acid</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.1 g (s)</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )-nitrobenzoic acid</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.1g (s)</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethylsulfoxide</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.8 mL (l)</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Each reaction was performed at 0.1 M benzaldehyde and 0.1 M pyrrole in 100 mL solvents (\( V_{\text{propionic acid}} : V_{\text{valeric acid}} = 1:1 \)) under reflux for 2 h. ^b Liquid. ^c Solid.

**Figure 1.** UV-vis absorbance spectra (benzene) of \( \text{TPPH}_2 \) filtrated from the reaction solution with air and nitrobenzene as oxidants.
Table 4. Effects of \(m\)-nitrotoluene concentrations and solvent proportions on yields of TPPH\(_2^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(m)-Nitrotoluene concentrations (M)</th>
<th>(V_{\text{propionic acid}} : V_{\text{valeric acid}} : V_{m\text{-nitrotoluene}})</th>
<th>Isolated yields (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>50 : 50 : 0</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>49 : 49 : 2</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>48 : 48 : 4</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>47 : 47 : 6</td>
<td>45.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>46 : 46 : 8</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>45 : 45 : 10</td>
<td>37.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Each reaction was performed at 0.1 M benzaldehyde and 0.1 M pyrrole in 100 mL solvents under reflux for 2 h.

Now that the concentration of the oxidant usually had a profound effect on the synthetic reaction, the influences of \(m\)-nitrotoluene concentrations on the yields of TPPH\(_2\) were studied and the results were listed in Table 4. In contrast to the 28.2% yield of TPPH\(_2\) without organic oxidants, the yield of TPPH\(_2\) exceeded 45% with 0.51 M of \(m\)-nitrotoluene as the oxidant (Table 4). The results indicated the oxidation of tetraphenylporphyrinogen became gradually complete as the increase of \(m\)-nitrotoluene concentration. However, superfluous \(m\)-nitrotoluene (dipole moment, 4.21 D) affected the polarities of mixed solvent systems, so the yields of TPPH\(_2\) decreased gradually when the concentration of \(m\)-nitrotoluene exceeded 0.51 M. On the basis of the investigation of the optimal oxidants, the yields of TPPH\(_2\) in the mixed solvent systems with different proportions were examined. The highest yield (45.1%) of TPPH\(_2\) was obtained by using propionic acid, valeric acid and \(m\)-nitrotoluene in the proportion of 47:47:6 (V/V/V) as the mixed solvent systems.

![Figure 2](image_url). Effects of reactant concentrations on yields of TPPH\(_2\). Reaction conditions: equivalent molarities of benzaldehyde and pyrrole, 100 mL mixed solvent systems (\(V_{\text{propionic acid}}/V_{\text{valeric acid}}/V_{m\text{-nitrotoluene}} = 47/47/6\)), reflux for 2 h.
Figure 3. Effects of reaction time on yields of TPPH$_2$. Reaction conditions: equivalent molarities of benzaldehyde (0.1 M) and pyrrole (0.1 M), 100 mL mixed solvent systems ($V_{\text{propionic acid}}/V_{\text{valeric acid}}/V_{m\text{-nitrotoluene}}=47/47/6$), under reflux conditions.

In addition, the reactant concentrations and reaction time also had obvious effects on the yields of TPPH$_2$ in the mixed solvent systems of binary carboxylic acids and m-nitrotoluene (Figure 2 and Figure 3). Figure 2 showed that the yields of TPPH$_2$ were closely related to the concentrations of reactants. The maximal yield was generally obtained at 0.1 M of reactants and the reactions of higher concentrations gave lower yields than those in dilute solutions. As shown in Figure 3, the condensation rates of benzaldehyde and pyrrole in binary carboxylic acids and m-nitrotoluene as the mixed solvents were very fast and the yields of TPPH$_2$ exceeded 35% in 0.5 h. But the yields of TPPH$_2$ gradually decreased when the reaction time was more than 2 h because of the polymerization of TPPH$_2$ for long time at high temperature.

Conclusions

In summary, a synthesis of substituted tetraphenylporphyrins from aromatic aldehyde and pyrrole in binary carboxylic acids and nitrotoluene derivatives solvent systems was systematically studied. The highest yield of TPPH$_2$ exceeded 45% with propionic acid, valeric acid and m-nitrotoluene as the mixed solvents. The improvement of the TPPH$_2$ yields could be realized by adjusting the acidity, polarity, refluxing temperature and viscosity of mixed carboxylic acids. Nitrotoluene derivatives as oxidants played an important role in the synthesis of TPPH$_2$ and m-nitrotoluene as an excellent oxidant exhibited remarkable effects in the oxidation of tetraphenylporphyrinogen. The experimental results showed that it was possible to apply binary carboxylic acid and nitrobenzene derivatives as the mixed solvents to synthesize various substituted tetraphenylporphyrins in excellent yields.
Experimental Section

General. All chemicals were obtained commercially and used as received unless otherwise noted. Pyrrole was redistilled before use. Dichloromethane was dehydrated. Neutral Al$_2$O$_3$ was baked at 100 °C for 5 h. Chromatography was performed on neutral Al$_2$O$_3$. Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectra were recorded in dichloromethane with a HITACHI U-3010 spectrophotometer. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded as KBr pellets via a Nicolet AVATAR-360 spectrophotometer. 1H NMR spectra were recorded with an AV 400 MHz Bruker spectrometer. The data of elemental analysis were obtained with an EURO EA3000 elemental analyzer.

General synthetic procedures of TPPH$_2$
Propionic acid (47 mL) and valeric acid (37 mL) were added into a 250 mL three-neck round-bottom flask equipped with stirrer, reflux exchanger and dropping funnel. The mixture was stirred at refluxing temperature for 2 h. When the temperature of the reaction mixture was cooled to 50 °C-60 °C, methanol (30 mL) was added into the flask. After that, the reaction solution was allowed to stir for 15 min and then stood for 30 min. The resulting solution was filtrated under reduced pressure and afforded the blue-purple power. The crude product was washed with methanol and dried in 60 °C for 30 min. Purification by column chromatography (Al$_2$O$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$ as the eluent) afforded pure TPPH$_2$. The isolated yield of the product was found to be 0.69 g (45.1%). $^1$H NMR(CDCl$_3$; Me$_4$Si): δ$_H$ -2.76 (2H, s, pyrrole-NH), 7.74-7.78 (12H, m, Ph), 8.21-8.23 (8H, m, Ph), 8.85 (8H, s, β-pyrrole-H); UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): $\lambda_{max}$, nm 417, 515, 549, 589, 646; IR(KBr): ν, cm$^{-1}$ 3314 (w, NH), 1595 (w, C=C), 1349 (m, C=N), 965 (s, NH), 799 (s, CH); Anal. Calcd. for C$_{41}$H$_{30}$N$_4$: C, 85.97; H, 4.92; N, 9.11; Found: C, 86.12; H, 5.11; N, 9.27.

T(p-OCH$_3$)PPH$_2$. T(p-OCH$_3$)PPH$_2$ was synthesized by the same procedures as that described for TPPH$_2$ and the final product was recrystallized from CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to yield 55.3%. $^1$H NMR(CDCl$_3$; Me$_4$Si): δ$_H$ -2.74 (2H, s, pyrrole-NH), 4.03-4.10 (12H, m, OCH$_3$), 7.26-7.30 (8H, m, Ph), 8.11-8.13 (8H, m, Ph), 8.86 (8H, s, β-pyrrole-H); UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): $\lambda_{max}$, nm 421, 518, 555, 593, 650; IR(KBr): ν, cm$^{-1}$ 3320 (w, NH), 1596 (w, C=C), 1349 (m, C=N), 965 (s, NH), 805 (s, CH); Anal. Calcd. for C$_{44}$H$_{38}$N$_4$O: C, 78.54; H, 5.22; N, 7.63; Found: C, 78.80; H, 5.12; N, 7.72.

T(o-OCH$_3$)PPH$_2$. T(o-OCH$_3$)PPH$_2$ was synthesized by the same procedures as that described for TPPH2 and the final product was recrystallized from CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to yield 25.4%. $^1$H NMR(CDCl$_3$; Me$_4$Si): δ$_H$ -2.60 (2H, s, pyrrole-NH), 3.57-3.63 (12H, m, OCH$_3$), 7.32-7.36 (8H, m, Ph), 7.75-7.78 (4H, m, Ph), 7.95-8.07 (4H, m, Ph), 8.74 (8H, s, β-pyrrole-H); UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$): $\lambda_{max}$, nm 417, 512, 545, 589, 643; IR(KBr): ν, cm$^{-1}$ 3322 (w, NH), 1580 (w, C=C), 1349 (m, C=N), 966 (s, NH), 753 (s, CH); Anal. Calcd. for C$_{48}$H$_{38}$N$_4$O: C, 78.54; H, 5.22; N, 7.63; Found: C, 78.92; H, 5.51; N, 7.89.
**T(p-Cl)PPH₂.** T(p-Cl)PPH₂ was synthesized by the same procedures as that described for TPPH₂ and the final product was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂ to yield 50.3%. ¹H NMR(CDCl₃; Me₄Si): δH -2.62 (2H, s, pyrrole-NH), 7.51-7.70 (8H, m, Ph), 7.95-8.07 (8H, m, Ph), 8.66 (8H, s, β-pyrrole-H); UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): λmax, nm 418, 514, 589, 645; IR(KBr): ν, cm⁻¹ 3315 (w, NH), 1627 (w, C=C), 1349 (m, C=N), 965 (s, NH), 796 (s, CH); Anal. Calcd. for C₄₄H₂₆N₄Cl: C, 70.27; H, 3.49; N, 7.45; Found: C, 70.65; H, 3.44; N, 7.81.

**T(o-Cl)PPH₂.** T(o-Cl)PPH₂ was synthesized by the same procedures as that described for TPPH₂ and the final product was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂ to yield 23.7%. ¹H NMR(CDCl₃; Me₄Si): δH -2.63 (2H, s, pyrrole-NH), 7.65-7.69 (4H, m, Ph), 7.74-7.78 (4H, m, Ph), 7.83-7.88 (4H, m, Ph), 8.08-8.25 (4H, m, Ph), 8.71 (8H, s, β-pyrrole-H); UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂): λmax, nm 412, 511, 542, 587, 642; IR(KBr): ν, cm⁻¹ 3325 (w, NH), 1626 (w, C=C), 1346 (m, C=N), 967 (s, NH), 750 (s, CH); Anal. Calcd. for C₄₄H₂₆N₄Cl: C, 70.27; H, 3.49; N, 7.45; Found: C, 70.05; H, 3.66; N, 7.83.
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