Reduction of hydrazines to amines with low-valent titanium reagent

Fang Ren, Yan Zhang, Lan Hu, and Meiming Luo*

Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry & Technology of Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, People's Republic of China E-mail: <u>luomm@scu.edu.cn</u>

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3998/ark.5550190.0014.313

Abstract

The N,N bond cleavage in hydrazines to amines *via* low-valent titanium reagent prepared *in situ* by treatment of TiCl₄ with Mg powder in THF or CH₂Cl₂-Et₂O is described. The reaction proceeds smoothly under mild conditions to afford amines in good to excellent yields with diverse functional group tolerance such as chloride, methoxyl, benzyloxyl, ester, acyl, as well as C,C double bonds and benzyl-nitrogen bonds.

Keywords: Hydrazine, amine, N,N bond cleavage, low-valent titanium reagent

Introduction

Amines constitute an important class of compounds in chemistry and biology. They are frequently encountered as drugs in the pharmaceutical industry, pesticides for crop protection and target molecules in total synthesis.¹ Among various methods for the synthesis of amines, hydrazines often appear as key intermediates.²⁻¹² Remarkable examples are the addition of alkylzinc reagent to arylazo tosylates,¹² electrophilic addition of α -diazoesters with ketones,¹³ the addition of carbon nucleophiles to azodicarboxylates¹⁴ and acylhydrazonium salts,¹⁵ the anionic^{8,16} or radical¹⁷ additions to the C=N bond of hydrazones, the cross-coupling of ketones with hydrazones,¹⁸ the addition of *N*-aminolactams to Michael acceptors,^{10,19} the aza-Michael addition of hydrazines to electrophilic alkenes,²⁰ the radical cyclization of N-allyl- α perchlorohydrazides,²¹ and the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine imines to dipolarophiles.²² These examples clearly show the significance of N,N bond cleavage in organic synthesis. Although a number of methods have been developed for N,N bond cleavage in hydrazine-based substrates and they give satisfactory results in general, limitations and difficulties are frequently encountered in many cases, mainly related to harsh acidic or basic reaction conditions, incompatibility with some functionalities, requirement of activating groups, and in some cases the lack of reactivity.⁶ For example, Zn/H⁺ reduction requires acidic

condition,¹¹ dissolving metal reduction generates basic condition,^{4,23} hydroboration²⁴ is incompatible with C=C bond, the metal-catalyzed hydrogenolysis may cause hydrogenation of C=C bond and hydrogenolysis of benzyloxyl protecting group before the reductive cleavage of hydrazine N–N bonds,^{6,8} the oxidative cleavage^{6,7} and SmI₂-reductive cleavage^{8,10} usually need an activating acyl group on at least one of the hydrazine nitrogens, and the reaction with 2-naphthols restricts to *N*,*N*-disubstituted hydrazines at present stage.²⁵ Recently, we reported the reductive cleavage of the N,N bond in hydrazines with aqueous titanium(III) trichloride solution.²⁶ Aqueous solution and heating involved in the method reduce its general use.

Use of a low-valent titanium reagent as a powerful electron donor has been reported to accomplish certain deoxygenations such as reductive coupling of carbonyl compounds,²⁷ and reduction of sulphoxides, epoxides, bromohydrins, and cyanohydrins.²⁸ So far as we know, use of low-valent titanium reagents has not been reported for the reductive cleavage of N,N bonds in hydrazines to produce amines. Reports demonstrated that titanium(II) reagents can serve as the reductant for transformation of *N*-nitrosoamines to hydrazines,²⁹ which indicates the titanium(II) reagent did not reduce hydrazines to amines at room temperature. We hypothesize that the powerful reductivity of titanium(0) reagent which is usually prepared *in situ* with an excess amount of reductant in nonaqueous organic solvent could be exploited to reduce hydrazine to amine under mild conditions, thus overcoming the difficulties encountered in previously reported methods. Herein we report the readily N,N bond cleavage of hydrazines in THF or CH₂Cl₂–ether with a wide range of functional group tolerance under mild conditions by low-valent reagent prepared *in situ* by reducing TiCl₄ with excess magnesium powder.

Results and Discussion

A number of agents can reduce TiCl₄ to low-valent titanium reagent, including LiAlH₄, alkaline metal, Zn and Mg powder.²⁷ For simplicity and convenience, our attention turned to Zn and Mg powder. Because Zn reacted sluggishly with TiCl₄, Mg powder was finally employed for the preparation of low-valent titanium reagent from TiCl₄ in this study.

	NHNH ₂	Mg, TiCl ₄ THF, r.t. (Ar)	NH ₂
Entry	Hydrazine/TiCl ₄ /Mg (mol)	Time (h)	Yield $(\%)^b$
1	1:1:2.5	1	93
2	1:4:10	0.3	94
3	1:0.4:2.5	2	92
4	1:0.2:2.5	4	90
5	1:0.1:2.5	12	71
6	1:0:2.5	12	0

Table 1. Reduction of phenylhydrazine to aniline with low-valent titanium reagent^a

^{*a*} 10 mmol of phenylhydrazine was used. ^{*b*} Average isolated yield of two runs.

As shown in Table 1, transformation of phenylhydrazine to aniline was chosen as a model reaction to optimize the reaction conditions. To avoid interference of air oxidation, the reaction was performed under an argon atmosphere. Low-valent titanium reagent was firstly prepared by stirring the mixture of TiCl₄ and Mg powder in THF for 2 h at room temperature. The molar ratio of TiCl₄ to Mg was kept less than 1:2 to ensure the reduction of TiCl₄. Then phenylhydrazine was added, and the reduction was performed at room temperature and monitored by TLC. Different molar ratios of hydrazine/TiCl4/Mg were explored, and we found that the molar ratio affected the product yield and reaction time significantly. When the molar ratio of phenylhydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg was 1:1:2.5, the reaction was complete in 1 h, affording aniline in 93% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Increasing the amount of TiCl₄ from a phenylhydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg molar ratio of 1:1:2.5 to 1:4:10 led to completion of the reaction within 20 min, albeit the yield of aniline was not improved significantly (Table 1, entry 2). Interestingly, when the amount of TiCl₄ was reduced to phenylhydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg molar ratios of 1:0.4:2.5 and 1:0.2:2.5, the reaction went smoothly as well, giving aniline in 92% yield in 2 h (Table 1, entry 3) and 90% in 4 h (Table 1, entry 4), respectively. When the amount of TiCl₄ was further decreased to a phenylhydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg molar ratio of 1:0.1:2.5, phenylhydrazine was not completely consumed even after 12 h based on TLC monitoring, and aniline was obtained in 71% yield (Table 1, entry 5). A control reaction was run, and when TiCl₄ was not added, no reaction occurred (Table 1, entry 6). Thus the optimized reaction conditions involved use of a hydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg molar ratio of 1:0.4:2.5 in anhydrous THF under argon atmosphere at ambient temperature.

With the effective reaction conditions in hand, we next investigated the generality of the reaction with respect to hydrazines. As shown in Table 2, monosubstituted hydrazines (Table 2, entries 1–6), *N*,*N*-disubstituted hydrazines (Table 2, entries 7–15), symmetrical and unsymmetrical *N*,*N'*-disubstituted hydrazines (Table 2, entries 16–18) could be reductively

dissociated to the corresponding primary and secondary amines by low-valent titanium reagent in THF at room temperature with a hydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg molar ratio of 1:0.4:2.5; the yields were generally excellent.

R^1 R^3	TiCl ₄ , Mg	R ¹	$+$ UN R^3
R^2 R^4	THF, r.t. (Ar)	R^2	$+$ HN R^4
Hydrazine		Amine a	Amine b

Table 2. Reduction of hydrazines with low-valent titanium reagent in THF^a

Entry	Substituent	Amine a, yield $(\%)^b$	Amine b, yield $(\%)^b$
1	$R^1 = phenyl, R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = H$	1a , 92	NH3,
2	$R^1 = 2$ -naphthyl, $R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = H$	2a , 90	NH ₃ ,
3	$R^1 = 2$ -methoxyphenyl, $R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = H$	3a , 71	NH ₃ ,
4	R^1 = 4-chlorophenyl, $R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = H$	4a , 74	NH3,
5	$R^1 = 4$ -ethoxycarbonylphenyl,	5a , 82	NH ₃ ,
	$\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{R}^3 = \mathbf{R}^4 = \mathbf{H}$		
6	R^1 = cyclohexyl, $R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = H$	6a , 72	NH3,
7	$R^1R^2N = 1$ -piperidinyl, $R^3 = R^4 = H$	7a , 74	NH ₃ ,
8	$R^1 = R^2 = isobutyl, R^3 = R^4 = H$	8a , 57	NH ₃ ,
9	$R^1 = R^2 = cyclohexyl, R^3 = R^4 = H$	9a , 70	NH ₃ ,
10	R^1 = phenyl, R^2 = cyclohexyl, $R^3 = R^4 = H$	10a , 76	NH3,
11	R^1 = phenyl, R^2 = allyl, $R^3 = R^4 = H$	11a , 76	NH ₃ ,
12	R^1 = phenyl, R^2 = benzyl, $R^3 = R^4 = H$	12a , 72	NH ₃ ,
13	R^1 = phenyl, R^2 = n-heptyl, $R^3 = R^4 = H$	13a , 75	NH3,
14	R^1 = 2-naphthyl, R^2 = methyl, $R^3 = R^4 = H$	14a , 72	NH ₃ ,
15	$R^1 = R^2 = phenyl, R^3 = R^4 = H$	15a , 90	NH ₃ ,
16	$R^1 = R^3 = phenyl, R^2 = R^4 = H$	1a , 91	
17	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{R}^3 = 4$ -methylphenyl, $\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{R}^4 = \mathbf{H}$	17a , 91	
18	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{phenyl}, \mathbf{R}^3 = \mathbf{benzyl}, \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{R}^4 = \mathbf{H}$	1a , 76	18b , 84
19 ^{<i>c</i>}	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{R}^3 = $ phenyl, $\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{R}^4 = $ methyl	19a , 82	
20^{c}	$R^1 = R^3 =$ phenyl, $R^2 =$ allyl, $R^4 =$ methyl	11a , 77	19a , 83
21 ^{<i>c</i>}	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{R}^3 = \mathbf{phenyl}, \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{R}^4 = \mathbf{benzyl}$	12a , 73	
22^c	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{R}^3 = \mathbf{R}^4 = \mathbf{phenyl}$	15a , 80	

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: THF as the solvent, hydrazine (10 mmol), hydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg = 1:0.4:2.5, r.t., 2–6 h. ^{*b*} Yield of isolated product. The yield of NH₃ was not determined. ^{*c*} hydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg = 1:4:10, 60 °C, 4–6 h.

For N, N, N', N'-tetrasubstituted hydrazines (Table 2, entries 19–22), however, a hydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg molar ratio of 1:4:10 and higher reaction temperature of 60 °C were required for complete conversion of substrates, which was probably due to the steric hindrance of tetrasubstituted hydrazines. Alkylhydrazines (Table 2, entries 6–9) underwent the reaction as well as arylhydrazines. It was noted that common functional groups such as methoxyl (Table 2, entry 3), chloride (Table 2, entry 4), ester (Table 2, entry 5), C,C double bonds (Table 2, entries 11 and 20) which are usually susceptible to hydrogenation and hydroboration reactions, and benzyl-nitrogen bonds (Table 2, entries 12, 18 and 21) were all well tolerated in the reaction.

Interestingly, we found that solvent made important impact on the reaction. Acylhydrazines gave complex products by TLC monitoring when the reduction was carried out in THF. Fortunately, when performed in the mixture solvent of CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O (4:1, v/v) at room temperature with a hydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg molar ratio of 1:4:10, acylhydrazines including benzyloxycarbonyl (Table 3, entry 4) underwent the reaction furnishing the desired product in good yields (Table 3, entries 1–4).

	$R^1_{N-N'}R^3$ TiCl ₄ , Mg	R^1 R^3	
	$R^{2} R^{4} CH_{2}Cl_{2}-Et_{2}O, r$ Hydrazine (Ar)	t. R^2 R^4 Amine a Amine b	
Entry	Substituent	Amine a, yield $(\%)^b$	Amine b, yield $(\%)^b$
1	R^1 = benzoyl, $R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = H$	23a , 75	NH ₃
2	R^1 = phenyl, R^3 = acetyl, $R^2 = R^4 = H$	1a , 74	24b , 63
3	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{R}^3 = $ benzoyl, $\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{R}^4 = \mathbf{H}$	23a , 78	
4	R^1 = phenyl, R^3 = benzyloxycarbonyl,	1a , 71	26b , 75
	$\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{R}^4 = \mathbf{H}$		
5	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{phenyl}, \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{H},$	1a , 71	acetophenone,77
	$R^{3}R^{4} = 1$ -phenylethylidenyl		
6 ^c	$R^1 = R^3 =$ phenyl, $R^2 = H$, $R^4 =$ methyl	1a , 81	19a , 84
7 ^c	$R^1 = R^3 = R^4 = phenyl, R^2 = H$	1a , 78	15a , 76
8 ^c	$R^1 = R^3 =$ phenyl, $R^2 = H$, $R^4 =$ allyl	1a , 76	11a , 83
9 ^c	$R^1 = R^3 =$ phenyl, $R^2 = H$, $R^4 =$ benzyl	1a , 75	12a , 78

Table 3. Reduction of hydrazines with low-valent titanium reagent in CH₂Cl₂-Et₂O^a

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: solvent = CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O = 4:1 (v/v, 20 mL), hydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg ratio = 1:4:10, r.t., 2–6 h. ^{*b*} Yield of isolated product. ^{*c*} 35 ^{*o*}C, 4–6 h.

The N,N bond in hydrazone could also be cleaved under these conditions giving the corresponding amine and carbonyl compound in good yields (Table 3, entry 5). A similar situation was encountered with trisubstituted hydrazines, and they did not react completely in THF. However, the reduction of trisubstituted hydrazines took place smoothly when performed

in the mixture solvent of CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O (4:1, v/v) at 35 $^{\circ}$ C with a hydrazine/TiCl₄/Mg molar ratio of 1:4:10 (Table 3, entries 6–9). However, the observation was not surprising since it has been well documented in the literature that low-valent titanium reagents prepared in different solvents may exhibit distinct activity.²⁷

Conclusions

In summary, we have established an efficient method for the reduction of hydrazines to the corresponding amines under mild conditions by using a low-valent titanium reagent conveniently prepared *in situ* from TiCl₄ and Mg powder. The reaction solvent and hydrazine/Mg/TiCl₄ molar ratio affected the reaction significantly. While acylhydrazines and trisubstituted hydrazines were found to be reduced efficiently in a solvent mixture of CH₂Cl₂–Et₂O with a hydrazine/Mg/TiCl₄ molar ratio of 1:4:10, tetrasubstituted hydrazines were reduced with a hydrazine/Mg/TiCl₄ molar ratio of 1:4:10 in THF. Other hydrazines were reduced in THF with a hydrazine/Mg/TiCl₄ molar ratio of 1:0.4:2.5. The reaction was compatible with common functionalities such as chloride, methoxyl, ester, acyl, and C,C double bond, benzyl-nitrogen bond and benzyloxyl groups, providing a variety of amines in good to excellent yields.

Experimental Section

General. All reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. THF and diethyl ether were freshly distilled from Na/benzophenone and DCM was distilled from CaH₂. Hydrazines used in this study were prepared following the literature procedures (see Supplementary Information). Column chromatography was performed with silica gel (200–300 mesh). Thin layer chromatography was carried out using Merck silica gel GF254 plates. NMR spectroscopy was performed on a Bruker (400 MHz or 600 MHz) spectrometer using TMS as an internal standard. GC–MS were made on an Agilent Technologies 6890-5973N GC–MS spectrometer with EI ionization at 70 eV. MS analyses were made on a Bruker Daltonics Bio TOF-Q Mass Spectrometer using ESI or MALDI–TOF ionization. All products are known and were characterized by comparing ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data with data reported in the literature.²⁶

General procedure for the reduction of hydrazines to amines with low-valent titanium reagent in THF. TiCl₄ (0.44 mL, 4 mmol) was added dropwise with a syringe to a stirred mixture of Mg powder (0.6 g, 25 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL) at 0 °C under an argon atmosphere. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred for 2 h. Hydrazine (10 mmol) in THF (25 mL) was then added and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for another 2–6 h. The resulting solution was made alkaline by addition of saturated

aqueous NaHCO₃ solution and filtered through celite. The filtrate was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 repeatedly. The organic phases were combined and dried with MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using CH_2Cl_2 and petroleum ether as eluent to give amines.

For tetrasubstituted hydrazines, TiCl₄ (4.4 mL, 40 mmol) and Mg power (2.4 g. 0.1 mol) was added, and after addition of hydrazine, the mixture was stirred at 60 $^{\circ}$ C instead.

General procedure for the reduction of hydrazines to amines with low-valent titanium reagent in CH₂Cl₂–Et₂O. TiCl₄ (0.88 mL, 8 mmol) was added slowly with stirring to a mixture solvent of CH₂Cl₂ and Et₂O (20 mL, 4:1, v/v), and lots of yellow precipitates were produced. Magnesium powder (0.48 g, 20 mmol) was then added under Ar and stirring was continued for 2.5 h at room temperature. The yellow mixture turned black gradually. Hydrazine (2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added at room temperature and the mixture was stirred for another 2–6 h at room temperature for acylhydrazines, or at 35 °C for trisubstituted hydrazines. The resulting solution was made alkaline with saturated NaHCO₃ solution and filtered through celite. The filtrate was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ repeatedly. The combined organic phases were dried with MgSO₄. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using CH₂Cl₂ and petrum ether as eluent to give amines.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Natural Science Foundation of China (21021001, 21072134 and J1103315/J0104) and the Analytical & Testing Centre of Sichuan University for NMR and MS measurements.

References

- 1. Ricci, A. Modern Amination Methods, Wiley, New York, 2000.
- Hinman, R. L. J. Org. Chem. 1957, 22, 148. DOI: 10.1021/jo01353a013
- Feuer, H.; Brown, F. J. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1468. DOI: 10.1021/jo00830a045
- Denmark, S. E.; Nicaise, O.; Edwards, J. P. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 6219. DOI: 10.1021/jo00312a034

- Alonso, F.; Radivoy, G.; Yus, M. *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 8673. DOI: <u>10.1016/S0040-4020(00)00797-3</u>.
- Fernández, R.; Ferrete, A.; Lassaletta, J. M.; Llera, J. M.; Monge, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2893. DOI: 10.1002/1521-3773(20000818)
- Fernández, R.; Ferrete, A.; Llera, J. M.; Magriz, A.; Martín-Zamora, E.; Díez, E.; Lassaletta, J. M. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2004, *10*, 737. DOI: 10.1002/chem.200305501
- Friestad, G. K.; Ding, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4491. DOI: 10.1002/1521-3773(20011203)
- Enders, D.; Funabiki, K. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1575. DOI: 10.1021/ol015869g
- Ding, H.; Frestad, G. K. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 637.
 DOI: 10.1021/ol036480r
- Sapountzis, I.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 897. DOI: 10.1002/anie.200353241
- Sinha, P.; Kofink, C. C.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3741.
 DOI: 10.1021/ol801390h
- Li, W.; Liu, X.; Hao, X.; Hu, X.; Chu, Y.; Cao, W.; Qin, S.; Hu, C.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 15268.
 DOI: 10.1021/ja2056159
- 14. Brandes, S.; Niess, B.; Bella, M.; Prieto, A.; Overgaard, J.; Jøgensen, K. A. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, *12*, 6039
 DOI: 10.1002/chem.200600495, and references cited therein.
- Deniau, E.; Enders, D. *Tetrahedron* 2001, *57*, 2581.
 DOI: <u>10.1016/S0040-4020(01)00073-4</u>
- Bataille, P.; Paterne, M.; Brown, E. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1999, 10, 1579. DOI: <u>10.1016/S0957-4166(99)00146-9</u>

- 17. Miyabe, H.; Ueda, M.; Nishimura, A.; Naito, T. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 131.
 DOI: 10.1021/ol017013h
- Shono, T.; Kise, N.; Fujimoto, T.; Yamanani, A.; Nomura, R. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 1730. DOI: 10.1021/jo00086a023
- Enders, D.; Teschner, P.; Raabe, G. *Heterocycles* 2000, *52*, 733.
 DOI: 10.3987/COM-99-8813
- 20. Enders, D.; Wallert, S. *Synlett* **2002**, 304. DOI: 10.1055/s-2002-19766
- 21. Casarini, M.; Gheli, F.; Libertini, E.; Pagnoni, U.; Parsons, A. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 7925. DOI: *10.1016/S0040-4020(02)00914-6*
- 22. Chaveau, A.; Martens, T.; Bonin, M.; Micouin, L.; Husson, H. P. *Synthesis* **2002**, 1885. DOI: 10.1055/s-2002-33914
- 23. Enders D.; Díez, E. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, 64, 6329. DOI: 10.1021/jo990503r
- 24. Yamazaki, N.; Kibayashi, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 4623. DOI: *10.1016/S0040-4039(97)00991-X*
- 25. Tang, Q.; Zhang, C.; Luo, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 5840. DOI: 10.1021/ja711153b
- Zhang, Y.; Tang, Q.; Luo, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 4977. DOI: 10.1039/c1ob05328k