o-Aminomethyl derivatives of phenols. Part 3. Mechanistic investigation of a Mannich reaction of phenols with N-methylenealkylamines
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Abstract
The mechanism of the Mannich reaction of phenols (1) with 1,3,5-trialkyl-hexahydro-1,3,5-triazines (2) as a source of N-methylenealkylamines (3) in organic solvent has been investigated. It has been determined that the formation of o-hydroxybenzylamine 4 and its subsequent quick transformation into benzoazaine 5 and primary amine in the reversible reaction with 3 takes place in the first stage of the process. Then, the yield of 4 slowly increases and that of 5 appropriately decreases as a result of the reaction of 3 with the so far unreacted 1 and the slow aminolysis of 5. In addition, benzyldiamine 6 is formed as a by-product (Scheme 1).

Keywords: Benzylamines, benzoazaines, Mannich reaction, 1,3,5-triazines, N-methylenealkylamines

Introduction

o-Aminomethyl derivatives of phenols exhibit many interesting chemical properties and are the subject of growing interest. Some of them were tested as potential metal complexing agents including metal ions extractants, catalysts or their components, semi-products in organic synthesis, biologically active agents or components of polymeric materials.1

In the search for a simple and efficient synthetic method of 4, the application of a well known Mannich reaction2 was spotted. The practical advantage of this “one-pot” process is the high availability of substrates – phenols, primary amines and formaldehyde. Its weak points are the possible side-reactions involving not only substrates but also products resulting in low yields of benzylamines synthesis.1
**Results and Discussion**

The subject of our research was a Mannich reaction, in which the traditionally used primary amine and formaldehyde were replaced by the product of their condensation – 1,3,5-trialkyl-hexahydro-1,3,5-triazine (2). (It is known that 2 splits at the reaction conditions with the formation of \(N\)-methylenealkylamines (3), which are in fact the reactive species).\(^3\,4\) In this case the process can be performed under anhydrous conditions, that enables precise analytical control at its every stage.

According to our review, not many attempts to study the considered variant of the Mannich reaction have been reported.\(^1\) The reaction of 1-naphthol with various 2 or/and 3 was investigated by Mörle and Tröster.\(^5\) The authors applied 1 mole of 2 per 3 mole of 1-naphthol and observed the formation of benzylamine that was transformed into hydrochloride by the action of gaseous HCl. An analogous reaction of phenols with 2, carried out by Kostyuchenko *et al.* resulted in the formation of heterocyclic benzoazole products.\(^6\) The mechanistic proposal of that transformation raises doubts as no additional proof was given. The application of sterically hindered monomeric products of primary amine and formaldehyde condensation in the synthesis of \(o\)-hydroxybenzylamines was patented by Exner and Craig in 1956.\(^7\) The described high yields of the synthesis, identification and purity of some of the synthesized products seem to be questionable.

The aim of our work was to explain the reaction mechanism of phenols (1) with \(N\)-methylenealkylamines (3). \(p\)-Cresol (1a) was chosen as a model phenol substrate. The presence of the methyl group at \(para\)-position limits the number of possible products of aromatic substitution. In addition, a clear isolated singlet signal of the methyl group at 2.25 ppm in the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum is useful for quantitative analysis. A crystalline, stable and easy to purify 1,3,5-tricyclohexyl-hexahydro-1,3,5-triazine (2a) was applied as a precursor of \(N\)-methyleneecyclohexylamine (3a). Due to the high boiling point of cyclohexylamine (bp 130°C), it did not leave the refluxing reaction mixture and thus, it was possible to investigate its reactivity or influence on the yield of products. 1a and 2a were dissolved in methanol (the solvent usually used in standard Mannich reaction) at room temperature and then refluxed for 10 h. It was found that in addition to benzylamine 4a, by-products benzoazole 5a and benzylamine 6a (Scheme 1) were formed. In order to isolate 4a, the post-reaction mixture was acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid and the product separated in the form of a solid hydrochloride salt. Isolation of 5a and 6a from the multicomponent reaction mixture was difficult, so they were synthesized in supplementary reactions, isolated and fully characterized.
To determine the reaction course and to correctly interpret the results, a convenient analytical method based on $^1$H NMR spectroscopy has been elaborated. All the products originating from 1a contain a methyl group connected to the phenol ring. The integration of the appropriate group of signals (2.25–2.20 ppm) was used as an “internal standard”, corresponding to the initial amount of 1a. The conversion of 1a at every stage of the process was determined basing on the integration of its isolated methyl signal at 2.25 ppm versus the internal standard. The specific signals coming from methylene linkages at 3.86–4.95 ppm for 4a-6a, 3.54 ppm for 2a and at 7.21 ppm for 3a allowed the determination of the yield or conversion of the appropriate products (Table 1). The cyclohexyl methylene groups’ signals were observed in the range of 1.00–2.00 ppm and did not overlap with any of the considered ones. An example of the reaction mixture spectrum is presented (Figure 1).

| Table 1. Analytical signals of the reaction mixture components in $^1$H NMR spectra (CDCl$_3$) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Compound | Characteristic group | Number of protons | Chemical shift (ppm) |
| 1a | C−CH$_3$ | 3H | 2.25 |
| 2a | N−CH$_2$−N | 6H | 3.54 |
| 3a | N=CH$_2$ | 2H | 7.21 |
| 4a | N−CH$_2$−C | 2H | 3.94 |
| 4a | N−CH$_2$−O | 2H | 4.97 |
| 5a | N−CH$_2$−C | 2H | 4.06 |
| 6a | N−CH$_2$−C | 4H | 3.86 |
Yields of products 4a-6a as well as conversion of 1a and 2a were evaluated basing on $^1$H NMR spectra after 1, 5 and 10 h. After the first hour 2a was totally consumed, whereas the conversion of 1a was only about 55% and benzoxazine 5a was the main product (36% yield). In subsequent hours the yield of 5a gradually decreased whereas the conversion of 1a and yields of benzylamine 4a and benzyldiamine 6a increased (Figure 2).

No significant influence of the solvent used (methanol, dioxane, chloroform and hexane) on the reaction course has been observed. Similarly, in the reaction of other phenols (1b-e) with 1,3,5-trialkyl-hexahydro-1,3,5-triazines (2b-e) in methanol or hexane, appropriate benzylamines 4b-e were isolated as the main products. The $^1$H NMR control revealed changes analogous to that observed in the model system.
Figure 2. Conversion of 1a and yield of 4a-6a over time.

The mutual reactivity of 4a and 2a has additionally been examined. Thus, after heating of 4a and 2a at a 3:1 ratio in refluxing methanol for 1 h, 5a was formed with 66% yield, whereas the conversion of 4a was 75%. 2a, 3a and traces of side products but no sign of 6a have been observed. No change of the reaction mixture composition after 5 and 10 h suggests that the reaction is reversible and reaches its equilibrium after maximum 1 h. The presence of cyclohexylamine (10% of the initial amount of 4a) caused the formation of a significant amount of product 6a (25% yield) in the multicomponent mixture.

Based on the results obtained, the mechanistic proposal of the investigated Mannich reaction is as follows. The first stage of the process is the addition of phenol 1 and N-methylenealkylamine 3 with the formation of benzylamine 4, according to the aromatic electrophilic substitution mechanism (Scheme 1). Subsequently, 4 quickly reacts with the second molecule of 3 resulting in benzoazaine 5 and primary amine, therefore, the conversion of 1 is limited at this stage. The reaction of 4 with 3 is reversible, so, due to the addition of 3 with the so far unreacted 1, a further amount of 4 is being formed and 5 – dominating at the beginning of the process – undergoes slow aminolysis with regeneration of 4. This is why in the last stage of the process, while 3 is being consumed, the concentration of 4 slowly increases and that of 5 appropriately decreases. Benzyldiamine 6 results from a side reaction of 4 with 3 favoured in the presence of primary amine, which probably causes deprotonation of 4, increasing its reactivity at the same time.
Conclusions

The reported variant of the Mannich reaction is a simple convenient and practical method of o-hydroxybenzylamines synthesis,\(^8\) highly competitive towards the widely used multi-step procedure: o-hydroxybenzaldehyde $\rightarrow$ o-hydroxybenzylimine $\rightarrow$ o-hydroxybenzylamine, starting from expensive or not easily obtainable aldehydes. The application of \(^1\)H NMR enables analytical control of the process at every stage. The practical conclusions of the presented mechanistic proposal are as follows:

1. Reaction time reasonably influences the yields of products. If it is sufficiently short, benzoxazine 5 is the dominant product that can possibly be isolated. Only after appropriately long reaction time the maximum yield of benzylamine 4 is observed. Various isolated products of the reaction reported previously\(^5,6\) result probably from underestimation of the time factor.

2. The highest yield of 4 can be obtained by application of an equimolar or almost equimolar ratio of phenol 1 and N-methylenealkylamine 3. The application of an excess of 3, which is traditionally expected to result in higher yield of 4 and conversion of 1, causes an increase in the yield of 5, especially after short reaction time. Even after relatively long, optimized reaction time, the excess of 3 results in lower yields of 4 and contamination of this product in the reaction mixture with 5 and 6.

Experimental Section

General Procedures.

1,3,5-Tricyclohexyl-hexahydro-1,3,5-triazine (2a). To the stirred and cooled below 50°C solution of 200 mL cyclohexylamine (173.4 g, 1.75 mol) in hexane (150 mL), formalin (174.8 g, 2.096 mol, 1.2 eq.) was added over a period of 15 min. Stirring was continued for 3 h at room temperature. The resulting two phases were separated. The organic phase was rinsed with water and dried over anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\). The solvent was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The white crude product obtained (236 g) was crystallized from acetone (200 mL) resulting in white crystalline 2a (150.7 g, 78%); mp 73.3–74.2°C (lit.\(^9\) 72.2–73°C). IR (KBr): 3444, 2924, 2852 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 3.54 (s, 6H, NCH\(_2\)N), 2.40 (tt, \(J = 10.7, 3.4\) Hz, 3H, NCH), 1.88–0.98 (m, 30H, CH\(_2\)), 7.39 (dd, \(J = 17.1, 0.6\) Hz, 1H, N=CH\(_2\)), 7.04 (dd, \(J = 17.1, 1.1\) Hz, 1H, N=CH\(_2\)), 2.90 (m, 1H, NCH). \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 68.18, 58.31, 29.82, 26.06, 25.57, 150.43. MS (GC, CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)): \(m/z\) (%): 110 (100) [M – H]\(^+\). C\(_{21}\)H\(_{39}\)N\(_3\) (333.6): Calcd. C 75.62, H 11.78, N 12.60; Found C 75.59, H 11.62, N 12.55.

Other 1,3,5-trialkyl-hexahydro-1,3,5-triazines 2c-e were obtained according to the procedure described for 2a as high-boiling oils of a characteristic smell and were used without purification.
2-Cyclohexylaminomethyl-4-methyl-phenol (4a). 1a (4.8 g, 0.044 mol), 2a (6.3 g, 0.018 mol) and methanol (30 mL) were refluxed for 10 h. Samples of the mixture (1 mL), taken after 1, 5 and 10 h of reaction, after removal of solvent (vacuum, room temperature), were immediately analyzed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy in CDCl$_3$. The post-reaction mixture was acidified to pH about 1.0 with concentrated hydrochloric acid at $< 10^\circ$C. Then, water (8 g) was added and the mixture was concentrated to ca. 30 g at room temperature. After 48 h at $5^\circ$C the crystalline 4a·HCl was filtered off, washed with cold (5°C) acetone (2 × 5 mL) and air dried to afford 4.8 g (50%) of a white solid of m.p. 221.5–222.7°C.

To the vigorously stirred suspension of 4a·HCl (3 g) in water (20 g) and hexane (20 g) aqueous ammonia was dropped in at room temperature until the pH of the mixture reached about 9. The organic phase was separated, washed twice with water (10 mL) and dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure resulting in 2.1 g (81%) of a cream solid of pure (TLC, ethyl acetate) 4a; mp 63.9–64.6°C; IR (KBr): 3440, 3300, 2932, 2852 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 6.92 (dd, $J = 8.14$, 1.73 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.76 (d, $J = 1.78$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.70 (d, $J = 8.15$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.94 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 2.50 (tt, $J = 10.18$, 3.76 Hz, 1H, NCH), 2.21 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 1.96–1.07 (m, 10H, CH$_2$) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 156.00, 128.87, 128.60, 127.81, 122.68, 116.11, 55.52, 49.59, 32.96, 25.89, 24.76, 20.39 ppm. $^{13}$C NMR / DEPT 135 (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 128.73 (+), 128.45 (+), 115.97 (+), 55.38 (+), 49.45 (−), 32.82 (−), 25.72 (−), 24.62 (−), 20.26 (+) ppm. MS (ES, MeOH): m/z (%): 221 (100) [M + 2H]$^+$, 144 (15) [M + H + Na – C$_8$H$_{11}$NH$_2$]$^+$. C$_{14}$H$_{21}$NO (219.3): Calcd. C 76.67, H 9.65, N 6.39; Found C 76.58, H 9.62, N 6.41.

2-Cyclohexylaminomethyl-phenol (4b), obtained analogously to 4a; cream solid (9% yield); mp 56.8–57.7°C (lit.$^{10}$ 58–59). IR (KBr): 3268, 2928 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.16 (m, $J = 8.02$, 8.02, 1.63 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.97 (m, $J = 6.90$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.82 (m, $J = 8.07$, 0.92 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.76 (m, $J = 7.39$, 1.12 Hz, 1H, ArH), 4.02 (s, 2H, NCH$_2$), 2.54 (tt, $J = 10.05$, 3.70 Hz, 1H, NCH), 1.98–1.08 (m, 10H, CH$_2$) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 154.98, 128.51, 127.98, 123.07, 118.81, 116.39, 55.57, 49.68, 33.02, 25.87, 24.77 ppm. MS (GC, CHCl$_3$): m/z (%): 205 (50) [M]$^+$, 107 (62) [M + H – C$_8$H$_{11}$NH$_2$]$^+$. C$_{13}$H$_{19}$NO (205.3): Calcd. C 76.06, H 9.33, N 6.82; Found C 75.93, H 9.30, N 6.64.

In cases of 4c–f synthesis, hexane was used as a solvent. The products crystallized directly from post-reaction mixtures.

4-tert-Butyl-2-(( tert-butylamino)methyl)phenol (4c); white solid (54% yield); mp 93.1–93.7°C; IR (KBr): 3280 2968 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.18 (dd, $J = 8.44$, 2.50 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.01 (d, $J = 2.47$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.76 (d, $J = 8.44$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.94 (s, 2H, NCH$_2$), 1.29 (s, 9H, 3 CH$_3$), 1.22 (s, 9H, 3 CH$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 155.90, 141.52, 125.22, 124.81, 122.64, 115.90, 50.92, 46.38, 33.90, 31.58, 28.55 ppm. MS (ES, MeOH): m/z (%): 236 (100) [M + H]$^+$ 163 (19) [M + H – C$_6$H$_{10}$NH$_2$]$^+$. C$_{15}$H$_{26}$NO (235.4): Calcd. C 76.55, H 10.71, N 5.95; Found C 76.73, H 10.55, N 6.02.

2-((Propylamino)methyl)-4-(2,4,4-trimethylpentan-2-yl)phenol (4d). White solid (40% yield). mp 66.7–68.2°C. IR (KBr): 3272, 2952, 2872 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.14 (dd, $J =$
8.46, 2.36 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.95 (d, J = 2.12 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.74 (d, J = 8.45 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.98 (s, 2H, NCH₂Ar), 2.64 (t, J = 7.07 Hz, 2H, NCH₂), 1.66 (s, 2H, CH₂), 1.55 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.32 (s, 6H, 2 CH₃), 0.94 (t, J = 7.41 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.71 (s, 9H, 3 CH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.65, 140.36, 126.16, 125.88, 121.58, 115.43, 57.06, 53.07, 50.50, 37.72, 32.32, 31.74, 31.62, 22.69, 11.61 ppm. MS (ES, MeOH): m/z (%): 278 (100) [M + H]⁺. C₁₈H₃₁NO (277.4): Calcd. C 77.92, H 11.26, N 5.05; Found C 77.69, H 10.99, N 5.12.

2-((Isopropylamino)methyl)-4-(2,4,4-trimethylpentan-2-yl)phenol (4e). White solid (64% yield). mp 76.1–77.7°C (after recrystallization from hexane). IR (KBr): 3276, 2964, 2896 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.14 (dd, J = 8.45, 2.48 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.95 (d, J = 2.39 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.74 (d, J = 8.44 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.98 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 2.89 (sept., J = 6.56 Hz, 1H, NCH), 1.67 (s, 2H, CH₂), 1.32 (s, 6H, 2 CH₃), 1.15 (d, J = 6.32 Hz, 6H, 2 CH₃), 0.71 (s, 9H, 3 CH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.68, 140.37, 126.12, 125.68, 121.92, 115.51, 57.04, 50.56, 48.18, 37.77, 32.31, 31.74, 31.64, 22.51 ppm. MS (ES, MeOH): m/z (%): 278 (100) [M + H]⁺. C₁₈H₃₁NO (277.4): Calcd. C 77.92, H 11.26, N 5.05; Found C 77.69, H 10.99, N 5.12.

3-Cyclohexyl-6-methyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,3-benzoxazine (5a). To the solution of 4a (15 g, 0.068 mol) in ethanol (100 mL) while stirring, formalin (6.2 g, 0.0816 mol) was dropped-in at room temperature. After 1 h of refluxing the total conversion of 4a was observed (TLC, ethyl acetate). The oily product obtained after removal of the solvent (vacuum, room temperature) dissolved in CDCl₃ (100 mL) was purified with silica gel (10 g Merck, 0.400–0.633 mm) resulting in 17 g of a slightly yellow oil of 5 (98%). An analytical sample was crystallized from CH₂Cl₂. Colorless solid; mp 38.3–39.0°C. IR (film/KBr): 3464 2932, 2856 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.91 (ddd, J = 8.25, 1.50, 0.66 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.78 (d, J = 1.32 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.67 (d, J = 8.25 Hz, 1H, ArH), 4.97 (s, 2H, OCH₂N), 4.06 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 2.71 (m, 1H, NCH), 2.26 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.02–1.20 (m, 10H, CH₂) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 152.75, 129.32, 127.87, 127.24, 121.27, 116.13, 80.10, 58.57, 47.25, 37.77, 32.31, 31.54, 25.82, 25.42, 20.55 ppm. MS (ES, MeOH): m/z (%): 332 (57) [M + H]⁺, 121 (100) [M + H – C₆H₁₁NHCH₃]⁺. C₁₅H₂₁NO (231.3): Calcd. C 77.88, H 9.15, N 6.05; Found C 77.90, H 9.13, N 6.06.

2,6-Bis((cyclohexylamino)methyl)-4-methylphenol (6a). A solution of 4a (7.5 g, 0.034 mol), cyclohexylamine (3.4 g, 3.8 mL 0.034 mol) and formalin (2.6 g, 0.034 mol) in ethanol (50 mL) was refluxed for 35 h. In the final mixture 4a (10% of the initial amount), 5a (7.1% yield) and 6a (43% yield) were detected. It was acidified (pH ca. 1) with hydrochloric acid (36%) and concentrated to about half of the volume under reduced pressure. A white solid of 6a·HCl (separated after 10 days at −10°C) was transformed into 6a according to the procedure described for 4a resulting in 6a as a yellow oil (0.6 g, 5.3% total yield). IR (film/KBr): 3304, 2928, 2852 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.81 (s, 2H, 2 ArH), 3.86 (s, 4H, 2 NCH₂), 2.49 (tt, J = 10.25, 3.76 Hz, 2H, 2 NCH), 2.21 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.96–1.11 (m, 20H, CH₂) ppm. ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.21, 128.32, 127.46, 124.74, 55.92, 48.02, 33.22, 26.05, 24.92, 20.45 ppm. MS (ES, MeOH): m/z (%): 331 (100) [M + H]⁺. C₂₁H₃₄N₂O (330.4): Calcd. C 76.31, H 10.37, N 8.48; Found C 76.20, H 10.35, N 8.47.
**Reaction of 4a with 2a.** A solution of 4a (2 g, 0.009 mol), 2a (1 g, 0.003 mol) in methanol (20 mL) was refluxed for 10 h. The reaction was monitored by $^1$H NMR as described for the synthesis of 4a. The conversion of 4a was 75% and yield of 5a – 66%. No traces of 6a were detected. In another run of the reaction, 10% (0.9 mmol) of cyclohexylamine was additionally introduced. After 10 h of refluxing the conversion of 4a was 75%, yields of products: 5a (25%), 6a (28%).
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