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#### Abstract

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts of cyclopentane-fused cis-azetidinone (cis-azabicyclo[3.2.0]heptan-7-one) were determined with the help of full spectral analysis. The geometry and electronic structure of the molecule were investigated at both the RHF and the B3LYP level with different basis sets. The NMR data were calculated by means of the GIAO, CSGT and IGAIM methods. All quantum-chemical calculations, including those of NMR data, were performed by $a b$ initio level RHF and DFT methods. The geometry optimization resulted in an envelope-type conformation, while the four-membered heterocycle proved to be planar. Excellent agreement between the theoretical and experimental results was found for the RHFlevel ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts and for the B3LYP-type ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts. The experimental spectra revealed equivalent methylene protons at position 5 , indicating that the cyclopentane ring has a high degree of conformational freedom at this carbon.
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## Introduction

The calculation of NMR parameters is a recent, but extremely rapidly progressing area of quantum chemistry. During the past decade numerous $a b$ initio methods have been developed,
implemented and tested, and several theoretical overviews ${ }^{1,2,3}$ and routinely applicable implementations of methods are currently available. ${ }^{4}$

## Results and Discussion

The numbering of the atoms is illustrated in Figure 1. The numbering of the atoms does not correspond to the IUPAC nomenclature.


Figure 1. Numbering of the atoms.

The geometrical parameters computed are listed in Table 1. A comparison of the C1-H16 and $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 17$, or $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 16$ and $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 17$ bond lengths demonstrates that the most favoured conformation is of envelope type, with conformational freedom only at C5. These results are in accordance with the experimental data obtained by X-ray crystallography. ${ }^{5}$
For comparison of the calculated and the experimental NMR data, the shielding tensors of the molecule in question were calculated with the standard Gaussian 94 program. The electronic structure of the molecule was treated by both RHF and B3LYP methods ${ }^{1}$, with four different basis sets $[6-31 \mathrm{G} ; 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}) ; 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ and $6-311+\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{p})]$.

For both the RHF and the B3LYP method, with all basis sets, a geometry optimization was first performed for the molecule and for TMS. This means that we calculated eight geometries of the two molecules. To compare the $a b$ initio methods, the NMR parameters were calculated by using the individual geometric parameters, despite these geometries being close to each other. The NMR shielding tensors were computed with three different methods: the GIAO (gaugeindependent atomic orbital), ${ }^{6}$ CSGT (continuous set of gauge transformations) ${ }^{7}$ and IGAIM (individual gauges for atoms in molecules) ${ }^{8}$ methods. Table 2 gives ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts. RHF/GIAO denotes the following procedure: the geometry minimized the corresponding RHF energy and the wave function was calculated at the RHF level, presuming calculation of the optimum geometry. The NMR data were calculated by the GIAO method. The notations B3LYP/GIAO, RHF/IGAIM, B3LYP/IGAIM, etc. are similar. The results are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1. Calculated and X-Ray crystallographic ${ }^{5}$ data on bond lengths ( pm ) and bond angles

| Bond lengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1-C2 | 156.8447 | C4-H15 | 108.2479 | C2-C1-C3 | 106.5245 | C6-N7-H11 | 131.1326 |
|  | $155.8^{5}$ |  |  |  | $106.5^{5}$ |  |  |
| C1-C3 | 152.7997 | C5-H16 | 108.4187 | C1-C2-C4 | 106.538 | C1-C3-H12 | 112.3597 |
|  | $151.0^{5}$ |  |  |  | $106.5^{5}$ |  |  |
| C2-C4 | 153.4251 | C5-H17 | 108.2671 | C2-C4-C5 | 104.6694 | C1-C3-H13 | 108.979 |
|  | $151.7^{5}$ |  |  |  | $104.7^{5}$ |  |  |
| C3-C5 | 154.1876 | C6-O8 | 121.2270 | C1-C2-C6 | 85.8504 | H12-C3-H13 | 107.5999 |
|  | $152.0^{5}$ |  | $122.1^{5}$ |  | $85.9^{5}$ |  |  |
| C4-C5 | 154.3232 | C6-N7 | 136.4190 | C4-C2-C6 | 114.7488 | C2-C4-H14 | 109.4535 |
|  | $151.8^{5}$ |  | $133.3^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| C2-C6 | 153.2191 | C1-N7 | 147.7383 | C2-C6-N7 | 91.8881 | C5-C4-H14 | 110.1841 |
|  | $152.3^{5}$ |  | $147.7^{5}$ |  | $91.9^{5}$ |  |  |
| C1-C7 | 147.7383 | N7-H11 | 99.1398 | C2-C6-O8 | 135.4495 | C2-C4-H15 | 111.9967 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 135.4 |  |  |
| C6-C7 | 136.4190 | C1-H16 | 286.1400 | N7-C6-O8 | 132.6443 | C5-C4-H15 | 112.8649 |
| C1-H9 | 107.9028 | C1-H17 | 337.7420 | C2-C1-H9 | 117.713 | H14-C4-H15 | 107.6512 |
| C2- | 107.8964 | C2-H16 | 286.9662 | C3-C1-H9 | 114.6181 | C4-C5-H16 | 110.2287 |
| H10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C3- | 108.3261 | C2-H17 | 338.0017 | C1-C2-H10 | 117.7412 | C4-C5-H17 | 112.3055 |
| H12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C3- | 108.6152 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C4- $4-\mathrm{C} 2-H 10$ | 114.6478 | H16-C5-H17 | 107.2265 |  |  |  |  |
| H14 | 108.5055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The energetically optimized $a b$ initio structure of the compound in question is plotted in Figure 2.


Figure 1. Ab initio calculated geometry of cyclopentane-fused azetidinone.

Since these calculations are very computational time-consuming, the calculations on other cycloalkane-fused azetidinones will be performed on the basis of these results. The goal of this study is to find an appropriate theoretical method for the calculation of NMR parameters of small and medium-sized heterocycles.

## Experimental Section

General procedures. The purity of the compound was checked by thin-layer chromatography, by melting point determination and via its NMR spectra. The synthetic route is described elsewhere. ${ }^{9}$
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ JMOD NMR spectra, and the z-PFG ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HMQC 2D spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance DRX 500 NMR spectrometer equipped with an inverse detection 5 mm broad band probehead operating at 500.13 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and at 125.77 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in $0.05-$ $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions at 300 K . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts were referenced to TMS: $\delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Si}=0.00 \mathrm{ppm}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum was iterated with the program PERCH ${ }^{16}$ to calculate the experimental chemical shifts and indirect coupling constants. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift assignments are based on ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ DEPT- 135 and z-PFG ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HMQC experiments. The $a b$ initio geometry was fully optimized on an IBM PC ( 450 MHz Intel Celeron processor, 286 MB SDRAM) with Gaussian 94 software, using default basis sets: 6-31G, 6-31G(d,p), 6-31 $+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}), 6-311+\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{p})$. The chemical shifts were calculated with Gaussian 94 software, using B3LYP/GIAO, B3LYP/IGAIM, B3LYP/CSGT, RHF/GIAO, RHF/IGAIM and RHF / CSGT methods.

Table 2. Calculated (RHF and B3LYP) and experimental ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts (ppm from TMS, $\delta_{\text {TMS }}=0.00$ ) for 6-azabicyclo[3.2.0]heptan-7-one

| Atom | Method | Basis set |  |  |  | Experimental |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6-31G | 6-31G(d,p) | $\begin{aligned} & 6-31+ \\ & G(d, p) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6-311+ \\ G(2 d, 2 p) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| C1 | RHF/GIAO | 53.0605 | 48.4429 | 48.5087 | 49.8566 | 54.7 |
|  | RHF/IGAIM | 46.7490 | 48.5964 | 50.4247 | 51.0776 |  |
|  | RHF/CSGT | 46.7494 | 48.5965 | 50.4246 | 51.0780 |  |
| C1 | B3LYP/GIAO | 59.4630 | 55.9920 | 57.2521 | 59.4292 | 54.7 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 54.2851 | 56.7379 | 59.0302 | 60.8086 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 54.2855 | 56.7396 | 59.0302 | 60.8092 |  |


| Atom | Method | Basis set |  |  |  | Experimental |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6-31G | 6-31G(d,p) | $\begin{aligned} & 6-31+ \\ & G(d, p) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6-311+ \\ \mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{p}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| C2 | RHF /GIAO | 57.3647 | 51.3501 | 51.8518 | 52.8747 | 56.8 |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | 51.3824 | 50.8082 | 53.3657 | 53.3160 |  |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 51.3827 | 50.8077 | 53.3652 | 53.3162 |  |
| C2 | B3LYP/GIAO | 62.9666 | 58.9528 | 60.7473 | 63.2374 | 56.8 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 56.0315 | 58.7540 | 62.4934 | 64.0135 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 56.0317 | 58.7552 | 62.4929 | 64.0138 |  |
| C3 | RHF /GIAO | 29.8427 | 29.4386 | 30.0807 | 30.8006 | 30.8 |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | 25.8596 | 27.8306 | 30.3740 | 31.5584 |  |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 25.8594 | 27.8301 | 30.3733 | 31.5581 |  |
| C3 | B3LYP/GIAO | 32.5933 | 32.4641 | 33.8236 | 34.7161 | 30.8 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 28.7875 | 31.6795 | 34.2331 | 35.6604 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 28.7869 | 31.6806 | 34.2325 | 35.6603 |  |
| C4 | RHF /GIAO | 24.3054 | 23.5386 | 23.8160 | 24.6333 | 26.0 |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | 21.5267 | 22.3558 | 24.3832 | 25.3920 |  |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 21.5269 | 22.3561 | 24.3834 | 25.3923 |  |
| C4 | B3LYP/GIAO | 28.6115 | 28.0407 | 28.8912 | 29.4204 | 26.0 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 25.3904 | 27.2911 | 29.3649 | 30.3873 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 25.3909 | 27.2930 | 29.3652 | 30.3877 |  |
| C5 | B3LYP/GIAO | 23.0781 | 21.8448 | 21.9438 | 22.6228 | 23.2 |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | 19.6947 | 20.6722 | 22.4866 | 23.4380 |  |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 19.6949 | 20.6722 | 22.4865 | 23.4380 |  |
| C5 | RHF /GIAO | 27.2204 | 25.8109 | 26.4741 | 27.2143 | 23.2 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 24.5558 | 25.7516 | 27.4661 | 28.2813 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 24.5557 | 25.7529 | 27.4659 | 28.2815 |  |
| C6 | RHF /GIAO | 182.5865 | 164.0123 | 166.6686 | 173.8309 | 171.3 |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | 194.1306 | 171.5816 | 172.8418 | 174.9440 |  |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 194.1306 | 171.5814 | 172.8416 | 174.9443 |  |
| C6 | B3LYP/GIAO | 164.8997 | 156.7690 | 161.8017 | 172.5302 | 171.3 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 181.4935 | 164.2820 | 166.9622 | 173.6805 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 181.4934 | 164.2834 | 166.9619 | 173.6807 |  |
| N7 |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| O8 |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |


| Atom | Method | Basis set |  |  |  | Experimental |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6-31G | 6-31G(d,p) | $\begin{aligned} & 6-31+ \\ & G(d, p) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6-311+ \\ G(2 d, 2 p) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| H9 | RHF/GIAO | 3.5860 | 3.5167 | 3.5542 | 3.5990 | 4.05 |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | 1.1167 | 1.9340 | 2.1744 | 3.6023 |  |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 1.1167 | 1.9339 | 2.1741 | 3.6023 |  |
| H9 | B3LYP/GIAO | 3.7284 | 3.8107 | 3.8818 | 3.9905 | 4.05 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 1.3737 | 2.2702 | 2.5489 | 3.9655 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 1.3738 | 2.2707 | 2.5489 | 3.9656 |  |
| H10 | RHF /GIAO | 3.2252 | 2.9887 | 2.9979 | 3.0912 | 3.50 |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | 1.0330 | 1.6052 | 1.7287 | 3.0677 |  |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 1.0332 | 1.6052 | 1.7285 | 3.0677 |  |
| H10 | B3LYP/GIAO | 3.3825 | 3.2667 | 3.2987 | 3.4332 | 3.50 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 1.1026 | 1.8755 | 2.0125 | 3.3920 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 1.1029 | 1.8761 | 2.0127 | 3.3921 |  |
| H11 | RHF /GIAO | 3.7987 | 3.7430 | 4.0281 | 3.9386 | 5.70 |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | 0.2655 | 2.2560 | 2.5315 | 3.9251 |  |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 0.2656 | 2.2559 | 2.5315 | 3.9250 |  |
| H11 | B3LYP/GIAO | 4.4430 | 4.4738 | 4.7422 | 4.7139 | 5.70 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 0.7035 | 2.9551 | 3.2035 | 4.6823 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 0.7037 | 2.9555 | 3.2037 | 4.6824 |  |
| H12 | RHF /GIAO | 1.7359 | 1.6232 | 1.6231 | 1.5821 | 1.86 |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | 0.3820 | 0.6608 | 0.7038 | 1.5537 |  |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 0.3819 | 0.6609 | 0.7037 | 1.5536 |  |
| H12 | B3LYP/GIAO | 1.7626 | 1.7306 | 1.7545 | 1.7547 | 1.86 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 0.4665 | 0.8012 | 0.8488 | 1.7334 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 0.4665 | 0.8018 | 0.8490 | 1.7334 |  |
| H13 | RHF /GIAO | 1.2474 | 1.0240 | 1.0942 | 1.1535 | 1.38 |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | -0.0461 | 0.0540 | 0.2099 | 1.1213 |  |
|  | RHF /CSGT | -0.0461 | 0.0540 | 0.2098 | 1.1213 |  |
| H13 | B3LYP/GIAO | 1.3983 | 1.2641 | 1.3258 | 1.3842 | 1.38 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 0.1352 | 0.2694 | 0.4022 | 1.3495 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 0.1353 | 0.2699 | 0.4023 | 1.3496 |  |
| H14 | RHF /GIAO | 1.4261 | 1.1834 | 1.2173 | 1.2046 | 1.44 |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | 0.1707 | 0.1979 | 0.3231 | 1.1687 |  |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 0.1711 | 0.1980 | 0.3231 | 1.1686 |  |
| H14 | B3LYP/GIAO | 1.4699 | 1.3440 | 1.3751 | 1.4199 | 1.44 |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 0.2308 | 0.3528 | 0.4727 | 1.3825 |  |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 0.2312 | 0.3534 | 0.4729 | 1.3826 |  |


|  |  | Basis set |  |  |  | Experi- <br> mental |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atom | Method | $6-31 \mathrm{G}$ | $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ | $6-31+$ <br> $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ | $6-311+$ <br> $\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{p})$ |  |
| H15 | RHF /GIAO | 2.0078 | 1.9077 | 1.9130 | 1.9022 |  |
|  | RHF /IGAIM | 0.6606 | 0.8660 | 0.9412 | 1.8010 | 2.05 |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 0.6608 | 0.8661 | 0.9413 | 1.8009 |  |
|  | B3LYP/GIAO | 2.0799 | 2.0441 | 2.0634 | 2.0939 |  |
| H15 | B3LYP/IGAIM | 0.7084 | 1.0273 | 1.0809 | 2.0027 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 5}$ |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 0.7089 | 1.0279 | 1.0812 | 2.0028 |  |
|  | RHF /GIAO | 2.1071 | 1.7165 | 1.7072 | 1.6539 |  |
| H16 | RHF /IGAIM | 1.9985 | 1.2536 | 1.2355 | 1.5866 | 1.83 |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 1.9982 | 1.2536 | 1.2355 | 1.5865 |  |
|  | B3LYP/GIAO | 2.2495 | 1.9503 | 1.9301 | 1.9358 |  |
|  | B3LYP/IGAIM | 1.8977 | 1.4290 | 1.3834 | 1.8706 | $\mathbf{1 . 8 3}$ |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 1.8979 | 1.4295 | 1.3837 | 1.8707 |  |
|  | RHF /GIAO | 1.6673 | 1.5890 | 1.5945 | 1.5886 |  |
| H17 | RHF /IGAIM | 0.5541 | 0.7293 | 0.7540 | 1.5041 | 1.83 |
|  | RHF /CSGT | 0.5542 | 0.7294 | 0.7540 | 1.5040 |  |
|  | B3LYP/GIAO | 1.7006 | 1.6716 | 1.6873 | 1.7626 |  |
| H17 | B3LYP/IGAIM | 0.6421 | 0.9014 | 0.9085 | 1.6831 | $\mathbf{1 . 8 3}$ |
|  | B3LYP/CSGT | 1.8979 | 1.4295 | 1.3837 | 1.8707 |  |

The data in Table 2 reveal that the RHF-based calculation results are in excellent agreement with the experimental ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shift data. The B3LYP-based calculation demonstrates similar agreement for the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts. The results are based on fixed geometry and a rigid conformation. The high-amplitude conformational movement of C 5 at the top of envelope causes the equivalency of the two methylene protons on this carbon (H16 and H17).

The vibrational correction of the computed data is in progress to take into account the conformational equilibrium so as to calculate NMR data on larger saturated cycloalkanecondensed azetidinones (C6, C7, C8 and C12).
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