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Abstract
Novel aprotic polar solvents are selected for use towards a facile Baylis-Hillman reaction catalyzed by the standard base (DABCO) at room temperature so that less reactive aldehydes and a broad spectrum of activated olefins (including acrylamide) could be coupled under the altered reaction conditions.
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Introduction

The Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction,1 since its discovery, has witnessed a renaissance in recent times.2 Baylis-Hillman reaction,3 as it is commonly known as, is an important carbon-carbon bond forming reaction between activated alkenes and aldehydes in the presence of tertiary amine base catalysts such as DABCO to result in valuable multifunctional products. However, it traditionally suffered from low reactivity and limited substrate scope. Consequently, many research efforts have been directed either to enhance the rate of reaction by increasing the reactivity of aldehydes or use novel catalysts with higher pKa values eg. 3-HQD,4 QD-45 (Figure 1).

Figure 1
Noteworthy among the many is the use of 3-HQD in water as solvent to achieve faster reaction times and higher yields. Particularly to bring the less reactive aldehydes like aliphatic aldehydes into the purview of this reaction, dioxane:water solvent system played a crucial role. Similarly, towards this endeavor, sulpholane was another important addition from our laboratories. Aprotic polar solvents are expected i) to eliminate the problem of ester hydrolysis (for ethyl/methyl acrylates) thus reducing their excess use, ii) to elevate the pKa of the base used (DABCO in the present study), iii) stabilization of the ‘zwitterionic intermediate by charge-dipole interactions. In view of the above cited reasons and as a part of our ongoing efforts to extend the scope of Baylis-Hillman reaction we have undertaken the study on the use of novel aprotic polar solvents for facile Baylis-Hillman reaction (Equation 1). The results are presented herein.

\[
R-\text{CHO} + \begin{array}{c}
\text{EWG} \\
\text{DABCO, solvent}
\end{array}
\rightarrow R-\text{OH} + \text{EWG}
\]

\text{rt, 0.5-24 h}

\text{Equation 1}

**Results and Discussion**

Commercially available polar aprotic solvents namely; sulpholane, N-methylpyrrolidinone, N-methylmorpholine etc were screened for use in Baylis-Hillman reaction as alternate solvents. Initially, the Baylis-Hillman reaction between 4-nitrobenzaldehyde and ethyl acrylate in sulpholane, N-methylpyrrolidinone (NMP) and N-methylmorpholine (NMM) was carried out to give 1a in the presence of different bases such as DBU, DMAP, urotropine, and DABCO (Table 1) in order to find the appropriate base catalyst compatible with the selected solvents. Consequently, DABCO was found to be the standard base and elected for use in all further reactions.
Table 1. Baylis-Hillman reactions of 1 and a with different bases in different solvents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Product Yield %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DBU</td>
<td>Sulpholane</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1a, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DMAP</td>
<td>Sulpholane</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1a, 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Urotropine</td>
<td>Sulpholane</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1a, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DABCO</td>
<td>Sulpholane</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1a, 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DBU</td>
<td>N-methylmorpholine</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1a, 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DMAP</td>
<td>N-methyl morpholine</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1a, 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Urotropine</td>
<td>N-methyl morpholine</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1a, 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DABCO</td>
<td>N-methyl pyrrolidinone</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>1a, 91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DBU</td>
<td>N-methyl pyrrolidinone</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1a, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>DMAP</td>
<td>N-methyl pyrrolidinone</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1a, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Urotropine</td>
<td>N-methyl pyrrolidinone</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1a, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>DABCO</td>
<td>N-methyl pyrrolidinone</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1a, 90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 1
Next, a variety of Michael acceptors such as methyl vinyl ketone \(b\), acrylonitrile \(c\), and acrylamide \(d\) were subjected to reaction with \(1\) in the presence of DABCO (50 mol%) at room temperature to furnish the corresponding adducts \(1b\), \(1c\) and \(1d\) in good yields (Scheme 1 and Table 2). The less reactive \(d\), which was earlier reported\(^\text{2b}\) to react either at high pressure (5 kbar) or in aqueous dioxane\(^\text{12}\) as solvent at room temperature, on reaction with \(1\) in sulpholane afforded \(1d\)\(^\text{7}\) in 84% yield. Sugar acrylate \(e\) also reacted with \(1\) to furnish the corresponding adduct \(1e\)\(^\text{9a}\) (76%) under the present reaction conditions.

Likewise to test the versatility of sulpholane, NMP and NMM as compatible solvents for Baylis-Hillman reaction, a variety of aldehydes such benzaldehyde \(2\), 2- and 4-chlorobenzaldehydes \(3\) and \(9\); 4-flourobenzaldehyde \(4\), heteroaryl aldehydes \(5\) and \(10\); acetylenic aldehyde \(6\), aliphatic aldehydes \(7\) and \(11\); and chiral aldehydes \(8\), \(12\) and \(13\) were allowed to react with various Michael acceptors \(a\)-\(d\) and \(f\) at room temperature to give the adducts \(2a\)-\(d\), \(2f\), \(3a\), \(4a\), \(5a\)-\(d\), \(6a\)-\(b\), \(9c\) \(7a\)-\(d\), \(8a\)-\(b\), \(9a\), \(9d\), \(10a\), \(10d\), \(11d\), \(12d\) and \(13a\) respectively in good to excellent yields under the specified reaction conditions (see Scheme 1 and Table 2). It is noteworthy to mention that the sugar derived aldehyde \(8\) in sulpholane reacted with \(a\) and \(b\) to give the adducts \(8a\) and \(8b\), respectively (entries 25 and 26, Table 2) in short reaction times with moderate diastereoselectivity, unlike in our earlier\(^\text{9b}\) report. Furthermore, aldehydes such as \(2\), \(3\) and \(4\) reacted with the less reactive alkene \(a\) under the present reaction conditions (entries 7, 12 and 13, Table 2). Indeed, the rate of reaction of aldehydes \(3\) and \(4\) with \(a\) and the yields of the products were found to be much higher in sulpholane than in an aqueous medium.\(^\text{6}\) Importantly, the hydrolysis of acrylate and its excess usage was avoided by employing these reaction conditions.

Being a poor Michael acceptor, acrylamide \((d)\) has not undergone Baylis-Hillman reaction with other aldehydes excepting \(1\), under the standard base catalyzed reaction conditions in sulpholane.\(^\text{7}\) However, recent conditions employing DABCO in aqueous conditions\(^\text{5}\) or protic solvent (MeOH) under the influence of microwave\(^\text{13}\) or novel base\(^\text{14}\) resulted in moderate to high yields of adducts, but only with select aldehydes. Since \(N\)-methylmorpholine is commercially used as a solvent apart from other uses,\(^\text{11}\) reaction of acrylamide with aldehydes \((2, 5, 7, 9-13)\) in \(N\)-methylmorpholine as solvent catalyzed by standard base (DABCO) at room temperature is conceived as an attractive proposition. NMM is used since it is expected to: 1) increase the basicity of the reaction medium thus augmenting efficiency of DABCO for a facile Michael addition onto acrylamide and 2) enhance the intermolecular charge-dipole interactions between solvent (itself) and ‘Zwitterion’, stabilizing the intermediate. Expectedly, the present conditions were found to be congenial not only for reactive aldehydes but also to less reactive aldehydes like benzaldehyde \(2\), hexanal \(7\), formaldehyde \(11\) and Garner’s aldehyde \(12\).

Initially, the Baylis-Hillman reaction between 4-nitrobenzaldehyde \(1\) and acrylamide \(d\) in \(N\)-methylmorpholine was carried out in the presence of DABCO (100 mol%) to give the adduct \(1d\) in 68% yield. In a further study to ascertain the utility of NMM as a solvent for Baylis-Hillman reactions, a variety of aryl aldehydes such as benzaldehyde \(2\), 4-chlorobenzaldehyde \(9\) and 2-
furfuraldehyde 10 were subjected to reaction with acrylamide at room temperature to furnish the corresponding adducts 2d, 9d and 10d in good yields (57-63%).

The versatility of NMM as a solvent for Baylis-Hillman reaction of acrylamide was further demonstrated when a variety of less reactive aldehydes such as hexanal 7, and formaldehyde 11, were successfully made to react with acrylamide at room temperature to give the adducts 7d, 11d, respectively in moderate yields (40-59%). It is noteworthy to mention that chiral aldehyde 12 reacted with acrylamide in NMM to give the adduct 12d for the first time in 55% yield (de 30%). 4-Chlorobenzaldehyde 9 (entry 27, Table 2) and (R)-glyceraldehyde 13 (entry 32, Table 2), apart from 4-nitrobenzaldehyde 1, underwent coupling reaction with ethyl acrylate a under these reaction conditions.

In conclusion, commercially available aprotic polar organic solvents are introduced as alternate solvents for the facile Baylis-Hillman reaction catalyzed by the standard base in order to widen the scope of this reaction towards all kinds of aldehydes and alkenes including acrylamide, a hitherto less preferred Michael acceptor, which underwent Baylis-Hillman reaction with various aldehydes in organic solvent for the first time (See Table 2). Thus, the present reaction conditions are amenable for easy scale up, chance for solvent recovery and wide application; since 1) the substrate scope is widened, as many of the aldehydes and alkenes are compatible when run in these solvents, 2) accelerated reaction rates achieved and 3) in better yields.
Table 2. Baylis-Hillman reactions of aldehydes with activated alkenes in different solvents at RT<sup>a,b</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Aldehyde</th>
<th>Activated alkene</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Method&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>4 h (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>3 h (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>0.5 h (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>10 h (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>1e</td>
<td>0.75 h (76, 40% de)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>1f</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>6 h (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>1.5 h (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>4 h (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>2f</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>4.5 h (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>4a</td>
<td>10 h (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>5a</td>
<td>6 h (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td>0.75 h (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>5c</td>
<td>7 h (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>5f</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>1 h (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>6b</td>
<td>1 h (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>4 h (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>1 h (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>7c</td>
<td>8 h (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>7d</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>8a</td>
<td>3 h (92, 60% de)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>8b</td>
<td>2 h (85, 60% de)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>9a</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>9d</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>10d</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>11d</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>12d</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>13a</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> All the reaction were carried out with aldehyde (1 mmol), activated alkene (1.5 mmol), DABCO (0.5-1.0 mmol) at ambient temperature for 0.5-24 h.

<sup>b</sup> All the products were characterized by 1H NMR and other spectral data.

<sup>c</sup> Yields of isolated products.
Experimental Section

General Procedures. Solvents were dried over standard drying agents and freshly distilled prior to use. $^1$H-NMR (200 MHz and 300 MHz) and $^{13}$C-NMR (50 MHz and 75 MHz) spectra were measured with a Varian Gemini FT-200 MHz spectrometer, Bruker-Avance 300 MHz with tetramethylsilane as internal standard for solutions in deuteriochloroform. $J$ values are given in Hz. IR spectra were recorded on Perkin Elmer IR-683 spectrophotometer with NaCl optics Mass spectra were recorded on CEC-21-11013 or Finnigan Mat 1210 double focusing mass spectrometers operating at a direct inlet system. Organic solutions were dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$ and concentrated below 40 °C in vacuo.

General experimental procedures
Method A. To a stirred solution of aldehyde (1 mmol) in sulpholane (1 mL) were added DABCO (0.5 mmol) and the alkene (1.5 mmol) and the reaction mixture stirred for 0.5 to 10 h at room temperature. Then the reaction mixture was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with diethylether (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residues thus obtained were purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 60-120 mesh, EtOAc:hexane, 1.5:8) to afford adducts $1a$-$1e$, $2a$-$2e$, $3a$, $4a$, $5a$-$c$, $6a$-$b$, $7a$-$c$, $8a$ and $8b$ in 66-96% yields.

Method B. To a stirred solution of aldehyde (1 mmol) in 1-methyl–2-pyrrolidinone (NMP, 1 mL) were added alkene (1.5 mmol), DABCO (0.5 mmol) and the reaction mixture stirred for 1 hour to 24 h at room temperature. Then the reaction mixture was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residues were purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 60-120 mesh, EtOAc:hexane, 2:8) to afford adducts $1a$, $1c$, $1f$, $2a$, $2c$, $2f$, $3a$, $4a$, $5a$, $5c$, $5f$ and $7a$ in 56-90% yields.

Method C. To the solution of aldehyde (1 mmol) in NMM (1 mL), DABCO (1 mmol) and alkene (1.5 mmol, ethylacrylate or acrylamide) were added and the reaction mixture stirred for 10-15 h at room temperature. Then the reaction mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate (3 x 15 mL) and water (15 mL), the collected organic layer was washed with brine (15 mL), dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residues purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 60-120 mesh, EtOAc:hexane, 2:8-4:6) to afford adducts $1a$, $1d$, $2d$, $5d$, $7d$, $9a$, $9d$-$12d$ and $13a$ in 40-68% yields.

The compounds were characterized by IR, $^1$H NMR, mass and other spectral data.

$1a$. Reference 9a and 9e.

$1b$. Yellow oil; IR (neat): $\nu$ 3418, 1713, 1619 1519 cm$^{-1}$.

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, TMS): $\delta$ 8.20 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, Ar-H), 7.54 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, Ar-H), 6.66 (s, 1H, olefinic), 6.23 (s, 1H, olefinic), 5.98 (s, 1H, benzylic), 3.12 (d, 1H, $J$ = 5.6 Hz, -OH), 2.32 (s, 3H, -CH$_3$).
$^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$, TMS): $\delta$ 26.3, 29.6, 72.1, 123.5, 123.7, 127.2, 127.7, 147.3, 148.9, 200.0.

EIMS: $m/z$: 204 ($M^+$ - 17).

Anal. calcd. for C$_{11}$H$_{11}$NO$_4$: C, 59.73; H, 5.01; found: C, 59.57; H, 5.06.

1c. Yellow oil; IR (neat): $\nu$ 3440, 2228, 1607 cm$^{-1}$.

$^1$H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl$_3$, TMS): $\delta$ 8.24 (d, 2H, $J = 8.7$ Hz, Ar-H), 7.63 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar-H), 6.39 (s, 1H, olefinic), 6.20 (s, 1H, olefinic), 6.02 (s, 1H, benzylic), 5.38 (br. s, 1H, -OH).

$^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$, TMS): $\delta$ 72.1, 123.2, 126.1, 127.2, 130.6, 147.1, 147.8.

1d. Yellow oil: IR (neat): $\nu$ 3540, 3341, 1694, 1621 cm$^{-1}$.

$^1$H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl$_3$, TMS): $\delta$ 8.20 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.54 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz 2H, ArH), 7.0 (br. s, 1H, NH), 6.0 (br. s, 1H, olefinic), 5.78 (br. s, 1H, olefinic), 5.70 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 1H, benzylic), 5.60 (s, 1H, NH), 3.41 (br. s, 1H, OH).

EIMS $m/z$: 246 ($M^+$ - 1).

Anal. calcd. for C$_{13}$H$_{13}$NO$_4$: C, 63.15; H, 5.30; found: C, 63.21; H, 5.28.

1e. Reference 9a.

1f. Reference 9e.

2a, 2b, 2c. Reference 3.

2d. Reference 14.

2f. Reference 15.

3a. Colorless oil; IR (neat): $\nu$ 3396, 1720, 1614 cm$^{-1}$.

$^1$H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl$_3$, TMS): $\delta$ 7.2 (br. s, 4H, Ar-H), 6.28 (s, 1H, olefinic), 5.92 (s, 1H, olefinic), 5.52 (d, 1H, $J = 3.7$ Hz, benzylic), 4.22 (q, 2H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, -CH$_2$), 3.25 (d, 1H, $J = 3.7$ Hz, -OH), 1.28 (t, 3H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, -CH$_3$).

EIMS $m/z$: 241 ($M^+$ + 1).

Anal. calcd. for C$_{12}$H$_{13}$ClO$_3$: C, 59.88; H, 5.44; found: C, 59.71; H, 5.40.

4a. Reference 3.

5a. Colorless oil; IR (neat): $\nu$ 3387, 1722, 1638 cm$^{-1}$.

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, TMS): $\delta$ 8.43-8.39 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, Ar-H), 7.24-7.20 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 6.34 (s, 1H, olefinic), 5.92 (s, 1H, olefinic), 5.55 (s, 1H, benzylic), 4.18 (q, 2H, $J = 6.9$ Hz, -CH$_2$), 1.23 (t, 3H, $J = 6.9$ Hz, -CH$_3$).

EIMS $m/z$: 207 ($M^+$).

Anal. calcd. for C$_{11}$H$_{13}$NO$_3$: C, 63.76; H, 6.32; found: C, 63.65; H, 6.29.

5b, 5c. Reference 3.

5d. Reference 12.

5f. Colorless oil. IR (neat): $\nu$ 3441, 1694 cm$^{-1}$.

$^1$H NMR: (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, TMS): $\delta$ 8.43-8.39 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71(d, 1H, $J = 7.7$ Hz, Ar-H), 7.24-7.20 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 6.82 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1H, olefinic), 5.6 (d, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 1H, benzylic) 3.5 (br. s, 1H, OH), 2.48-2.42 (m, 4H).
EI Mass m/z: 202 (M⁺ - 1).

6a, 6b. Reference 9c.

7a. Colorless oil; IR (neat): ν 3385, 1717, 1628 cm⁻¹.  
1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 6.22 (s, 1H, olefinic), 5.79 (s, 1H, olefinic), 4.40 (t, 1H, J = 6.4 Hz, allylic), 4.24 (q, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz, -CH₂), 2.73 (br. s, 1H, -OH), 1.70-1.62 (m, 2H, -CH₂), 1.39-1.25 (m, 9H), 0.93-0.84 (m, 3H, -CH₃).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 12.1, 19.5, 23.3, 29.6, 33.4, 58.7, 69.2, 122.9, 141.1, 164.0.  
EIMS m/z 201 (M⁺+1).  
Anal. calcd. for C₁₁H₂₀O₃: C, 65.97; H, 10.07; found: C, 65.83; H, 10.02.

7b, 7c. Reference 3.

7d. Colorless syrup; IR (neat): ν 3285, 3190, 1686, 1600 cm⁻¹.  
1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 6.4 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz, olefinic), 6.12–5.93 (m, 2H, NH₂), 5.75 (d, 1H, J = 7.2 Hz, olefinic), 5.45–5.21 (m, 1H, allylic), 1.71–1.16 (m, 8H, 4 x –CH₂), 0.85 (br. t, 3H, CH₃),  
EIMS: m/z 154 [M⁺–OH].  
Anal. calcd. for C₉H₁₇NO₂: C, 62.13, H, 10.01; found C, 63.08, H, 10.00.

8a. Reference 9b.

8b. Colorless syrup; IR (neat): ν 3428, 1715, 1626 cm⁻¹.  
1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 7.30 (br. s, 4H, Ar-H), 6.30 (br. s, 1H, olefinic), 5.78 (br. s, 1H, olefinic), 5.78 (br. s, 1H, olefinic), 5.45 (s, 1H, allylic), 4.17 (q, 2H, J = 6.7 Hz, -CH₂), 3.12 (br. s, 1H, -OH), 1.31 (t, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz, -CH₃).  
13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 166.1, 142.1, 140.0, 133.5, 128.4, 127.9, 125.8, 72.6, 60.9, 13.9.

9a. Colorless oil; 1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 7.30 (br. s, 4H, Ar-H), 6.30 (br. s, 1H, olefinic), 5.78 (br. s, 1H, olefinic), 5.45 (s, 1H, allylic), 4.17 (q, 2H, J = 6.7 Hz, -CH₂), 3.12 (br. s, 1H, -OH), 1.31 (t, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz, -CH₃).  
13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 166.1, 142.1, 140.0, 133.5, 128.4, 127.9, 125.8, 72.6, 60.9, 13.9.

9d. Colorless syrup; IR (neat): ν 3385, 3179, 1656, 1600 cm⁻¹.  
1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃ + D₆-DMSO, TMS): δ 7.40–7.20 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.19 (br. s, 1H, NH), 6.23 (br. s, 1H, NH), 6.20 (d, 1H, J = 4.2 Hz, olefinic), 5.70 (d, 1H, J = 4.0 Hz, olefinic), 5.43 (s, 1H, allylic).  
13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃ + D₆-DMSO, TMS): δ 72.4, 121.1, 127.7, 132.4, 140.8, 145.2, 168.8.  
EIMS: m/z = 210 [M⁺-1].  
Anal. calcd. for C₁₀H₁₀ClNO₂: C, 56.75, H, 4.76; found C, 55.91, H, 4.72.

10a. Reference 16.

10d. Reference 14.
11a. Reference 3.

11d. Colorless syrup; 1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 6.2 (d, 1H), 5.7 (d, 1H), 3.61 (s, 2H).

EIMS: 101[M– H₂O].

12d. Colorless syrup; [α]D = -20.0 (c 0.4, CHCl₃); 30% de; IR (neat): ν 3345, 3184, 1688, 1610 cm⁻¹.

1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 6.25–6.05 (m, 1H), 5.95 (br. s, 1H), 5.64 (s, 0.65H, allylic), 5.59 (s, 0.35H, allylic), 4.12–3.89 (m, 3H), 1.5 (s, 15H, 5 x CH₃).

13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 23.9, 28.3, 28.4, 59.3, 64.9, 65.3, 94.1, 121.0, 126.8, 131.1, 167.0.

13a. Reference 9g.
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