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#### Abstract

Anisomycin analogue precursor was synthesised starting from a suitably protected $\alpha$-amino aldehyde - tyrosine. The crucial step involves the addition of acetylenic reagent to N -Boc- O -methyl-L-tyrosinal in the presence of zinc(II) bromide, affording a syn-acetylenic adduct with high diastereoselectivity.
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## Introduction

Polyhydroxylated pyrrolidines and piperidines have received much attention since many representatives have been reported to exhibit interesting physiological effects. Anisomycin (1) is an antibiotic which was first isolated from the fermentation broths of streptomyces by Sobin and Tanner in 1954. ${ }^{1}$ Since its isolation, this alkaloid has attracted much interest due to its potent and specific antibiotic activity ${ }^{2}$ against several microorganisms. These properties have been successfully used in the clinical treatment of amoebic dysentery and trichomonas vaginitis. ${ }^{2}$ Anisomycin (1) and its derivatives have also been employed as fungicides in plant infections. ${ }^{3}$ Renewed interest in this antibiotic and its derivatives has arisen when high anti-tumor activity in vitro was reported. ${ }^{4}$ The structure and relative stereochemistry of anisomycin (1) were studied chemically ${ }^{5}$ and then determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis. ${ }^{6}$ The absolute stereochemistry being $(2 R, 3 S, 4 S)$ was established on the basis of chemical correlation studies. ${ }^{7}$ As a result, many approaches have been developed for the asymmetric synthesis of anisomycin $(1)^{8-15}$ but there is still a need for more efficient and elegant procedure In the continuation of our efforts on the application of a methodology with the use of $\alpha$-amino aldehydes to the synthesis of
natural compounds, ${ }^{16-24}$ we have undertaken an effort directed towards the synthesis of the title alkaloid.

## Results and Discussion

The retrosynthetic analysis, shown in Scheme 1, suggested that N,O-protected-D-thyrosinal of type 4 could serve as a starting material. Following our successful studies concerning the addition of various organometallic compounds ${ }^{16,25-28}$ to $\alpha$-amino aldehydes, we envisaged that a propargylic addition to a suitably protected D-thyrosinal would be a key step. On the basis of earlier investigations ${ }^{25}$ we assumed that the use of N -monoprotected- O -methyl derivative of Dthyrosinal (D-4) in the addition reaction should give desired syn-adduct predominantly. Among several possible $N$-protecting groups, the Boc group was selected since it can be easily removed under mild conditions.



## Scheme 1

Recently we have reported the highly diastereoselective addition of the lithium derivative of tert-butyldimethylsilyl propargyl ether to N -Boc- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-isopropylidene-L-serinal. ${ }^{28}$ It was found that the syn-selectivity was observed when the propargylic addition was carried out in the presence of most of the commonly used Lewis acid whereas anti-isomer predominated when HMPA or anhydrous $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$ was used as an additive. Moreover, it has recently been reported ${ }^{13}$ that the addition of ethynylmagnesium bromide to $N$-monoprotected-D-tyrosinal gave syndiastereoisomer predominantly. Having in mind our synthetic goal, we selected $N$-monoprotected $\alpha$-amino aldehyde and because it is known that the $N$-protecting groups strongly influences the stereochemical course of the nucleophilic addition to the carbonyl group, ${ }^{25}$ we extended our model studies to $N$-Boc- $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{thyrosinal}$ (L-4). ${ }^{29}$ Addition of lithium derivative of trimethylsilylacetylen (6) proceeded with moderate anti-stereoselectivity (82:18) and in a good yield (Scheme 2, Table 1, Entry 1). When the same reaction was carried out in the presence of HMPA as an additive, only anti-diastereoisomer 7 was isolated in $92 \%$ yield (Entry 2). The
direction of asymmetric induction was the same as for the addition of an acetylenic reagent to the Garner's aldehyde ${ }^{28}$ and it can be explained by the Felkin-Anh model A (Figure 1). ${ }^{30,31}$ Then the addition reaction of 6 to L-4 was carried out in the presence of various Lewis acids. It was found that when anhydrous $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$ was used, anti-isomer 7 predominated (Entry 3) whereas the use of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ afforded syn-isomer 7 as the major product in $68 \%$ yield. syn-Selectivity as well as the yield was further improved when the reaction was catalysed by $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ instead of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ (Entries $4,5)$. In this case the etynyl reagent attacks carbonyl group from the less hindered side of the chelation-controlled cyclic Cram model B (Fig. 1). ${ }^{32}$


## Scheme 2

Table 1. Addition of acetylenic reagent to tyrozinal L-4

| Entry | Additive | Yield [\%] | Ratio anti:syn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | none | 87 | $82: 18$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$ | 90 | $>95: 5$ |
| 3 | HMPA | 92 | $>95: 5$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ | 68 | $10: 90$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ | 90 | $>5: 95$ |



A
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Figure 1

Having in hand highly stereoselective method for the preparation of syn-7 and anti-7 acetylenic adduct derivatives of N -Boc- $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Me}$-L-thyrosinal L-4 we directed our efforts towards the synthesis of unnatural anisomycin ent-1. We pursued our studies exploiting compound syn-7 (Scheme 3).


## Scheme 3

syn-Adduct 7 was treated with crystalline $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{33}$ giving desilylated compound $(3 R, 4 S)-\mathbf{8}$ in $88 \%$ yield. Subsequent hydrogenation, in the presence of a Lindlar catalyst, ${ }^{34}$ yielded the vinyl adduct which was treated with acetic anhydride ${ }^{35}$ affording ( $3 R, 4 S$ ) -3. This compound could be obtained by the direct addition of a vinyl organometallic reagent to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ -diprotected-L-thyrosinal L-4 but there is no highly effective procedure for this transformation. syn-Dihydroxylation of $(3 R, 4 S)-3$ with NMO and $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}{ }^{36}$ gave a mixture of diastereoisomeric polyhydroxylated amines 9 . The diastereoisomeric ratio was $4: 1$ in favour of the desired isomer syn,syn-9. After the chromatographic separation the primary hydroxy group of syn,syn-9 was mesylated ${ }^{37}$ in order to facilitate five-membered ring formation but unfortunately all attempts to do so failed to afford the desired antibiotic ent-1. Similar transformation was successfully accomplished using the Appel procedure by Jäger et al. ${ }^{38}$ In his case, a polyhydroxylated amine with the neighbouring $N-\mathrm{Bn}$ and $O-\mathrm{Bn}$ triol, was used as pyrrolidine precursor. In this situation we did not further investigate our approach to the synthesis of anisomycin 1.

In summary, we have presented highly diastereoselective addition of lithium trimethylsilylacetylen (6) to $N$-Boc-O-Me- L-thyrosinal (L-4), affording anti- or syn-adduct 7 depending on the conditions used. The synthetic interest of these transformations was illustrated by the preparation of the anisomycin precursor $(2 R, 3 R, 4 S)-\mathbf{2}$.

## Experimental Section

General Procedures. All chemicals were used as received unless otherwise noted. Reagent grade solvents $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, hexanes, AcOEt$)$ were distilled prior use. All reported NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker spectrometer at $500\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R\right)$ and $125\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\right) \mathrm{MHz}$ or with a Varian Gemini spectrometer at $200\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NM}\right)$ and $50\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR) MHz. Chemical shifts are reported as $\delta$ values relative to TMS signal defined at $\delta=0.00\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\right)$ or $\delta=0.0\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR). IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 1640 FTIR unit. Mass spectra were obtained on an AMD-604 Intectra instrument using the EI or LSIMS technique. Chromatography was performed on silica (Kieselgel 60, 200-400 mesh). Optical rotations were recorded using a JASCO DIP-360 polarimeter with a thermally jacketed 10 cm cell.

Compound L-4. ${ }^{29}$ Yield $90 \%$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-}{ }_{6}$ ) 1.34 (s, 9H), 2.65 (dd, $J=13.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=4.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.8-7.1(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ) 28.1, 32.5, 61.0, 78.3, 113.6, 129.5, 130.1, 155.5, 157.8, 201.3; IR (KBr) 770, 835, 1034, 1164, 1248, 1367, 1514, 1613, 1701, 2978, 3364; HR EIMS $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}(\mathrm{M})^{+}$calcd 279.1471, found 279.1470.
Compound syn-7. To the precooled to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of trimethylsilylacetylen ( 12.3 mmol ) in dry toluene $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ the solution of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexane ( $12 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.5 \mathrm{ml}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added dropwise. The resulted mixture was stirred for 45 min during which time the temperature was raised to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the precooled solution of L-thyrosinal derivative L-4 ( 6 mmol ) in toluene ( 5 ml ) was added. When the reaction was completed (TLC) the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and after an additional 1 h of stirring it was poured into aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{\text {sat }}$. It was then extracted three times with AcOEt and the organic layer was worked up in the usual manner. The column chromatography (silica, hexanes/AcOEt) afforded adduct syn-7 as an amorphous solid, in the yield shown in Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $0.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.40$ (s, 9H), 2.7-2.9 (m, 2H), $3.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.9-4.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.8-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)-0.13$, $28.3,36.6,55.3,56.8,65.4,77.5,80.1,103.1,114.0,129.3,130.1,130.3,158.4$; IR (KBr) 849 , 1014, 1177, 1247, 1527, 1692, 2180, 2835, 2959, 3373; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{C}, 63.61$; H, 8.29; N, 3.71. Found: C, 63.59; H, 8.39; N, 3.51; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}-1.8$ (c 1, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
Compound anti-7. To the precooled to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of trimethylsilylacetylen ( 12.3 mmol ) in dry toluene ( 30 ml ), the solution of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexane ( $12 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.5 \mathrm{ml}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added dropwise. The resulted mixture was stirred for 45 min during which time the temperature was raised to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then it was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and HMPA ( 0.1 mmol ) was added. After 0.5 h , the precooled solution of L-thyrosinal derivative L-4 ( 6 mmol ) in toluene ( 5 ml ) was added. When the reaction was completed (TLC) the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and after an additional 1 h of stirring it was poured into aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{\text {sat }}$. It was then extracted three times with with AcOEt, and the organic layer was worked up in the usual
manner. The column chromatography (silica, hexanes/ AcOEt ) afforded adduct anti-7 as an amorphous solid, in the yield shown in Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.7-2.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.9-4.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.8-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)-$ $0.09,28.3,36.3,55.2,57.7,66.3,77.0,8.21,100.1,113.3,129.0,130.3,130.5,158.9$; IR (KBr) 760, 840, 1038, 1066, 1176, 1246, 1300, 1368, 1455, 1626, 1611, 1678, 2177, 2835, 2980, 3388; HR EIMS $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M})^{+}$calcd 377.2022, found 377.2022. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}-2.6$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
Compound 8. To the solution of syn-7 (700 mg, 1.86 mmol ) in dry THF ( 10 ml ), Bu $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{NF}$ ( 50 mg ) was added. After 5 min , the reaction mixture was extracted twice with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was washed with water and brine. Filtration through the silica pad gave 645 mg (yield $88 \%$ ) of desilylated compound $\mathbf{8}$ as an viscous oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.5-$ $2.8(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.8-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 28.2, 36.6, 55.2, 56.9, 62.5, 77.5, 80.1, 83.3, 114.0, 129.3, 130.1, 130.6, 158.9; IR (KBr) 1054, 1177, 1237, 1537, 1612, 1698, 2187, 2836, 2987, 3350; EIMS (m/z) C $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ $306(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 248,150,121,86,57 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}-5.4\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

Compound (3R,4S)-3. Hydrogenation of compound 8 ( $610 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the mixture of toluene and quinoline ( $1: 1,14 \mathrm{ml}$ ) in the presence of Lindlar catalyst for 2 h at room temperature. After filtration, the reaction mixture was washed with water and brine. Column chromatography (silica, hexanes/AcOEt) afforded 530 mg of vinyl alcohol derivative which was treated with a mixture of $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and pyridine (1:1) in the presence of catalytic amount of DMAP. Standard workup, followed by filtration through a silica pad, gave ( $3 R, 4 \mathrm{~S}$ )-3 ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ) as an viscous oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.6-2.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.8-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.2-5.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.93$ (ddd, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=$ $10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.8-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 20.9, 29.3, 37.5, 50.6 , $54.3,55.1,74.4,79.4,113.8,118.0,129.2,130.1,133.6,155.3,158.2,169.6$; IR (KBr) 840 , 1053, 1176, 1300, 1357, 1445, 1606, 1611, 1678, 1704, 2838, 2987, 3388; ; HR EIMS $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}(\mathrm{M})^{+}$calcd 349.1892, found 349.1896; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+6.5$ (c 1.3, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
Compound syn,syn-9. To a solution of ( $3 R, 4 S$ )-3 ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7: 1,2.4 \mathrm{ml})$, were added subsequently N -methylmorpholine ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tert- $\mathrm{BuOH}(0.2 \mathrm{ml})$. The reaction mixture was stirred until the disappearance of the starting material (TLC) then saturated $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3 \mathrm{aq}}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added. The organic layer was washed with saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4 \mathrm{aq}}$ and brine. Column chromatography (silica, hexanes/AcOEt, 2:8) gave both oily diastereoisomers syn,syn-9 $(38 \mathrm{mg})$ and syn,anti-9 (10 mg). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.9-3.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), ~ 4.1-4.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.6-4.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.8-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; IR (KBr) 816, 1132, 1276, 1543, 1619, 1711, 2878, 2995, 3069, 3500; HR LSIMS $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{7}(\mathrm{M})^{+}$calcd 383.1944, found $383.1952 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+4.5\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
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