Scope and limitations of the solution and solid phase synthesis of homoallylic amines via N-acyliminium ion reactions
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Abstract
The full scope and limitations of solution and solid phase one-pot three component N-acyliminium ion reactions are detailed. After studying the scope in solution with respect to the carbamate, nucleophile and aldehyde component, a ‘translation’ was made to the solid phase. The solid phase reactions were eventually carried out using the so-called SEC linker system, which was previously developed in our group. In order to maximize the scope of the nucleophile component, additional studies were successfully conducted using two-step processes involving stable N-acyliminium ion precursors.
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Introduction
In the past decade, numerous types of reactions have been ‘translated’ from the solution to the solid phase, so that solid phase combinatorial chemistry may currently enable the synthesis of various compound libraries of any size. However, solid phase reactions should not simply deliver as many compounds as possible, but rather as ‘diverse’ compounds as possible. Therefore, in the process of ‘translating’ solution phase reactions to the solid phase, one should...
consider that establishing the scope and limitations on the solid phase in terms of variety in functionality, steric and electronic properties must be an integral aspect of this process.

In a series of publications, it was shown by our group\textsuperscript{2,3,4,5} and by others\textsuperscript{6} that N-acyliminium ion chemistry can be efficiently carried out on a solid phase, provided that an appropriate linker system is used. With the development of the novel polystyrene-based SEC and TEC linker systems\textsuperscript{3-5}, an adequate immobilization approach was found in our group. By using these linkers, extensive and more systematic investigations in the scope and limitations of solid phase N-acyliminium ion chemistry can be performed. In conjunction with a preliminary publication on one-pot three component N-acyliminium ion reaction for the synthesis of homoallylic amines\textsuperscript{2}, this paper will detail a full account of the scope and limitations of this reaction.

In the solution phase one-pot three component synthesis of protected homoallylic amines introduced by Panek\textsuperscript{7} and Veenstra,\textsuperscript{8} studies on the scope of this N-acyliminium ion reaction with respect to the amide and aldehyde components were reported (Scheme 1). These studies showed that a wide variety of aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes could be used in combination with some carbamates and sulfonamides. Electron rich and poor aromatic aldehydes reacted equally well and steric bulk in the aldehyde component did not seem to play a significant role.

\begin{equation}
R^1\text{NH}_2 + R^1\text{NH}_2 + \text{SiMe}_3\text{SiMe}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{BF}_3\text{OEt}_2 \text{MeCN or CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 0 \text{ to } 20 \degree \text{C}} R^1\text{N} = \text{SiMe}_3
\end{equation}

Scheme 1

In this contribution, studies to reach a broader scope of the corresponding solid phase three component N-acyliminium ion reaction will be presented to give the corresponding homoallylic amines.\textsuperscript{9} For a better understanding of the solid phase reaction, these investigations started with a more detailed scope determination using solution phase model systems. A significant part of the research focused on the use of reactive species (generated \textit{in situ} or isolated) that subsequently could be used as N-acyliminium ion precursors. During the course of our work, the group of Mioskowski reported a similar type of approach,\textsuperscript{10} in which via a three-component reaction from amides, aldehydes and trimethyl orthoformate a stable \textit{N,O}-acetal was isolated and used as an \textit{N}-acyliminium ion precursor in a subsequent step. This concept may lead to a 'two-
step’ rather than a one-pot process, but which eventually might improve the diversity of the resulting products of the *N*-acyliminium ion reaction.

**Results and Discussion**

In order to synthesize the solution phase model systems, 2-(benzylsulfonyl)ethyl carbamate 8 and the corresponding 2-(benzylthio)ethyl carbamate 9 were obtained using the technology described for the synthesis of the SEC and TEC linker systems.\(^3\) To facilitate the purification of the products, the reagents were used in slight excess or in equimolar amounts to provide both carbamates 8 and 9 in good overall yields (78% and 76% over three and two steps, respectively).

![Scheme 2](image)

**Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions:** (a) Cs\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (1.05 equiv), mercaptoethanol (1.05 equiv), DMF, 60 °C, 4 h, rt, 18 h; (b) mCPBA (2.3 equiv), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 0 °C → rt, 18 h; (c) (1) *p*-nitrophenyl chloroformate (1.0 equiv), N-methylmorpholine (1.0 equiv), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 0 °C → rt, 18 h; (2) NH\(_3\), MeOH/DMF, rt, 18 h.

Allyl carbamate 10 and benzotriazole (Bt) derivative 11 were also prepared as model systems for solution phase *N*-acyliminium ion reactions. The two compounds were prepared according to known literature procedures. Allyl carbamate 10 was obtained in one step from allyl chloroformate using the procedure of Roos *et al.*\(^1\) while Bt-derivative 11 was obtained in one step from benzyl carbamate using Katritzky technology.\(^1\) Bt-compounds usually exist as a mixture of 1-yl and 2-yl isomers (viz. structures 1-yl-11 and 2-yl-11), both of which display a comparable leaving group ability.\(^1\) However, Bt-derivative 11 was mainly isolated as the pure 1-yl-11 isomer.\(^1\)

![Chemical structures](image)
Evidently, allyl carbamate 10 was used as a model system for the allyl carbamate linker, while carbamates 8 and 9 were used as models for the corresponding SEC and TEC linker systems. Benzyl carbamate was used as a simple and readily available model system for a generally immobilized carbamate. To explore the two-step variant of the three component N-acyliminium ion reaction, Bt-derivative 11 was used.

First, the scope of the three component N-acyliminium ion reaction with allyl carbamate 11 was investigated. This carbamate was reacted with 1 equiv of aldehydes 12a-f, nucleophile 3A-F and BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at rt. The reactions were quenched upon disappearance of the starting material or after a maximum reaction time of 20 h. Several aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes were used in combination with allyltrimethylsilane (3A). Furthermore, benzaldehyde (12a) was used in combination with several nucleophiles (Table 1).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Nucleophile</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>Product (yield)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>SiMe$_3$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>13aA (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$p$-MeOC$_6$H$_4$ (12b)</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>13bA (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$p$-NCC$_6$H$_4$ (12c)</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>13cA (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$p$-O$_2$NC$_6$H$_4$ (12d)</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>13dA (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$i$-Pr (12e)</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>13eA (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bn (12f)$^a$</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>13fA (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>SiMe$_3$Cl</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>13aB (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>SnBu$_3$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>13aC (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>Me$_3$Si-CN</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>13aD (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>Me$_3$SiO</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>13aE (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>OSiMe$_3$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>13aF (6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The corresponding diethyl acetal was used.
As shown in the Table, electron rich aromatic aldehydes (entries 1-2) gave the best results, while the electron poor aromatic aldehydes (entries 3-4) resulted in the formation of the desired homoallylic carbamate in moderate yields and longer reaction times. The same was true for the aliphatic substituents (entries 5-6). With respect to the nucleophile, the scope proved to be significantly narrower. Although the use of the substituted allylsilane 3B (entry 7) resulted in a high yield of the desired product (80%), the reaction was much slower than with allyltrimethylsilane (3A, entry 1). 1,2-Propadienyltributylstannane (3C) has been successfully used previously as a nucleophile in N-acyliminium ion chemistry. However, in this case, the use of the stannane (entry 8) only resulted in the formation of the desired homopropargylic carbamate 13aC in a yield of 10%. The use of Me3SiCN (entry 9) and silyl enol ethers (entries 10-11) gave traces of the desired products, although these nucleophiles have previously shown their viability in N-acyliminium ion chemistry. The low yields achieved with the nucleophiles 3C-F might be explained by the rather low stability of these compounds under the conditions needed for the formation of the N-acyliminium ion (BF3·OEt2, rt).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Nucleophile 3</th>
<th>Lewis Acid</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>Product (yield)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>BF3·OEt2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>15aA (92%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3G</td>
<td>BF3·OEt2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>15aG (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3H</td>
<td>BF3·OEt2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3I</td>
<td>BF3·OEt2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3J</td>
<td>BF3·OEt2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>15aJ (62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3K</td>
<td>BF3·OEt2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3K</td>
<td>Sc(OTf)3a</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>15aK (28%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a A catalytic amount of the Lewis acid (0.1 equiv) was used.
The scope of the solution phase one-pot three component $N$-acyliminium ion reaction with respect to the nucleophile was further investigated using benzyl carbamate (14) as a model system (Table 2). The 1-substituted allylsilane $3G$ (entry 2) reacted smoothly and resulted in a 71% yield of homoallylic carbamate $15aG$. The geometry of the newly formed double bond was assigned by $^1$H NMR experiments and proved to be exclusively ($E$). Surprisingly, 2- and 3-substituted allylsilanes $3H$ and $3I$ (entries 3-4) did not lead to any product formation at all. The steric hindrance of the chloride and methyl substituent on the reactive double bond of the allylsilane might explain the poor reactivity of these nucleophiles in this specific $N$-acyliminium ion reaction. Although the use of 2,3-butadienylsilane $3J$ in Sakurai-type additions to aldehydes and acetals has been reported by the groups of Hatakeyama and Takano, 17 the use of this nucleophile in $N$-acyliminium ion reactions has received only recent attention. 18 The application of allenylsilane $3J$ in the one-pot three component $N$-acyliminium reaction with benzyl carbamate (14) and benzaldehyde (12a) resulted in the formation of diene $15aJ$ in a yield of 62%. Analogous to the unsuccessful use of silyl enol ethers (Table 1), at this point the more stable vinyl acetate $3K$ was used as a nucleophile (entries 6-7). However, under the conditions that were previously used for the formation of the transient cation (1 equiv BF$_3$·OEt$_2$, entry 6), no product was formed either. Recently, new developments to generate iminium and $N$-acyliminium ion intermediates by using catalytic amounts of metal triflates (Sc(OTf)$_3$, Yb(OTf)$_3$, Sn(OTf)$_2$, Hf(OTf)$_4$, etc.) have been reported by Kobayashi et al. 19 When the Lewis acid was changed to catalytic Sc(OTf)$_3$ (entry 7), the desired ketone $15aK$ was obtained, albeit in a low yield of 28%.

To gain a better understanding of the ‘translation’ of the $N$-acyliminium ion reaction to the SEC and TEC linkers that we eventually would like to apply, the model reactions were extended to carbamates having the sulfonylethyl and thioethyl functionalities already present in the molecule. Thus, carbamates 8 and 9 were used for the one-pot three component reactions (Table 3).

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Carbamate</th>
<th>R (Aldehyde/Acetal)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Product (yield)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$17aA$ (89%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Bn (16f)</td>
<td>6$^a$</td>
<td>$17fA$ (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$18aA$ (65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$n$-hexyl (12g)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$18gA$ (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$n$-hexyl (16g)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$18gA$ (66%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Reaction at 50 ºC.
The yield of sulfonylethyl carbamate 17aA (89%, entry 1) was comparable with the yield earlier obtained in combination with allyl carbamate 11 (82%, Table 1, entry 1). However, the same components in combination with thioethyl carbamate 9 afforded product 18aA in a somewhat lower yield (65%, entry 3). This finding was comparable to solid phase results obtained with other N-acyliminium ion reactions on the SEC and TEC linker systems. The lower yields with thioethyl carbamate 9 and the corresponding TEC resin might be explained by the presence of the nucleophilic sulfur functionality, which could interfere with the reaction conditions that are required for the N-acyliminium ion reaction. A benzylic substituent was introduced using the diethyl acetal 16f (entry 2), which was used because of the poor stability of the corresponding aldehyde. Logically, this diethyl acetal functionality also generated a more reactive precursor for the N-acyliminium ion formation (an N,O-acetal rather than an N,O-hemiacetal) and thus resulted in the smooth formation of product 17fA in a yield of 82%. The same positive effect of the diethyl acetal functionality was found with the introduction of an n-hexyl substituent (entries 4-5). While n-heptanal (12g) produced the product 18gA in a yield of only 45%, the use of the corresponding diethyl acetal 16g improved the yield of 18gA to 66%.

To further investigate the scope with respect to the aliphatic diethyl acetal component, benzyl carbamate (14) and allyltrimethylsilane (3A) were used in combination with the functionalized aliphatic diethyl acetal 16h (Scheme 3). After a reaction time of 18 h, a 75% conversion of benzyl carbamate (14) was observed and during the reaction a white precipitate was formed, which after isolation was identified as bis-carbamate 21. The formation of similar bis-carbamates in this type of reaction was also reported by Veenstra. However, the bis-carbamate precipitate was by them observed at the beginning of the reaction and was thereafter completely transformed into the desired product. In our hands, the bis-carbamate 21 and the desired protected diamine 15hA were obtained as a 1:1 mixture, which could be easily separated using column chromatography. Subsequently, bis-carbamate 21 itself was used as an N-acyliminium ion precursor, which after a reaction of 18 h resulted in a 6:4 mixture of compounds 21 and 15hA.

**Scheme 3.** Reagents and conditions: (a) 3A (1 equiv), BF$_3$OEt$_2$ (1 equiv), CH$_2$Cl$_2$, rt, 18 h (75% conversion, 1:1 mixture of 21 and 15hA); (b) 3A (1 equiv), BF$_3$OEt$_2$ (1 equiv), MeCN, rt, 18 h (6:4 mixture of 21 and 15hA).
Clearly, the scope of the three component N-acyliminium ion reaction in particular with respect to the nucleophile is still rather limited. Encouraged by the results of using more activated intermediates for the N-acyliminium ion formation, it was decided to further investigate the ‘two-step’ approach. In contrast to Mioskowski’s results with aromatic amides,10 in our hands the addition of aldehydes to benzyl carbamate in the presence of TFA and HC(OMe)3 did not lead to the desired N,O-acetal, but resulted in the exclusive formation of the corresponding bis-carbamates.20 Therefore, in addition to N,O-acetals, the Bt-derivative 11 was used as a readily available and versatile N-acyliminium ion precursor (Table 5).

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Nucleophile 3</th>
<th>Lewis Acid</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>Product (yield)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>BF3-OEt2</td>
<td>CH2Cl2</td>
<td></td>
<td>15aA (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(3J)</td>
<td>BF3-OEt2</td>
<td>CH2Cl2</td>
<td></td>
<td>15aJ (53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(3L)</td>
<td>Sc(OTf)3</td>
<td>CH2Cl2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3L</td>
<td>BF3-OEt2</td>
<td>CH2Cl2</td>
<td></td>
<td>15aL (51%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(3M)</td>
<td>CSA</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td></td>
<td>15aM (55%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By using allyltrimethylsilane (3A) as the nucleophile, homoallylic carbamate 15aA was obtained in a good yield (80%, entry 1), thus proving the viability and efficiency of this Bt-derivative in N-acyliminium ion chemistry. 2,3-Butadienylsilane 3J (entry 2) was reacted with Bt-derivative 11 to provide diene 15aJ, the preparation of which was described earlier in Table 2 (entry 5). In the current case, diene 15aJ was obtained in a slightly lower yield than in the previous example (53% and 62%, respectively). The application of a vinylogous silyl enol ether as a nucleophile was demonstrated by the use of furan 3L (entries 3-4).21,22 Sc(OTf)3 proved to be unsuitable for N-acyliminium ion formation with Bt-derivative 11, since it led to no product formation at all. However, with the use of BF3-OEt2, the desired α,β-unsaturated lactone 15aL was obtained in a moderate yield of 51%. The use of furan as an aromatic nucleophile in N-acyliminium ion chemistry has been shown before,23 in which the best results were obtained using moderately strong protic acids in combination with furan as the solvent. Thus, Bt-derivative 11 was reacted with CSA in furan (16 M) to afford compound 15aM in a yield of 55%.
(entry 5). The introduction of the furan functionality may allow further functionalization by oxidation to the corresponding carboxylic acid functionality. Although a heterogeneous RuO$_2$/NaIO$_4$ system is usually used for the oxidation of $\alpha$-furyl carbamates, some examples of homogeneous oxidations with ozone are also known. Hence, furan 15aM was ozonolyzed to afford the N-protected phenylglycine derivative 26 in a yield of 79% (Scheme 4). Consequently, with this reaction sequence, the scope of products was extended to Cbz-protected $\alpha$-amino acids.

![Scheme 4](image)

Next, with the results of the solution phase N-acyliminium ion reactions in mind, the scope and limitations of the same reactions on a solid phase were determined. For this purpose, the earlier developed SEC linker system 23 was used. The introduction of aromatic side chains is presented in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Product (yield)$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>25aA (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$p$-MeOC$_6$H$_4$ (12b)</td>
<td>25bA (79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$p$-NCC$_6$H$_4$ (12c)</td>
<td>25cA (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$p$-O$_2$NC$_6$H$_4$ (12d)</td>
<td>25dA (&lt;3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3-Thienyl (12i)</td>
<td>25iA (60%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Yields were determined over two steps, starting from resin 23.

In these cases, the yields of the homoallylic amines 25 were determined after cleavage from the resin using a NaOMe solution. Again, the same trend in reactivity of the aromatic aldehydes was observed: electron rich aldehydes afforded the desired products 25 in high yields (entries 1-2, 5), whereas electron poor ones produced a lower yield (entry 3), or no product at all (entry 4).
Furthermore, the yields of these products were around 20% higher than those of the same products produced on the acid labile Wang linker system. This observation again substantiated the viability of the SEC linker for application to N-acyliminium ion chemistry.

Earlier, the introduction of aliphatic side chains in the solid phase one-pot three component N-acyliminium ion reaction on the Wang resin proved to be troublesome. On the other hand, the introduction of aliphatic substituents in the solution phase reaction using acetals 16 did result in the efficient formation of the desired products. Therefore, the use of diethyl acetals in combination with SEC resin 23 was investigated (Table 7).

**Table 7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Product (yield)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bn (16f)</td>
<td>25fA (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>n-hexyl (16g)</td>
<td>25gA (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>cyclohexyl (16j)</td>
<td>25jA (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(16k)</td>
<td>25kA (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PhthN (16h)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>p-O2NC₆H₄ (16d)</td>
<td>25dA (7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Yields were determined over two steps, starting from resin 23.

By using the aliphatic diethyl acetals 16f-g, j-k (entries 1-4), the corresponding products could indeed be obtained, albeit in moderate yields. Surprisingly, with acetal 16h in the solid phase reaction (entry 5) no product was obtained at all, whereas in solution phase (Scheme 3) the desired product was formed together with a substantial amount of the corresponding bis-carbamate. Nevertheless, the successful introduction of aliphatic substituents (entries 1-4) significantly extended the scope of the process. Encouraged by these results, the application of the corresponding diethyl acetal functionality in the introduction of an electron poor aromatic substituent was also tested (entry 6). Unfortunately, the desired homoallylic amine 25dA was only formed in a low yield of 7%.

In addition, the application of the different silyl nucleophiles 3G-J in combination with some aromatic aldehydes in the three component N-acyliminium ion reaction was investigated (Table 8).
Table 8

By using the substituted allylsilanes 3G-I (entries 1-3), the 1-substituted silane 3G again afforded the corresponding product (42%), while the 2- and 3-substituted allylsilanes 3H-I were not reactive at all. A diene functionality could be introduced by making use of allenylsilane 3J (entry 4). Furthermore, silanes 3G and 3J were successfully used in combination with the aromatic aldehydes 12b and 12i (entries 5-8) to afford the corresponding homoallylic amines 25 in reasonable yields.

Finally, to extend the scope of the N-acyliminium ion reaction even more, the ‘two-step’ approach, involving the immobilized Bt-derivative 26 was applied (Table 9). The results show that the scope with respect to the nucleophile indeed could be extended in case of aromatic aldehydes (entries 2-3). Analogous to the solution phase reaction, CSA was used to generate the N-acyliminium ion intermediate, while the aromatic nucleophile was used as the solvent. Moreover, the application of the Bt-derivative improved the yield of product 25dA to 36% over three steps (entry 4). Thus, the ‘two-step’ approach also extended the scope of the reaction with respect to electron poor aromatic aldehydes.
Table 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Nucleophile 3</th>
<th>Lewis Acid</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>Product (yield)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>BF$_3$·OEt$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>25aA (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>3M</td>
<td>CSA</td>
<td></td>
<td>25aM (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ph (12a)</td>
<td>(3N)</td>
<td>CSA</td>
<td></td>
<td>25aN (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$p$-O$_2$NC$_6$H$_4$ (12a)</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>BF$_3$·OEt$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>25dA (36%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Yields were determined over three steps, starting from resin 23.*

If one compares the results of the solution phase $N$-acyliminium ion reactions with those of the solid phase, a few interesting features appear. In general, the yields of the solid phase reactions are somewhat lower than the solution phase ones. For example, whereas the use of $p$-nitrobenzaldehyde (12d) in solution phase afforded the desired product in a reasonable yield, the same aldehyde on solid phase only produced a trace of the desired homoallylic amine. Although in the solution phase a similar trend in reactivity was observed, the low reactivity of aliphatic and electron poor aromatic substituents in the solid phase reactions was much more pronounced. This difference might be explained by the polarity of the environment in which the reactions take place: in solution phase, the cationic intermediates can be to some degree stabilized by the relatively polar solvent, while on solid phase the extent of stabilization is reduced as a result of the more apolar environment of the polymer support.

Furthermore, the use of diethyl acetal 16h in solution phase led to a considerable amount of the undesired bis-carbamate (*cf.* 31 in Scheme 5), while on the solid phase no such product was formed at all. Veenstra presumed the aforementioned bis-carbamate to be the intermediate, which was transformed into the key electrophile that then would undergo the nucleophilic attack.
(30 → 28). However, formation of such an intermediate in the solid phase reaction (31 in which 
R is attached to the polymeric backbone) would be unlikely if not impossible due to site-site 
isolation on the polymer. Therefore, most probably bis-carbamate 31 is formed as one of the 
intermediate species, but is not necessarily required for the formation of product 28.

Scheme 5

In conclusion, the scope and limitations of the one-pot three component N-acyliminium ion 
reaction have been explored. In both the solution and solid phase reactions a similar trend can be 
observed. With respect to the aldehyde component, the best yields were obtained with electron 
rich aromatic ones. Whereas aliphatic side chains could be introduced in satisfactory yields by 
using the corresponding diethyl acetics, electron poor aromatic substituents could not efficiently 
be introduced by using the three component N-acyliminium ion reaction. However, a ‘two-step’ 
approach involving a Bt-derivative has led to an extension of the scope to also include electron 
poor aromatic groups. With respect to the nucleophile, the scope was mainly restricted to simple 
substituted allylsilanes. A useful addition of potential nucleophiles was found in the application 
of 2,3-butadienylsilane. Furthermore, aromatic nucleophiles were used in the ‘two-step’ 
approach. Overall, it can be concluded that a diverse range of products was made, although the 
introduction of different structural classes required its own optimization, which has led to a range 
of optimal reaction conditions.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. All reactions with air or moisture sensitive reagents were carried out under 
an inert atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Standard syringe techniques were applied for the transfer of 
air or moisture sensitive reagents and dry solvents. The solid phase reactions were gently stirred 
with a magnetic stirring bar. The resins were washed according to the indicated sequence and 
dried in vacuo (50 ºC) prior to use. The resin was allowed to swell/shrink for at least 1 minute 
before each filtration. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained from KBr pellets or neat, using a 
Bruker IFS 28FT-spectrometer with wavelengths (ν) reported in cm⁻¹. IR spectra of resins were
measured in KBr using a DRIFT module. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectra were determined in the indicated solvent using a Bruker AC 200 (200 MHz), Bruker ARX 400 (400 MHz), or a Varian Inova (500 MHz) spectrometer. The machines were also used for carbon nuclear magnetic resonance (¹³C NMR, APT) spectra (50 MHz, 100 MHz and 125 MHz respectively). Chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane. Mass spectra and accurate mass measurements were carried out using a JEOL JMS-SX/SX 102A Tandem Mass spectrometer. Elemental analysis was performed by Dornis u. Kolbe Mikroanalytisches Laboratorium, Mülheim a. d. Ruhr, Germany. $R_f$ values were obtained by using thin layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel-coated plastic sheets (Merck silica gel 60 F₂₅₄) with the indicated solvent (mixture). UV light or I₂ were used as visualizing agents, while KMnO₄ solution and heat or anisaldehyde solution and heat were used as developing agents. Chromatographic purification refers to flash column chromatography using the indicated solvent (mixture) and Acros Organics Silica gel (0.035-0.070 mm). Melting points are uncorrected and were determined on a Büchi melting point B-545 apparatus. Dry THF and Et₂O were freshly distilled from sodium benzenophenone ketyl. Dry DMF, CH₂Cl₂, EtOAc and MeCN were distilled from CaH₂ and stored over 4Å MS under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. PE (60-80) was distilled prior to use. Merrifield resin (200-400 mesh, 1% DVB, 1.7 mmol Cl/g) and Wang resin (200-400 mesh, 1% DVB, 0.71 mmol OH/g) were obtained from Fluka. All commercially available reagents were used as received, unless indicated otherwise.

2-Benzylthioethanol (6).²⁶ Benzyl bromide (7.21 mL, 42.7 mmol), and Cs₂CO₃ (14.6 g, 44.9 mmol) were suspended in dry DMF (150 mL), mercaptoethanol (25.0 mL, 44.9 mmol) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at 60 °C and then for 20 h at rt. Then, saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (100 ml) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂CCl₄ (2 × 75 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous saturated NaCl (75 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to obtain 6 (7.23 g, 40.2 mmol, 94%) as a colorless oil, which was used without any further purification. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.34-7.27 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 3.72 (s, 2H, ArCH₂), 3.64 (t, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 2.62 (t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H, SCH₂). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 137.9, 128.6, 128.4, 126.9 (Ar-C), 60.1 (OCH₂), 35.6, 34.1 (ArCH₂ and SCH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3490, 3030, 2920, 1410, 1335, 1070.

2-Benzylsulfonylethanol (7). To a solution of thioether 6 (7.69 g, 42.7 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL), mCPBA (77%, 22.1 g, 98.2 mmol) was added in portions at 0 °C. When addition was complete the mixture was stirred for 18 h at rt. Then, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (100 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂CCl₄ (2 × 50 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous saturated NaCl (50 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified using column chromatography (EtOAc/PE 1:1) to afford 7 (8.41 g, 0.40 mmol, 93%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45-7.33 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 4.35 (s, 2H, ArCH₂), 4.06 (d, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.08 (d, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 2H, SO₂CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 130.9, 129.1, 129.0, 127.8 (Ar-C),
61.1 (ArCH₂), 56.3 (OCH₂), 53.0 (SO₂CH₂). IR v, cm⁻¹ 3488, 3033, 2978, 1495, 1393, 1287, 1117, 1070, 843. HRMS (EI⁺) calculated for C₉H₁₂O₃S (M⁺) 200.0507, found 200.0514.

**2-(Benzylsulfonyl)ethyl carbamate (8).** Alcohol 7 (7.00 g, 33.0 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (70 mL) and cooled to 0 °C, 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate (6.66 g, 33.0 mmol) and N-methylmorpholine (3.63 mL, 33.0 mmol) were added, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to rt and was stirred for 18 h at this temperature. Then, saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (50 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 50 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous saturated NaCl (50 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude carbonate was dissolved in DMF (60 mL), a saturated NH₃/MeOH solution (30 ml) was added and the reaction mixture turned yellow immediately. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at rt and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified using column chromatography (EtOAc/PE 1:1) to afford 8 (7.14 g, 29.4 mmol, 89%) as a white solid. Mp 173-175 °C. Rƒ 0.15 (EtOAc/PE 1:1). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37-7.34 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.36 (br s, 2H, NH₂), 4.43 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 4.26 (s, 2H, ArCH₂), 3.15 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H, SO₂CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.3 (OCH₂), 130.7, 129.0, 128.9, 127.4 (Ar-C), 60.6 (OCH₂), 57.8 (ArCH₂), 50.6 (SO₂CH₂). IR v, cm⁻¹ 3421, 3033, 2984, 1680, 1427, 1365, 1282, 1119, 1025, 995.

**2-(Benzyllthio)ethyl carbamate (9).** Alcohol 6 (4.61 g, 25.6 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) and cooled to 0 °C, 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate (5.16 g, 25.6 mmol) and N-methylmorpholine (2.81 mL, 25.6 mmol) were added, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to rt and was stirred for 18 h at this temperature. Then, saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (30 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 30 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous saturated NaCl (30 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude carbonate was dissolved in DMF (40 mL), a saturated NH₃/MeOH solution (20 mL) was added and the reaction mixture turned yellow immediately. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at rt and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified using column chromatography (EtOAc/PE 1:1) to afford 9 (4.38 g, 20.7 mmol, 81%) as a white solid. Rƒ 0.19 (EtOAc/PE 1:1). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36-7.23 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 4.77 (br s, 2H, NH₂), 4.17 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.75 (s, 2H, ArCH₂), 2.64 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, SCH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.5 (OCH₂), 137.9, 128.8, 128.1, 127.0 (Ar-C), 63.6 (OCH₂), 36.2 (ArCH₂), 29.9 (SCH₂). IR v, cm⁻¹ 3497, 3353, 3028, 2919, 1744, 1454, 1404, 1337, 1072.

**General procedure A for the three component reaction with carbamates 8-10 and 14**
The carbamate, aldehyde (1 equiv) and nucleophile (1 equiv) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂. The Lewis acid (1 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for the indicated time at rt. Then, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at rt and was concentrated in vacuo. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 ×), the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous saturated NaCl, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified using column chromatography (EtOAc/PE).
(1-Phenybut-3-enyl)carbamic acid allyl ester (13aA). Allyl carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.99 mmol), benzaldehyde (101 µL, 0.99 mmol) allyltrimethylsilane (157 µL, 0.99 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (125 µL, 0.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) were reacted for 3 h according to general procedure A to afford 13aA (188 mg, 0.82 mmol, 82%) as a colorless oil. Rf 0.59 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45-7.10 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.05-5.55 (m, 2H, 2 × CH=CH₂), 5.40-4.95 (m, 4H, 2 × CH=C₆H₄), 4.90-4.70 (m, 1H, NCH=CH₂), 4.55 (dd, J = 1.1, 5.6 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 2.56-2.53 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.3 (OCON), 141.9 (Ar-C), 133.7, 132.8 (2 × C₆H=CH₂), 128.4, 127.4, 126.1 (Ar-C), 118.2, 117.6 (2 × CH=CH₂), 65.5 (OCH₂), 54.4 (NHCH), 40.9 (CH₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3326, 3069, 3029, 2979, 2937, 1713, 1577, 1252, 1041, 994, 919. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C₁₄H₁₈NO₂ (M⁺ + H) 232.1338, found 232.1339.

[1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)but-3-enyl]carbamic acid allyl ester (13bA). Allyl carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.99 mmol), 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (120 µL, 0.99 mmol) allyltrimethylsilane (157 µL, 0.99 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (125 µL, 0.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) were reacted for 3 h according to general procedure A to afford 13bA (207 mg, 0.79 mmol, 80%) as a colorless oil. Rf 0.48 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25-7.10 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.00-6.80 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.05-5.55 (m, 2H, 2 × CH=CH₂), 5.40-4.85 (m, 4H, 2 × CH=C₆H₄), 4.82-4.65 (m, 1H, NCH=CH₂), 4.55 (dd, J = 1.1, 4.6 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.80 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.56-2.52 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 156.7 (Ar-C), 155.4 (OCON), 134.0, 133.9 (2 × C₆H=CH₂), 127.3 (Ar-C), 118.0, 117.5 (2 × CH=CH₂), 113.8 (Ar-C), 65.4 (OCH₂), 55.2, 53.9 (NHCH and OMe), 40.9, 40.4 (2 × CH₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3306, 3076, 2999, 2937, 1712, 1513, 1246, 1179, 1037, 995, 920. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C₁₅H₂₀NO₃ (M⁺ + H) 262.1443, found 262.1439.

[1-(4-Cyanophenyl)but-3-enyl]carbamic acid allyl ester (13cA). Allyl carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.99 mmol), 4-cyanobenzaldehyde (112 mg, 0.99 mmol) allyltrimethylsilane (157 µL, 0.99 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (125 µL, 0.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) were reacted for 20 h according to general procedure A to afford 13cA (114 mg, 0.45 mmol, 45%) as a colorless oil. Rf 0.44 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.63 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.00-5.50 (m, 2H, 2 × CH=CH₂), 5.40-5.05 (m, 4H, 2 × CH=C₆H₄), 4.80 (dd, J = 6.6, 13.2 Hz, 1H, NHCH), 4.53 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 2.65-2.30 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.2 (OCON), 147.5, 132.3, 132.2, 126.9, 126.7 (Ar-C and 2 × CH=CH₂), 119.3, 118.5, 117.8, 111.0 (Ar-C, CN and 2 × CH=CH₂), 65.7 (OCH₂), 54.0 (NHCH and OMe), 40.5 (CH₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3336, 3067, 2983, 2229, 1704, 1350, 1251, 1041, 923. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C₁₅H₁₇N₂O₂ (M⁺ + H) 257.1290, found 257.1276.

[1-(4-Nitrophenyl)but-3-enyl]carbamic acid allyl ester (13dA). Allyl carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.99 mmol), 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (132 mg, 0.99 mmol) allyltrimethylsilane (157 µL, 0.99 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (125 µL, 0.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) were reacted for 20 h according to general procedure A to afford 13dA (109 mg, 0.40 mmol, 40%) as a colorless oil. Rf 0.48 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.21 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.45 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.00-5.50 (m, 2H, 2 × CH=CH₂), 5.40-5.05 (m, 4H, 2 × CH=CH₂), 4.86 (t, J 6.6 Hz, 1H,
(1-Isopropylbut-3-enyl)carbamic acid allyl ester (13eA). Allyl carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.99 mmol), isobutyraldehyde (90 µL, 0.99 mmol) allyltrimethylsilane (157 µL, 0.99 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (125 µL, 0.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) were reacted for 20 h according to general procedure A to afford 13eA (89 mg, 0.44 mmol, 44%) as a colorless oil. Rₚ 0.64 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.05-5.60 (m, 2H, 2 × CH=CH₂), 5.40-4.95 (m, 4H, 2 × CH=C=CH₂), 4.60-4.45 (d, J= 5.2 Hz, 2H, OC=CH₂), 3.65-3.45 (m, 1H, NHC=CH₂), 2.40-2.00 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 1.85-1.60 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.00-0.70 (m, 6H, CH(=CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.6 (O=CON), 134.7, 133.0 (2 × C=CH₂), 122.0, 117.3 (2 × CH=C=CH₂), 67.0 (OC=CH₂), 55.6 (NH=CH), 36.8 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 31.4 (CH(CH₃)₂), 19.2 (CH(=CH₃)₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3323, 3076, 2960, 1720, 1647, 1536, 1250, 993. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C₁₁H₂₀NO₂ (M⁺ + H) 198.1494, found 298.1492.

(1-Benzylbut-3-enyl)carbamic acid allyl ester (13fA). Allyl carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.99 mmol), phenylacetaldehyde diethyl acetal (163 µL, 0.99 mmol) allyltrimethylsilane (157 µL, 0.99 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (125 µL, 0.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) were reacted for 20 h according to general procedure A to afford 13fA (182 mg, 0.74 mmol, 75%) as a colorless oil. Rₚ 0.58 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40-7.10 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.00-5.70 (m, 2H, 2 × CH=CH₂), 5.40-5.00 (m, 4 H, 2 × CH=C=CH₂), 4.90 (dd, J= 6.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H, NHC=CH₂), 4.20-3.90 (m, 2H, C=CH₂Cl), 2.85-2.50 (m, 2H, C=CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 137.8 (Ar-C), 134.1, 132.9 (2 × CH=CH₂), 139.3, 128.3, 126.3 (Ar-C), 118.0, 117.3 (2 × C=CH₂), 65.3 (OCH₂), 53.2 (NHCH), 40.3, 38.0 (ArCH₂ and CH₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3334, 3027, 2925, 1718, 1531, 1256, 1250, 994, 918. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C₁₅H₂₀NO₂ (M⁺ + H) 246.1494, found 246.1483.

(1-Benzylbut-3-ynyl)carbamic acid allyl ester (13aB). Allyl carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.99 mmol), benzaldehyde (101 µL, 0.99 mmol) 2-(chloromethyl)allyltrimethylsilane (179 µL, 0.99 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (125 µL, 0.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) were reacted for 20 h according to general procedure A to afford 13aB (221 mg, 0.79 mmol, 80%) as a colorless oil. Rₚ 0.54 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50-7.15 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.00-5.70 (m, 1H, C=CH₂), 5.40-5.10 (m, 4H, CH=C=CH₂ and C=C=CH₂), 4.90 (dd, J= 6.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H, NHCH₂), 4.54 (dd, J= 1.0, 5.6 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 4.20-3.90 (m, 2H, CH₂Cl), 2.85-2.50 (m, 2H, CH₂C=CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.5 (OCON), 141.8, 141.2 (Ar-C and C=CH₂), 132.6 (CH=CH₂), 128.6, 127.5, 126.0 (Ar-C), 117.8, 117.6 (C=CH₂ and CH=CH₂), 65.6 (OCH₂), 53.2 (NHCH), 47.7 (CH₂Cl), 40.3 (CH₂C=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3331, 3089, 3035, 2956, 1703, 1514, 1439, 1247, 1032, 994, 916. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C₁₅H₁₉NO₂Cl (M⁺ + H) 280.1104, found 280.1115.

(1-Phenylbut-3-ynyl)carbamic acid allyl ester (13aC). Allyl carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.99 mmol), benzaldehyde (101 µL, 0.99 mmol) allenyltributyltin (294 µL, 0.99 mmol) and
BF₃·OEt₂ (125 μL, 0.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) were reacted for 20 h according to general procedure A to afford 13aC (23 mg, 0.01 mmol, 10%) as a colorless oil. Rₜ 0.54 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60-7.10 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.00-5.70 (m, 1H, C=CH₂), 5.05-4.45 (m, 2H, CH=C=CH₂), 4.95 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H, NHCH), 4.55 (td, J = 1.3, 5.5 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 2.65 (dd, J = 2.6, 6.3 Hz, 2H, CH₂=CH), 2.10 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, C≡CH). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.0 (OCON), 142.2 (Ar-C), 132.5 (CH=CH₂), 130.5, 128.2, 127.7, 125.5 (Ar-C), 117.4 (CH=CH₂), 80.4 (C≡CH), 65.4 (OCH₂), 51.7 (NHCH), 29.2 (CH₂=CH). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3294, 3033, 2924, 2854, 1709, 1608, 1497, 1453, 1252, 1049.

(1-Cyanobenzyl)carbamic acid allyl ester (13aD). Allyl carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.99 mmol), benzaldehyde (101 μL, 0.99 mmol) trimethylsilyl cyanide (132 μL, 0.99 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (125 μL, 0.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) were reacted for 20 h according to general procedure A to afford 13aD (11 mg, 0.05 mmol, 5%) as a colorless oil. Rₜ 0.61 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60-7.30 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.00-5.70 (m, 1H, C=CH₂), 5.40-5.10 (m, 2H, CH=C=CH₂), 4.85-4.76 (m, 1H, NHC=CH), 4.66 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, OCH₂).

[1-(2-Oxocyclohexyl)benzyl]carbamic acid allyl ester (13aE). Allyl carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.99 mmol), benzaldehyde (101 μL, 0.99 mmol) 1-cyclohexenyloxytrimethylsilane (193 μL, 0.99 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (125 μL, 0.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) were reacted for 20 h according to general procedure A to afford 13aE (26 mg, 0.09 mmol, 10%) as a colorless oil. Rₜ 0.57 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.50-7.00 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.10-5.80 (m, 1H, C=CH₂), 5.35-5.10 (m, 2H, CH=C=CH₂), 5.10-4.90 (m, 1H, NHC=CH), 4.48 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.07 (dd, J = 4.7, 12.5 Hz, 1H, COC=CH), 2.90-2.75 (m, 2H, CH₂=CH), 2.56 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, CH₂), 2.40-2.15 (m, 4H, 2 × CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.7 (CH₂CO), 154.5 (OCON), 140.4 (Ar-C), 135.4 (CH=CH₂), 130.2, 128.1, 126.2 (Ar-C), 116.8 (CH=CH₂), 63.6 (OCH₂), 56.6 (COCH), 49.4 (NHCH), 38.4, 33.2, 26.4, 22.3 (4 × CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3364, 3029, 2936, 2861, 1752, 1709, 1650, 1506, 1448, 1378, 1242, 1088, 922.

[1-(2-Oxo-2-phenylethyl)benzyl]carbamic acid allyl ester (13aF). Allyl carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.99 mmol), benzaldehyde (101 μL, 0.99 mmol) 1-phenyl-1-(trimethylsilyloxy)ethylene (203 μL, 0.99 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (125 μL, 0.99 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) were reacted for 20 h according to general procedure A to afford 13aF (18 mg, 0.06 mmol, 6%) as a colorless oil. Rₜ 0.45 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60-7.00 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 6.10-5.80 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.35-5.10 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 5.10-4.90 (m, 1H, NHCH), 4.48 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.07 (dd, J = 4.7, 12.5 Hz, 1H, COCH₂), 2.90-2.75 (m, 2H, CH₂=CH₂), 2.56 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, CH₂), 2.40-2.15 (m, 4H, 2 × CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.3 (OCON), 141.1, 136.3, 134.3, 132.5, 128.9, 128.5, 127.2, 127.0, 121.7 (Ar-C and CH=CH₂), 117.5 (CH=CH₂), 65.5 (OCH₂), 51.4 (NHCH), 29.6 (COCH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3337, 3337, 3084, 2926, 1740, 1694, 1539, 1450, 1253, 1045, 931. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C₁₉H₂₀NO₃ (M⁺ + H) 310.1443, found 310.1447.

(1-Phenylbut-3-enyl)carbamic acid benzyl ester (15aA). Benzyl carbamate (1.20 g, 7.94 mmol), benzaldehyde (807 μL, 7.94 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (1.27 μL, 7.94 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (976 μL, 7.94 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) were reacted for 3 h at rt according to general procedure A to afford 15aA (2.05 g, 7.30 mmol, 92%) as a white solid. Mp 56 °C. Rₜ 0.40.
(EtOAc/PE 1:2). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.38-7.25 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 5.76-5.65 (m, 1H, $CH=CH_2$), 5.28 (br s, 1H, NH), 4.87-4.80 (m, 1H, NHCH), 2.56 (br s, 2H, $CH_2$CH=CH$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 155.6 (OCON), 136.3, 133.6, 129.9, 128.5, 128.1, 127.1, 126.2, 126.0 (Ar-C and CH=CH$_2$), 118.2 (CH=CH$_2$), 66.7 (OCH$_2$), 54.4 (NHCH), 40.9 (CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$). IR $\nu$, cm$^{-1}$ 3319, 3064, 1709, 1531, 1454, 1340, 1252, 1028, 917.

6-Benzoxycarbonylamino-6-phenylhex-3-enoic acid methyl ester (15aG). Benzyl carbamate (100 mg, 0.66 mmol), benzaldehyde (67 $\mu$L, 0.66 mmol), methyl 3-(trimethylsilyl)-4-pentenoate (137 $\mu$L, 0.66 mmol) and BF$_3$-OEt$_2$ (81 $\mu$L, 0.66 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 mL) were reacted for 4 h at rt according to general procedure A to afford 15aG (165 mg, 0.47 mmol, 71%) as a colorless oil. $R_f$ 0.35 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.38-7.25 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 5.28 (br s, 1H, NH), 5.12-5.03 (m, 2H, ArCH$_2$), 4.81 (br s, 1H, NHC$_H$), 3.65 (s, 3H, CO$_2$Me), 3.00 (d, $J$ = 6.8 Hz, 2H, C$_H$CO$_2$Me), 2.55-2.53 (m, 2H, CHC$_H$). Only one double bond isomer was found, the configuration of the double bond was established by a $^1$H NMR homo decoupling experiment. Upon irradiation at 3.00 ppm a $^3$J of 15.5 Hz between the two double bond signals was observed, which can be assigned to the (E)-isomer. $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 171.9 (CO$_2$Me), 155.7 (OCON), 141.8, 136.5, 129.6, 128.9, 128.5, 128.0, 127.2, 126.2, 125.6, 123.9 (Ar-C and CH=CH$_2$), 116.6, 115.5 (C=C=CH$_2$ and CH=CH$_2$). IR $\nu$, cm$^{-1}$ 3329, 3031, 2950, 1745, 1702, 1524, 1330, 1243, 1026, 970, 843. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C$_{21}$H$_{24}$NO$_4$ (M$^+$ + H) 354.1705, found 354.1716.

(2-Methylene-1-phenylbut-3-enyl)carbamic acid benzyl ester (15aJ). Benzyl carbamate (100 mg, 0.66 mmol), benzaldehyde (67 $\mu$L, 0.66 mmol), (allenylmethyl)trimethylsilane (158 $\mu$L, 0.99 mmol) and BF$_3$-OEt$_2$ (81 $\mu$L, 0.66 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 mL) were reacted for 4 h at rt according to general procedure A to afford 15aJ (120 mg, 0.41 mmol, 62%) as a colorless oil. $R_f$ 0.80 (EtOAc/PE 1:1). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.38-7.25 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 6.33 (dd, $J$ = 11.2, 17.8 Hz, 1H, $CH=CH_2$), 5.66 (br d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.19-5.07 (m, 7H, NHC$_H$, ArCH$_2$, C=CH$_2$ and CH=CH$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 155.2 (OCON), 145.6, 139.9, 136.2, 135.9, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.4, 126.9 (Ar-C, C=CH$_2$ and CH=CH$_2$), 116.6, 115.5 (C=CH$_2$ and CH=CH$_2$), 66.7 (ArCH$_2$), 55.9 (NHCH). IR $\nu$, cm$^{-1}$ 3320, 3031, 2950, 1745, 1702, 1524, 1330, 1243, 1026, 970, 843. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$NO$_2$ (M$^+$ + H) 294.1494, found 294.1495.

(3-Oxo-1-phenylbutyl)carbamic acid benzyl ester (15aK). Benzyl carbamate (100 mg, 0.66 mmol), benzaldehyde (67 $\mu$L, 0.66 mmol), isopropenyl acetate (73 $\mu$L, 0.66 mmol) and Sc(OTf)$_3$ (33 mg, 0.07 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 mL) were reacted for 18 h at rt according to general procedure A to afford 15aK (56 mg, 0.15 mmol, 28%) as a colorless oil. $R_f$ 0.35 (EtOAc/PE 1:1). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.38-7.23 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.80 (br d, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.18-5.05 (m, 3H, ArCH$_2$ and NHCH), 3.08-3.04 (m, 2H, MeCOCH$_2$), 2.91 (dd, $J$ = 5.3, 16.3 Hz, 1H, MeCOCH$_2$), 2.07 (s, 3H, MeCO). $^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 206.4 (MeCO), 155.4 (OCON), 141.0, 136.2, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.9, 127.3, 126.4 (Ar-C), 66.5 (ArCH$_2$), 51.3
(NHCH), 48.7 (MeCOCH₂), 30.4 (MeCO). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3302, 3026, 2932, 1718, 1702, 1524, 1340, 1251. HRMS (EI+) calculated for C₁₈H₁₉NO₃ (M⁺) 297.1365, found 297.1362.

(1-Phenylbut-3-enyl)carbamic acid 2-benzylsulfonylethyl ester (17aA). Carbamate 8 (500 mg, 1.96 mmol), benzaldehyde (200 µL, 1.96 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (312 µL, 1.96 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (241 µL, 1.96 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) were reacted for 4 h at rt according to general procedure A to afford 17aA (672 mg, 1.75 mmol, 89%) as a white solid. Rᵥ 0.38 (EtOAc/PE 1:1). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41-7.27 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 5.71-5.63 (m, 1H, C=CH₂), 5.19-5.08 (m, 3H, NH and CH=C₂H₂), 4.78 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H, NHC₃H), 4.46 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 4.24 (s, 2H, ArC₂H₂), 3.15 (br s, 2H, SO₂C₂H₂), 2.57-2.53 (m, 2H, C₃H₂CH=CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.5 (OCCON), 133.5, 130.6, 129.0, 128.9, 128.6, 127.4, 126.1 (Ar-C and C₃H=CH₂), 118.5 (CH=CH₂), 60.6, 57.8 (OCC₂H₂ and ArC₂H₂), 54.6 (NHCH), 50.7 (SO₂C₂H₂), 40.8 (C₂H₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3345, 3033, 2956, 1714, 1537, 1305, 1253, 1118, 920.

(1-Benzylbut-3-enyl)carbamic acid 2-benzylsulfonylethyl ester (17fA). Carbamate 8 (500 mg, 2.06 mmol), phenylacetaldehyde diethyl acetal (340 µL, 2.06 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (328 µL, 2.06 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (253 µL, 2.06 mmol) in MeCN (10 mL) were reacted for 6 h at 50 ºC according to general procedure A to afford 17fA (652 mg, 1.68 mmol, 82%) as a white solid. Rᵥ 0.47 (EtOAc/PE 1:1). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39-7.16 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 5.82-5.74 (m, 1H, C=CH₂), 5.12-5.08 (m, 2H, CH=C₂H₂), 4.67 (br d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, NH), 4.43 (br s, 2H, OCC₂H₂), 4.20 (s, 2H, ArC₂H₂SO₂), 4.00-3.95 (m, 1H, NHC₃H), 3.13 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H, SO₂C₂H₂), 2.80 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, ArCH₂CH), 2.34-2.13 (m, 2H, C₃H₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3348, 3029, 2978, 1715, 1530, 1284, 1245, 1123.

(1-Phenylbut-3-enyl)carbamic acid 2-benzylsulfanylethyl ester (18aA). Carbamate 9 (500 mg, 2.37 mmol), benzaldehyde (241 µL, 2.37 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (378 µL, 2.37 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (291 µL, 2.37 mmol) in MeCN (15 mL) were reacted for 4 h at rt according to general procedure A to afford 18aA (526 mg, 1.54 mmol, 65%) as a white solid. Rᵥ 0.29 (EtOAc/PE 1:2). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39-7.22 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 5.74-5.64 (m, 1H, C=CH₂), 5.15-5.05 (m, 3H, NH and CH=C₂H₂), 4.79 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H, NHCH), 4.16 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.73 (j, d, 3J = 2.8 Hz, 2H, ArCH₂), 2.67-2.53 (m, 4H, SCH₂ and CH₂CH=CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.3 (OCCON), 141.8, 133.6, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.2, 127.0, 126.1 (Ar-C and CH=CH₂), 118.3 (CH=CH₂), 63.8 (OCC₂H₂), 54.3 (NHCH), 43.1, 36.2, 30.0 (ArCH₂, SCH₂ and CH₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3348, 3029, 2978, 1715, 1530, 1284, 1245, 1123.

(1-Hexylbut-3-enyl)carbamic acid 2-benzylsulfanylethyl ester (18gA). Carbamate 9 (50 mg, 0.24 mmol), n-heptanal diethyl acetal (49 mg, 0.26 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (38 µL, 0.24 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (29 µL, 0.24 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) were reacted for 18 h at rt according to general procedure A to afford 18gA (54 mg, 0.15 mmol, 66%) as a colorless oil. Rᵥ 0.49 (EtOAc/PE 1:3). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35-7.22 (m, 3H, NH and CH=CH₂), 5.15-5.05 (m, 3H, NH and CH=CH₂), 4.79 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H, NHCH), 4.16 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.73 (j, d, 3J = 2.8 Hz, 2H, ArCH₂), 2.67-2.53 (m, 4H, SCH₂ and CH₂CH=CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.3 (OCCON), 141.8, 133.6, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.2, 127.0, 126.1 (Ar-C and CH=CH₂), 118.3 (CH=CH₂), 63.8 (OCC₂H₂), 54.3 (NHCH), 43.1, 36.2, 30.0 (ArCH₂, SCH₂ and CH₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3326, 3029, 2919, 1707, 1524, 1453, 1250, 1010.
Preparation of bis-carbamate 21 and homoallylic carbamate 15hA. Benzyl carbamate (100 mg, 0.66 mmol) was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 mL), phthalimidoacetaldehyde diethyl acetal (174 mg, 0.66 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (106 µL, 0.66 mmol) and BF$_3$⋅OEt$_2$ (81 µL, 0.66 mmol) were subsequently added, after 5 min a white precipitate was formed and the resulting suspension was stirred for 18 h at rt. Then, saturated aqueous NaHCO$_3$ (3 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 × 2 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous saturated NaCl (5 mL), dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting solid material was triturated with EtOAc/PE (1:1, 2 mL) and filtrated. The white, solid residue was washed with EtOAc/PE (1:1, 2 × 2 mL), the combined filtrates were concentrated in vacuo and purified using column chromatography resulting in 25 mg (0.17 mmol, 25%) of benzyl carbamate and 57 mg (0.16 mmol, 24%) of homoallylic carbamate 15hA. The solid residue was dried in vacuo to afford 78 mg (0.17 mmol, 25%) of bis-carbamate 21 as a white solid.

[1-Benzzyloxycarbonylamino-2-(1,3-dioxo-1,3-dihydroisoindol-2-yl)ethyl]carbamic acid benzyl ester (21). $R_f$ 0.05 (EtOAc/PE 1:1). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.85-7.82 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.73-7.71 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.31-7.26 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 5.87 (br d, $J$ = 6.5 Hz, 2H, 2 × NH), 5.29 (br s, 1H, NHCH$_2$NH), 5.07 (s, 4H, 2 × ArC$_2$H$_2$), 4.16-4.11 (m, 2H, NC$_2$H$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 167.9 (C$_{ON}$C$_{O}$), 155.1 (2 × O$_C$ON), 135.5, 134.0, 131.5, 128.2, 127.9, 127.8, 123.4 (Ar-C), 66.8 (2 × ArC$_2$H$_2$), 59.0 (NHCH$_2$NH), 40.0 (NCH$_2$). IR $\nu$, cm$^{-1}$ 3306, 1709, 1509, 1398, 1236, 1031. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C$_{26}$H$_{24}$N$_3$O$_6$ (M$^+$ + H) 474.1665, found 474.1649.

Bis-carbamate 21 (70 mg, 0.15 mmol) was dissolved in MeCN (2 mL), allyltrimethylsilane (24 µL, 0.15 mmol) and BF$_3$⋅OEt$_2$ (18 µL, 0.15 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at rt. Then, saturated aqueous NaHCO$_3$ (3 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 × 2 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous saturated NaCl (5 mL), dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified using column chromatography (EtOAc/PE 1:1) to afford a second portion of 15hA (20 mg, 0.06 mmol, 37%) as a colorless oil.

[1-(1,3-Dioxo-1,3-dihydroisoindol-2-ylmethyl)but-3-enyl]carbamic acid benzyl ester (15hA). $R_f$ 0.45 (EtOAc/PE 1:1). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.83-7.81 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.30-7.22 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.89-5.78 (m 1H, CH=CH$_2$), 5.16-4.91 (m, 5H, CH=CH$_2$, NH and ArCH$_2$), 4.12-4.10 (m, 1H, NHCH$_2$), 3.81-3.70 (m, 2H, NC$_2$H$_2$), 2.40-2.27 (m, 2H, CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 168.4 (CONCO), 155.6 (OCON), 136.4, 133.9, 133.0, 131.8, 128.2, 127.8, 127.7, 123.3 (Ar-C and CH=CH$_2$), 118.6 (CH=CH$_2$), 66.4 (ArCH$_2$), 50.0 (NHCH), 41.2 (NCH$_2$), 37.2 (CH$_2$CH=CH$_2$). IR $\nu$, cm$^{-1}$ 3360, 3068, 2912, 1773, 1723, 1526, 1397, 1253, 1009. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C$_{21}$H$_{21}$N$_2$O$_4$ (M$^+$ + H) 365.1501, found 365.1497.
General procedure B for the N-acyliminium ion reaction with carbamate 11

Carbamate 11 was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for the indicated time at rt. Then, aqueous Na$_2$CO$_3$ (10%) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 ×), the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous saturated NaCl, dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified using column chromatography (EtOAc/PE).

(1-Phenylbut-3-enyl)carbamic acid benzyl ester (15aA) from 11. Carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.28 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (89 µL, 0.56 mmol) and BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (71 µL, 0.56 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 mL), were reacted for 18 h according to general procedure B to afford 15aA (62 mg, 0.22 mmol, 80%) as a colorless oil which was identical to the compound obtained from benzyl carbamate (14).

(2-Methylene-1-phenylbut-3-enyl)carbamic acid benzyl ester (15aJ) from 11. Carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.28 mmol), (allenylmethyl)trimethylsilane (45 µL, 0.56 mmol) and BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (71 µL, 0.56 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 mL), were reacted for 18 h according to general procedure B to afford 15aJ (44 mg, 0.15 mmol, 53%) as a colorless oil which was identical to the compound obtained from benzyl carbamate (14).

[1-(5-Oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yl)benzyl]carbamic acid benzyl ester (15aL) from 11. Carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.28 mmol), 2-timethylsilyloxy-furan (70 µL, 0.42 mmol) and BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (34 µL, 0.28 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1.5 mL), were reacted for 1 h at at -78 °C, the mixture was allowed to warm up to rt and reacted for 18 h at this temperature according to general procedure B to afford 15aL (46 mg, 0.14 mmol, 51%) as a white solid. R$_f$ 0.74 (EtOAc/PE 1:1). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.47 (m, 11H, Ar-H and COCH=CH$_2$), 6.09 (dd, $J$ = 2.0, 5.7 Hz, 1H, COCH=CH), 5.34 (br s, 1H, NH), 5.14-5.03 (m, 4H, ArCH$_2$, NHCH and CH=CHCH$_3$). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 170.2 (OCO), 153.9 (OCO), 137.4, 135.8, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.0 (Ar-C), 122.6 (COCH=CH), 110.5 (COCH=CH), 84.7 (CH=CHCH), 67.1 (ArCH$_2$), 55.7 (NHCH). IR v, cm$^{-1}$ 3312, 3034, 1760, 1702, 1533, 1247, 1160, 1052, 819. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{18}$NO$_4$ (M$^+$ + H) 324.1236, found 324.1240.

(1-(Furan-2-ylbenzyl)carbamic acid benzyl ester (15aM) from 11. Carbamate 11 (100 mg, 0.28 mmol) was dissolved in furan (1.5 mL), camphorsulfonic acid monohydrate (65 mg, 0.28 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at rt. Then, 10% aqueous Na$_2$CO$_3$ (3 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 × 2 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous saturated NaCl (5 mL), dried (MgSO$_4$) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified using column chromatography (EtOAc/PE 1:2) to afford 15aM (47 mg, 0.15 mmol, 55%) as a white solid. R$_f$ 0.56 (EtOAc/PE 1:1). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.39-7.28 (m, 11H, Ar-H) 6.31 (dd, $J$ = 1.9, 3.1 Hz, 1H, furan), 6.15 (br s, 1H, furan), 6.02 (br d, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.52 (br s, 1H, NHCH), 5.16-5.09 (m, 2H, ArCH$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 155.4 (OCO), 153.5, 139.4, 136.1, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.8, 126.8 (Ar-C), 110.2, 107.4 (furan), 67.0 (ArCH$_2$), 53.1 (NHCH). IR v, cm$^{-1}$ 3307, 3034, 1760, 1702, 1533, 1247, 1160, 1052, 819. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{18}$NO$_3$ (M$^+$ + H) 308.1287, found 308.1280.
Benzyloxycarbonylaminophenylacetic acid (22).

Furan 15aM (37 mg, 0.12 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (2.5 mL) and cooled to -78 ºC. O₃ was bubbled through the cold solution until the reaction mixture turned blue, then some O₂ was bubbled through until the reaction mixture turned colorless again. The solution was allowed to warm up to rt, concentrated in vacuo and purified using column chromatography (EtOAc/PE, 1:1, 10% AcOH) to afford 22 (26 mg, 0.10 mmol, 79%).

**1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (br s, 1H, CO₂H), 7.54-7.34 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 5.87 (br d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.39 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H, NHCH₂), 5.10 (s, 2H, ArCH₂).

**13C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.3 (C O₂H), 155.4 (O C ON), 137.1, 135.8, 130.1, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.1 (Ar-C), 67.2 (Ar CH₂), 57.7 (NH CH). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3340, 3032, 1718, 1498, 1410, 1345, 1215, 1051. HRMS (EI+) calculated for C₁₆H₁₅NO₄ (M⁺) 285.1001, found 285.1007.

**General procedure C** for the three component reaction with resin 23

SEC resin 23 was suspended in CH₂Cl₂. The aldehyde or acetal (3 equiv), nucleophile (3 equiv) and Lewis acid (1.5 equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for the indicated time, filtered off, washed with CH₂Cl₂, EtOH (these steps were repeated four times) and Et₂O (2 ×) and dried in vacuo. Then, the resin was suspended in THF/MeOH (2:1), NaOMe (3 equiv) was added and the suspension was stirred for 3 h at rt. The reaction mixture was filtered off, the resin was washed with THF (2 ×), neutralized with HCl and diluted with saturated aqueous NaCl solution. The layers were separated, the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (4 ×), and the collected organic phases were concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified using SPE chromatography (Isolute, silica, solvent system: 0 → 10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂).

**1-Phenylbut-3-enylamine (25aA).**

Resin 23 (150 mg, 0.17 mmol), benzaldehyde (52 µL, 0.51 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (82 µL, 0.51 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (32 µL, 0.26 mmol) were reacted for 3 h according to general procedure C to afford resin 24aA. IR ν 3655, 3360, 1724. After subsequent cleavage and purification, 25aA (20 mg, 0.14 mmol, 80%) was obtained as a colorless oil. Rƒ 0.20 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1). **1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.34-7.22 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.81-5.70 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.15-5.07 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 3.99 (dd, J = 8.0, 5.4 Hz, 1H, NH₂C H), 2.51-2.33 (m, 2H, C H₂CH=CH₂), 1.66 (br s, 2H, N H₂). **13C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.6 (Ar-H), 133.6 (C H=CH₂), 128.6, 127.4, 126.6 (Ar-C), 118.6 (CH=CH₂), 55.4 (NH₂CH), 41.7 (CH₂CH=CH₂). IR ν 3385, 1642. HRMS (EI) calculated for C₁₀H₁₃N (M⁺) 147.1048 found, 147.1037.

**1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)but-3-enylamine (25bA).**

Resin 23 (150 mg, 0.17 mmol), p-anisaldehyde (62 µL, 0.51 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (82 µL, 0.51 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (32 µL, 0.26 mmol) were reacted for 3 h according to  general procedure C to afford resin 24bA. IR ν 3634, 3360, 1724. After subsequent cleavage and purification, 25bA (24 mg, 0.14 mmol, 79%) was obtained as a colorless oil. Rƒ 0.20 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1). **1H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 5.71-5.60 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.11-5.03 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 4.61 (br s, 2H, NH₂H), 3.99 (dd, J = 14.2, 6.8 Hz, 1H, NH₂CH), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.51 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH=CH₂). MS (ESI) calculated for C₁₁H₁₄NO (M⁺ + H) 178, found 178.
1-(4-Cyanophenyl)but-3-enylamine (25cA). Resin 23 (150 mg, 0.17 mmol), 4-cyanobenzaldehyde (67 mg, 0.51 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (82 µL, 0.51 mmol) and BF₃-OEt₂ (32 µL, 0.26 mmol) were reacted for 3 h according to general procedure C to afford resin 24cA. IR ν 3637, 3501, 2229, 1723. After subsequent cleavage and purification 25cA (12 mg, 0.07 mmol, 39%) was obtained as a colorless oil. Rₖ 0.24 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 5.76-5.65 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.13-5.01 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 4.07 (dd, J = 7.6, 5.6 Hz, 1H, NH₂), 2.47-2.29 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 1.60 (br s, 2H, NH₂). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.9 (Ar-C) 132.2 (CH=CH₂), 127.6, 127.1 (Ar-C), 119.1, 118.5 (CN, CH=CH₂) 111.2 (Ar-C), 55.6 (NH₂), 42.1 (CH₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3339, 2228, 1641. HRMS (EI+) calculated for C₁₁H₁₀N (M⁺ – NH₃) 156.0813 found, 156.0821.

1-(4-Nitrophenyl)but-3-enylamine (25dA). Resin 23 (150 mg, 0.17 mmol), 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (78 mg, 0.51 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (82 µL, 0.51 mmol) and BF₃-OEt₂ (32 µL, 0.26 mmol) were reacted for 3 h according to general procedure C to afford resin 24dA. IR ν 3625, 3505, 1726, 1523, 1352. After subsequent cleavage and purification 25dA (1 mg, 0.01 mmol, <3%) was obtained as a colorless oil. Rₖ 0.17 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.19 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 5.75-5.67 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.30-5.06 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 4.14 (dd, J = 5.3, 7.8 Hz, 1H, NH₂), 2.48-2.32 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 1.75 (br s, 2H, NH₂). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 151.7, 146.2 (Ar-C), 134.0 (CH=CH₂), 127.2, 123.6 (Ar-C), 118.6 (CH=CH₂), 54.7 (NH₂), 43.6 (CH₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3356, 1598, 1520, 1345. HRMS (EI+) calculated for C₁₀H₁₃N₂O₂ (M⁺ – NH₃) 193.0977 found, 193.0974.

1-(Thiophen-3-yl)but-3-enylamine (25iA). Resin 23 (150 mg, 0.19 mmol), 3-thiophene carboxaldehyde (49 µL, 0.56 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (89 µL, 0.56 mmol) and BF₃-OEt₂ (36 µL, 0.28 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25iA (17 mg, 0.11 mmol, 60%) as a pale yellow oil. Rₖ 0.15 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43 (dd, J = 1.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.31-7.21 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 5.67-5.56 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.16-5.09 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 4.40-4.37 (m, 1H, NH₂), 2.85-2.68 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=CH₂). 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 136.6, 131.2, 126.7, 126.1, 124.2 (Ar-C and CH=CH₂), 120.1 (CH=CH₂), 51.0 (NH₂), 38.3 (CH₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 2908, 1598, 1509, 1422, 1087, 994, 924. HRMS (EI+) calculated for C₁₈H₁₁NS (M⁺) 193.0977 found, 193.0974.

1-Benzylbut-3-enylamine (25fA). 31 Resin 23 (200 mg, 0.28 mmol), phenylacetaldehyde diethyl acetal (158 µL, 1.12 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (179 µL, 1.12 mmol) and BF₃-OEt₂ (69 µL, 0.56 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25fA (18 mg, 0.11 mmol, 39%) as a colorless oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33-7.23 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.89-5.79 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂), 5.29-5.51 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 3.53-3.46 (m, 1H, NH₂), 3.23 (dd, J = 5.4, 13.7 Hz, 1H, ArCH₂), 2.93 (dd, J = 9.0, 13.6 Hz, 1H, ArCH₂), 2.47-2.43 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH=CH₂). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 135.5, 131.3, 126.7, 126.1, 124.2 (Ar-C and CH=CH₂), 120.1 (CH=CH₂), 51.0 (NH₂), 38.3 (CH₂CH=CH₂). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 2908, 1598, 1509, 1422, 1087, 994, 924. HRMS (EI+) calculated for C₁₈H₁₁NS (M⁺) 153.0612, found 153.0608.
3027, 2904, 1598, 1496, 1076, 996, 920. HRMS (EI+) calculated for C_{11}H_{15}N (M^+) 161.1204, found 161.1208.

1-Hexylbut-3-enylamine (25gA).\textsuperscript{32} Resin 23 (200 mg, 0.28 mmol), heptanal diethyl acetal (156 mg, 0.83 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (132 µL, 0.83 mmol) and BF\textsubscript{3}OEt\textsubscript{2} (51 µL, 0.41 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25gA (25 mg, 0.16 mmol, 58%) as a colorless oil. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 5.87-5.77 (m, 1H, CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 5.26-5.21 (m, 2H, CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 3.24-3.18 (m, 1H, NH\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{H}), 2.53-2.45 (m, 2H, C\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{2}CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.79-1.63 (m, 2H, CHC\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{2}), 1.48-1.22 (m, 8H, (C\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{4}), 0.88-0.85 (m, 3H, C\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{3}). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 132.3 (C\textsubscript{H}=CH\textsubscript{2}), 120.2 (CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 51.8 (NH\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{H}), 45.8, 36.7, 32.1, 28.8, 25.1, 22.4 (C\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{2}CH=CH\textsubscript{2}, (C\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{5}), 8.48 (C\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{3}). IR \( \nu \), cm\textsuperscript{-1} 2952, 2899, 1605, 1516, 995. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C\textsubscript{13}H\textsubscript{30}NO\textsubscript{2}S (M^+ + H + thioglycerol) 264.1997, found 264.1993.

1-Cyclohexylbut-3-enylamine (25jA).\textsuperscript{33} Resin 23 (200 mg, 0.28 mmol), cyclohexane carboxaldehyde diethyl acetal (16j, 208 mg, 1.12 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (179 µL, 1.12 mmol) and BF\textsubscript{3}OEt\textsubscript{2} (69 µL, 0.56 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25jA (15 mg, 0.10 mmol, 35%) as a colorless oil. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 5.89-5.78 (m, 1H, CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 5.28-5.19 (m, 2H, CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 3.09-3.04 (m, 1H, NH\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{H}), 2.52-2.48 (m, 2H, C\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{2}CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.84-1.64 (m, 6H, c-He), 1.35-1.10 (m, 5H, c-Hex). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 132.1 (C\textsubscript{H}=CH\textsubscript{2}), 119.8 (CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 56.7 (NH\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{H}), 39.0 (c-Hex), 34.2, 28.8, 27.8, 25.8, 25.7 (C\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{2}CH=CH\textsubscript{2} and c-Hex). IR \( \nu \), cm\textsuperscript{-1} 2928, 2854, 1602, 1515, 1447, 993.

1-Styrylbut-3-enylamine (25kA).\textsuperscript{30} Resin 23 (200 mg, 0.28 mmol), (E)-cinnamaldehyde diethyl acetal (171 mg, 0.83 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (132 µL, 0.83 mmol) and BF\textsubscript{3}OEt\textsubscript{2} (51 µL, 0.41 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25kA (22 mg, 0.13 mmol, 46%) as a colorless oil. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 7.37-7.21 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.69 (d, \( J = 16.0 \) Hz, 1H, PhCH=CH), 6.20 (dd, \( J = 8.0, 16.0 \) Hz, 1H, PhCH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 5.74-5.63 (m, 1H, NH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 5.17-5.10 (m, 2H, CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 3.91-3.67 (m, 1H, NH\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{H}), 2.73-2.54 (m, 2H, CH\textsubscript{2}CH=CH\textsubscript{2}). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 135.8, 135.5, 131.4, 128.6, 128.4, 126.9, 123.8 (Ar-C, PhCH=CH and CH=CH\textsubscript{2}) 120.3 (CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 53.8 (NH\textsubscript{2}CH), 37.8 (C\textsubscript{H}\textsubscript{2}CH=CH\textsubscript{2}). IR \( \nu \), cm\textsuperscript{-1} 3383, 2980, 2884, 1601, 1495, 1073, 968, 922.

1-(4-Nitrophenyl)but-3-enylamine (25dA). Resin 23 (200 mg, 0.28 mmol), 4-nitrobenzaldehyde diethyl acetal (189 mg, 0.83 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (132 µL, 0.83 mmol) and BF\textsubscript{3}OEt\textsubscript{2} (51 µL, 0.41 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25dA (4 mg, 0.02 mmol, 7%) as a colorless oil which was identical to the product obtained with 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (12d).

6-Amino-6-phenylhex-3-enoic acid methyl ester (25aG). Resin 23 (150 mg, 0.19 mmol), benzaldehyde (57 µL, 0.56 mmol), methyl 3-(trimethylsilyl)-4-pentenoate (116 µL, 0.56 mmol) and BF\textsubscript{3}OEt\textsubscript{2} (36 µL, 0.28 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25aG (17 mg, 0.08 mmol, 42%) as a colorless oil. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 7.44-7.27 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.69-5.52 (m, 1H, MeO\textsubscript{2}CCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH=CH), 5.42-5.30 (m, 1H, MeO\textsubscript{2}CCH\textsubscript{2}CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 4.14-4.09 (m, 1H, NH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 3.62 (s, 3H, CO\textsubscript{2}Me), 3.00 (d, \( J = 6.8 \) Hz, 2H, MeO\textsubscript{2}CCH\textsubscript{2}CH=CH\textsubscript{2}), 2.69-2.60 (m, 2H, CH\textsubscript{2}CH=CH\textsubscript{2}). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 172.1 (CO\textsubscript{2}Me), 128.9, 128.7, 127.5, 127.1, 126.7.
(Ar-C and $2 \times CH=CH$), 55.6 (CO$_2$Me), 51.7 (NH$_2$CH), 39.2, 37.5 (MeO$_2$CCH$_2$ and CHCH$_3$). IR $\nu$, cm$^{-1}$ 3250, 2946, 1722, 1602, 1597, 1256, 1198, 1167. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C$_{13}$H$_{18}$NO$_2$ (M$^+$+ H) 200.1338, found 200.1346.

2-Methylene-1-phenylbut-3-enylamine (25aJ). Resin 23 (150 mg, 0.19 mmol), benzaldehyde (57 $\mu$L, 0.56 mmol), (allenylmethyl)trimethylsilane (90 $\mu$L, 0.56 mmol) and BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (36 $\mu$L, 0.28 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25aJ (18 mg, 0.06 mmol, 30%) as a colorless oil. $R_f$ 0.23 (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH 9:1). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.43-7.31 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.84 (dd, $J = 11.7$, 22.5 Hz, 1H, CH$_2$=CH), 5.39 (d, $J = 18.4$ Hz, 2H, =CH$_2$), 5.04-4.98 (m, 3H, =CH$_2$ and NH$_2$CH), 3.92, 3.77 (MeO$_2$CCH$_2$ and CH$_2$CH$_2$). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 141.2, 135.0 (Ar-C and CH$_2$=CH), 135.3 (CH$_2$=CH), 129.0, 128.9, 128.1 (Ar-C), 117.4, 116.2 (=CH$_2$), 55.7 (NH$_2$CH). IR $\nu$, cm$^{-1}$ 2894, 2709, 1599, 1519, 1073, 1029, 908.

6-Amino-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)hex-3-enoic acid methyl ester (25bG). Resin 23 (150 mg, 0.19 mmol), 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (68 $\mu$L, 0.56 mmol), methyl 3-(trimethylsilyl)-4-pentenoate (116 $\mu$L, 0.56 mmol) and BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (36 $\mu$L, 0.28 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25bG (17 mg, 0.07 mmol, 37%) as a colorless oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.45 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.88 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 5.72-5.64 (m, 1H, MeO$_2$CCH$_2$CH=CH), 5.34-5.26 (m, 1H, MeO$_2$CCH$_2$CH=CH), 4.15 (br s, 1H, NH$_2$CH), 3.77, 3.66 (CO$_2$Me and OMe), 2.98 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H, MeO$_2$CCH$_2$), 2.44-2.32 (m, 2H, CHCH$_2$). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 170.6 (CO$_2$Me), 159.8, 129.4, 128.7, 127.8, 126.0, 114.2 (Ar-C and $2 \times$ CH=CH), 35.2, 31.9, 52.0 (CO$_2$Me, NH$_2$CH and OMe), 37.5, 36.1 (MeO$_2$CCH$_2$ and CHCH$_2$). IR $\nu$, cm$^{-1}$ 3384, 2958, 1734, 1614, 1518, 1182, 1031. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C$_{12}$H$_{17}$NO (M$^+$-C$_2$H$_2$O$_2$) 190.1310, found 190.1218.

1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-methylenebut-3-enylamine (25bJ). Resin 23 (150 mg, 0.19 mmol), 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (68 $\mu$L, 0.56 mmol), (allenylmethyl)trimethylsilane (90 $\mu$L, 0.56 mmol) and BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (36 $\mu$L, 0.28 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25bJ (17 mg, 0.09 mmol, 48%) as a colorless oil. $R_f$ 0.18 (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH 9:1). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.35 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.83 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 5.72-5.64 (m, 1H, MeO$_2$CCH$_2$CH=CH), 5.34-5.26 (m, 1H, MeO$_2$CCH$_2$CH=CH), 4.15 (br s, 1H, NH$_2$CH), 3.77, 3.66 (CO$_2$Me and OMe), 2.98 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H, MeO$_2$CCH$_2$), 2.44-2.21 (m, 2H, CHCH$_2$). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 170.6 (CO$_2$Me), 159.8, 129.4, 128.7, 128.7, 126.0, 114.2 (Ar-C and $2 \times$ CH=CH), 55.2, 55.1, 52.0 (CO$_2$Me, NH$_2$CH and OMe), 37.5, 36.1 (MeO$_2$CCH$_2$ and CHCH$_2$). IR $\nu$, cm$^{-1}$ 3220, 3031, 1616, 1519, 1466, 1257, 1182, 1035, 908, 835. HRMS (EI+) calculated for C$_{12}$H$_{15}$NO (M$^+$) 189.1154, found 189.1154.

6-Amino-6-thiophen-3-ylhex-3-enoic acid methyl ester (25iG). Resin 23 (150 mg, 0.19 mmol), 3-thiophene carboxaldehyde (49 $\mu$L, 0.56 mmol), methyl 3-(trimethylsilyl)-4-pentenoate (116 $\mu$L, 0.56 mmol) and BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (36 $\mu$L, 0.28 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25iG (15 mg, 0.07 mmol, 36%) as a colorless oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.45 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.31 (dd, $J = 2.8$, 4.9 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.23 (d, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.74-5.68 (m, 1H, MeO$_2$CCH$_2$CH=CH), 5.43-5.35 (m, 1H, MeO$_2$CCH$_2$CH=CH), 4.41 (br s, 1H, NH$_2$CH), 3.63 (s, 3H, CO$_2$Me), 3.03 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, MeO$_2$CCH$_2$), 2.86-2.69 (m, 2H, CHCH$_2$). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 172.2 (CO$_2$Me), 136.5,
127.8, 127.1, 126.0, 124.3, 122.2 (Ar-C and CH=CH), 52.3, 50.8 (NH2CH and CO2Me), 37.5, 37.2 (MeO2CCH2 and CH2CH2). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3232, 2984, 1718, 1637, 1509, 1438, 1284, 1206, 974. HRMS (FAB⁺) calculated for C11H16NO2S (M⁺ + H) 226.0902, found 226.0907.

2-Methylene-1-thiophen-3-ylbut-3-enylamine (25iJ). Resin 23 (150 mg, 0.19 mmol), thiophene carboxaldehyde (49 µL, 0.56 mmol), (allenylmethyl)trimethylsilane (90 µL, 0.56 mmol) and BF₃⋅OEt₂ (36 µL, 0.28 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25iJ (18 mg, 0.08 mmol, 36%) as a colorless oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.44 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 7.27 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.16 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.28 (dd, J = 11.2, 17.7 Hz, 1H, CH=CH2), 5.51-5.08 (m, 5H, CH=C=CH2, C=C=CH2 and NH2CH). 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) δ 141.3 (C=CH2), 135.5 (Ar-C), 134.9 (CH=CH2), 126.8, 126.5, 125.4 (Ar-C), 117.3, 116.2 (C=CH2 and CH=CH2), 50.5 (NH2CH). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3032, 2884, 1599, 1515, 1419, 1235, 1082, 996, 907. HRMS (EI⁺) calculated for C9H11NS (M⁺) 165.0612, found 165.0617.

(1-Benzotriazolylphenylmethyl)carbamic acid 2-sulfonylethyl ester resin (26a). Resin 23 (1.00 g, 1.38 mmol) was suspended in toluene (10 mL), benzaldehyde (421 µL, 4.14 mmol), 1H-benzotriazole (493 mg, 4.14 mmol) and pTsOH (39 mg, 0.21 mmol) were added and heated to reflux temperature. The reflux condenser was placed on top of a pressure-equalizing dropping funnel filled with 4Å MS and the mixture was refluxed for 18 h. The suspension was filtered, the resin was washed with CH2Cl2 (10 mL), EtOH (2 mL, the last two steps were repeated four times) and Et2O (2 × 10 mL). After drying in vacuo (50 ºC) resin 26a was obtained. IR ν 3560, 3023, 2919, 2852, 1728, 1601, 1492, 1310, 1118.

1-Phenylbut-3-enylamine (25aA) from resin 26a. Resin 26a (150 mg, 0.16 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (51 µL, 0.32 mmol) and BF₃⋅OEt₂ (39 µL, 0.32 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25aA (17 mg, 0.11 mmol, 71% from resin 23) as a colorless oil which was identical to the product obtained directly from resin 23.

1-(Furan-2-yl)benzylamine (25aM) from resin 26a. Resin 26a (150 mg, 0.16 mmol) and camphorsulfonic acid monohydrate (76 mg, 0.32 mmol) were suspended in furan (1.5 mL) and were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25aM (14 mg, 0.08 mmol, 50% from resin 23) as a colorless oil. Rf 0.41 (CH2Cl2/MeOH 9:1). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.46-7.27 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 6.30 (dd, J = 1.8, 3.1 Hz, 1H, furan), 6.10 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H, furan), 5.18 (s, 1H, NH2CH). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 148.9, 134.1, 129.1, 128.9, 128.1, (Ar-C and furan), 110.6, 109.7 (furan), 53.0 (NH2CH). IR ν, cm⁻¹ 3169, 2933, 2819, 1743, 1619, 1526, 1187, 1042. HRMS (EI⁺) calculated for C11H11NO (M⁺) 173.0841, found 173.0838.

2-(2,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)benzylamine (25aN) from resin 26a. Resin 26a (100 mg, 0.11 mmol) and camphorsulfonic acid monohydrate (76 mg, 0.32 mmol) were suspended in 1,3-dimethoxybenzene (1.0 mL) and were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25aN (5 mg, 0.02 mmol, 20% from resin 23) as a colorless oil. Rf 0.15 (CH2Cl2/MeOH 9:1). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.41-7.11 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 6.44-6.41 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 5.45 (s, 1H, NH2CH), 3.78 (2, 3H, OMe), 3.77 (s, 3H, OMe). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3), 160.0, 157.6, 130.0, 129.4, 128.9, 127.7, 127.6, 125.7, 104.0, 98.7 (Ar-C), 55.3, 55.2 (OMe), 53.6 (NH2CH). IR ν, cm⁻¹
3361, 3007, 2935, 1612, 1587, 1454, 1293, 1209, 1034. HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C_{14}H_{21}N_{2}O_{5} (M^+ + H) 297.1450, found 297.1459.

1-(4-Nitrophenyl)but-3-enylamine (25dA) via Bt-derivative 26d. Resin 23 (1.00 g, 1.38 mmol) was suspended in toluene (10 mL), 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (421 µL, 4.14 mmol), 1-H-benzotriazole (493 mg, 4.14 mmol) and pTsOH (39 mg, 0.21 mmol) were added and heated to reflux temperature. The reflux condenser was placed on top of a pressure-equalizing dropping funnel filled with 4Å MS and the mixture was refluxed for 18 h. The suspension was filtered, the resin was washed with CH_{2}Cl_{2} (10 mL), EtOH (2 mL, the last two steps were repeated four times) and Et_{2}O (2 × 10 mL). After drying in vacuo (50 ºC) resin 26d was obtained. IR ν 3545, 3018, 2957, 1726, 1524, 1353. Resin 26d (150 mg, 0.16 mmol), allyltrimethylsilane (51 µL, 0.32 mmol) and BF_{3}⋅OEt_{2} (40 µL, 0.32 mmol) were reacted according to general procedure C to afford 25dA (15 mg, 0.78 mmol, 49% from resin 23) as a colorless oil which was identical to the product obtained directly from resin 23.
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20. Mioskowski did report the formation of bis-amides as a byproduct (see reference 10).


